**Introduction**

Cancer is amongst the leading causes of death worldwide, with the four most frequently occurring cancers being lung, breast, colorectal and prostate (1). Cancer arises through the sequential accumulation of mutations that develop due to damage to the genome (2). The process of carcinogenesis is extremely complex, though our understanding of cancer at the molecular level, including cellular signals, has rapidly progressed over the last two decades. This has led to the identification of novel targets for therapeutic intervention and the development of treatments to improve patient prognosis.

Chemokines and their receptors are increasingly recognised to be involved in the process of tumour development and metastasis. Chemokines are small, secreted peptides that are important in mediating leukocyte migration, particularly during infection and inflammation. Besides their critical contributions to the immune system, they are being increasingly implicated in tumour development and metastasis. Chemokines also mediate other tumour-related processes, including angiogenesis and even chemoresistance. There are four subgroups of chemokines based on the position of the first two N-terminal cysteine residues: CXC, CC, CX3C and C3C. The CXC subgroup is further divided into ELR+ and ELR- chemokines. Chemokines with the ELR motif have angiogenic properties and bind mainly to CXC chemokine receptor 2 (CXCR2). CXCR2 and its ligands are intimately involved in tumour regulation and growth, and its functional inhibition shows promising results in several cancer types. This review discusses the contribution of CXCR2 to the progression of the most frequently occurring cancers including lung, prostate, breast and colorectal cancer, in addition to recent relevant data on ovarian and pancreatic cancer. Pharmacological intervention against CXCR2 and its potential therapeutic benefits are also addressed.

**Keywords:** Angiogenesis; cancer; chemoresistance; CXC chemokine receptor 2 (CXCR2); metastasis
inflammation, sepsis, lung pathology, atherosclerosis and neuroinflammation (8). Inflammation is one of the major hallmarks of cancers and is linked to 15–20% of cancer deaths worldwide (9). CXCR2 has been associated with poor outcomes for different cancers through its effects on migration, invasion and angiogenesis (10-16). The aim of this review is to provide an up to date analysis on the contribution of CXCR2 to the progression of different cancer types, and describe how pharmacological intervention against CXCR2 may be beneficial to patient outcome.

**Chemokine superfamily classification**

The chemokine superfamily consists of a large variety of ligands and their receptors; however not all ligand-receptor relationships are mutually exclusive. This superfamily is divided into four families related through structure and function—the largest family consists of CC chemokines, based on the four adjacent cysteine residues in their peptide sequence. The main function of CC chemokines is to attract mononuclear cells to sites of chronic inflammation. The second largest family consists of CXC chemokines, which have two cysteine residues and a single amino acid residue between them. Some members of this family, such as CXCL8, attract polymorphonuclear leukocytes to sites of inflammation. The third CXC family consists of one member Fractalkine (CX3CL1), which has three amino acids separating the initial pair of cysteine residues. It is produced as a long protein with an extended mucin-like stalk fused to its chemokine domain, permitting binding to the surface of certain cells to form a cell-adhesion receptor. This cytokine can be cleaved to form a soluble chemoattractant (17,18). The fourth family also has a single member, lymphotactin (XCL1), which is similar to the members of the CC and CXC families but lacks two of the four characteristic cysteine residues found in most chemokines (19). There is a large amount of both redundancy and specificity within families in the chemokine system (20).

**Chemokine receptor structure**

Chemokine receptors are members of the seven transmembrane G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) family (Figure 1). They are differentially expressed on cells, with highly variable responses to specific chemokines. The receptors are generally composed of 350 amino acids in length, with a short acidic extracellular N-terminus domain that may contain sulfated tyrosine residues and sites for N-linked glycosylation. The intracellular C-terminus domain contains serine and threonine residues that regulate receptor activity through phosphorylation. The transmembrane domains are α-helical and the intracellular and extracellular loops contain hydrophilic amino acids. The first two loops have highly conserved cysteine residues linked by a disulfide bond (3). Each receptor is specific to
ligands from only one of the four chemokine families, hence they are also placed into four groups. There are multiple receptor-ligand combinations within these groups resulting in a wide array of biological outcomes (21,22).

CXC chemokines have a heparin-binding domain in their C-terminus and are involved in regulating the process of angiogenesis (23). Aside from the CXC motif that distinguishes this family of chemokines from others, CXC chemokines have a second structural motif that determines its functional activity. The N-terminus of most CXC chemokines has three amino acid residues (Glu-Leu-Arg: the ELR motif) before the first cysteine amino acid residue in their primary structure (24,25). It has been found that some members that contain this ELR motif (ELR\textsuperscript{+}) such as CXCL8 are not only potent angiogenic factors, but also neutrophil chemoattractants (26). On the other hand, CXC chemokines that lack the ELR motif (ELR\textsuperscript{−}) are potent angiogenic inhibitors, such as CXCL4, CXCL9 and CXCL10 (24,27-29). CXCL12 is an exception to this, as it is an angiogenic ELR\textsuperscript{−} CXC chemokine. ELR\textsuperscript{−} CXC chemokines bind to CXCR1 and CXCR2, and ELR\textsuperscript{+} CXC chemokines bind to CXCR3–7 (Table 1).

### Table 1 CXC chemokines and receptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemokine</th>
<th>Alternative name(s)</th>
<th>Receptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELR\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL1</td>
<td>Growth related oncogene (GRO)-\textalpha</td>
<td>CXCR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL2</td>
<td>GRO-\textbeta</td>
<td>CXCR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL3</td>
<td>GRO-\textgamma</td>
<td>CXCR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL5</td>
<td>Epithelial neutrophil-activating peptide (ENA)-78</td>
<td>CXCR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL6</td>
<td>Granulocyte chemotactic protein (GCP)-2</td>
<td>CXCR1, CXCR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL7</td>
<td>Neutrophil-activating peptide (NAP)-2</td>
<td>CXCR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL8</td>
<td>Interleukin (IL)-8</td>
<td>CXCR1, CXCR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL15</td>
<td>Lungkine</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL17*</td>
<td>Dendritic cell- and monocyte-attracting chemokine-like protein (DMC)</td>
<td>CXCR8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELR\textsuperscript{−}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL4</td>
<td>Platelet factor (PF)-4</td>
<td>CXCR3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL9</td>
<td>Monokine induced by interferon-\textgamma (MIG)</td>
<td>CXCR3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL10</td>
<td>Interferon-\textgamma-inducible protein (IP)-10</td>
<td>CXCR3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL11</td>
<td>IFN-inducible T-cell \alpha-chemoattractant (I-TAC)</td>
<td>CXCR3, CXCR7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL12</td>
<td>Stromal cell-derived factor 1 (SDF1)-\textalpha</td>
<td>CXCR4, CXCR7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL13</td>
<td>B-cell attracting chemokine (BCA)-1</td>
<td>CXCR5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL14</td>
<td>Breast and kidney-expressed chemokine (BRAK)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL16</td>
<td>Small inducible cytokine B16</td>
<td>CXCR6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CXCL17 is not yet confirmed to have an ELR\textsuperscript{+} motif—however, it is functionally classified as such due to its pro-angiogenic and neutrophil chemoattractant functions (30).

CXC chemokines and cancer

Aside from the massive contribution of CXC chemokines and their receptors to the immune system, they have also been implicated in the processes of tumour growth, angiogenesis and metastasis (Figure 2). Many tumour cells produce a large variety of chemokines and their receptors (31,32). Chemokines can indirectly function to recruit tumour-associated macrophages that support tumour development. They can also directly function as growth/survival factors, angiogenic factors and chemoattractants for cancer cells.
Figure 2 Chemokines and their receptors in tumour development. Primary tumours are supported by a network of cells in their microenvironment, including immune cells. These cells produce chemokines along with the tumour cells themselves. The chemokines regulate leukocyte migration along the endothelium, by binding their cognate G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) on the leukocytes. Leukocytes migrate through the endothelial layer in response to the chemokine gradient. As a result, inflammatory cells including neutrophils, macrophages, T lymphocytes and dendritic cells infiltrate the tumour. The formation of new blood vessels is also affected through the production of chemokines that are either angiogenic (CXCL1–3, 5–8, 12, 15, 17) or angiostatic ( CXCL4, 9–11, 13, 14, 16). The process of angiogenesis is critical in the provision of oxygen and nutrients to stimulate tumour growth and metastasis.
Lung cancer

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer deaths, with lung adenocarcinoma representing the most common histologic subtype of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (1,46). CXCR2 expression has been found to be upregulated in lung cancer in several studies (47-49). In one of these studies, CXCR2 expression was analyzed in tumour cells from over 260 human NSCLC and it was found that poorly differentiated tumours had significantly greater cytoplasmic CXCR2 levels compared to moderately and well-differentiated tumours. CXCR2 expression in lung adenocarcinomas was associated with smoking and poor prognosis, implicating the CXCR2 axis as a potential therapeutic target for in smoking-related lung adenocarcinoma (48). In a different study, inhibiting CXCR1 and CXCR2 using a mutant CXCL8 analogue (G31P) in two lung cancer cell lines showed decreased cell proliferation and migration, and enhanced apoptosis. Similar inhibition in an in vivo orthotopic xenograft mouse model of human lung cancer showed suppressed tumour growth, metastasis and angiogenesis (47).

Airway inflammation has been associated with the progression of lung cancer, particularly in cigarette smokers (50-52). Increased neutrophil infiltration as a result of the immune response is linked to poorer outcome in patients with lung cancer (53). Tazzyman et al. found that neutrophil recruitment into A549 tumours in vitro and in vivo is largely dependent on CXCR2 activation (54). Supporting these findings, a more recent study by Gong et al. showed that CXCR2 inhibition in a K-RAS mutant mouse model of lung cancer suppressed neutrophilic inflammation and significantly reduced tumour progression (55).

In a preclinical mouse model of lung cancer, ELR+ CXC chemokines were found to mediate angiogenic activity via CXCR2 and depletion of CXCR2 inhibited tumour growth and angiogenesis (56). On the other hand, the previously mentioned study by Tazzyman et al. demonstrated no reduction in microvascular density in CXCR2-deficient mice, leading to their conclusion that angiogenesis was CXCR2-independent (54). It is important to note that in the first study, Lewis lung cancer cells were injected into CXCR2-deficient C57BL/6 mice; in the second study A549 lung cancer cells were injected into CXCR2-deficient SCID mice. Therefore the differences seen in the results of these studies may be attributable to the different murine models or cancer cell lines used. It is also possible that perhaps an intact immune system is required for CXCR2-dependent angiogenesis.

In contrast to the findings that CXCR2 is associated with tumourigenesis, a recent study by Ryan et al. identified a functional single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the 3′ untranslated region (UTR) of CXCR2 that alters binding of a specific miRNA (miR-516a-3p), affecting CXCR2 mRNA and protein expression (57). Surprisingly, this SNP gives rise to increased CXCR2 expression but is associated with reduced risk of lung cancer. Initial studies by Ryan et al. of primary samples showed the reduction of CXCR2 in lung cancer compared to non-involved lung tissue. They carried out further studies using RNA-seq data from TCGA on lung adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma, which also showed decreased expression of all three CXCR2 mRNA isoforms. It is known that CXCR2 may mediate p53-dependent senescence in the lung, highlighting the
potential tumour-suppressive role of CXCR2 (58). A mutation found in the C-terminus of CXCR2 (G354W), identified in the NCI-H1395 lung cancer cell line, prevents autocrine CXCL8-induced receptor internalization and alleviates senescence (58,59). Reduced CXCR2 expression has been suggested to be another mechanism of avoiding senescence in lung cancer.

Prostate cancer

Prostate cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer in males, and the fifth leading cause of cancer-related deaths in men (1). Hypoxia is one of the key features of solid tumours as found in prostate cancer, leading to the selection of cells with a more malignant phenotype (60). Elevated CXCL8, CXCR1 and CXCR2 expression has been detected in human prostate cancer (61). Hypoxia was found to further increase expression of CXCL8 and its receptors in a time-dependent manner, and inhibition of transcription factors hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF-1) and nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) abrogated these effects (62). In another study, the effects of CXCL8 mutant analogue G31P were investigated on the proliferation, migration and invasion ofrogen-independent prostate cancer cells in vitro and on growth and angiogenesis in nude mouse xenografts of prostate cancer. They found that G31P treatment suppressed tumourigenesis both in vitro and in vivo. G31P also inhibited tumour tissue vascularization, which was associated with decreased expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and NF-κB expression in the xenograft tissues (63).

In CXCR2-deficient mice, Shen et al. also found smaller tumour size and reduced angiogenesis compared to normal mice (64). These findings are similar to those described in ovarian cancer by Yang et al. whereby CXCR2 inhibition in nude mice xenografts resulted in decreased blood vessel density and increased VEGF expression (10). This combined data, amongst others, provides evidence for a clear association between CXCR2 and angiogenesis, a mechanism that may be manifested across different cancers.

Breast cancer

Breast cancer is the second most common cancer in the world and the most frequent cause of cancer death amongst women (1,65). Polymorphisms in CXCL8 and CXCR2 genes are associated with increased breast cancer risk (66). CXCR2 expression has been shown to be higher in malignant breast cancer tissues compared to benign ductal epithelial samples (67). CXCR2 has further been found to promote breast cancer cell invasion in vitro and enhance tumour metastasis in vivo (68). Bone metastasis is common during breast cancer progression, and CXCR2 has been implicated in this process as well (69). In addition, infiltrating macrophages in the tumour microenvironment were found to have increased expression of CXCR2 ligands, inhibited by activation of the TGF-β signalling pathway. Co-culture studies showed that these macrophages can promote both epithelial and tumour cell migration via CXCR2 activation (70). However, the phenomenon of oncogene-induced senescence through CXCR2 signalling has also been observed in breast cancer cells, as described in pancreatic and lung cancers in this review (71).

Colorectal cancer

Colorectal cancer is one of the most common causes of cancer mortality and is often associated with the Western lifestyle (72). Increased expression of CXC chemokines and their receptors in colorectal cancer cells correlates with poor prognosis (73). A higher constitutive expression of CXCL8, CXCR1 and CXCR2 has been associated with greater metastatic potential of colorectal cancer cells (74,75). A recent study comparing CXCR2 expression in colorectal tumour tissues to benign tumour and normal mucosa tissues found a significantly higher expression in the tumour tissues. CXCR2 expression was also observed to be higher in patients either with lymph node metastasis or in advanced cancer stages (76). This data adds to the accumulating evidence that CXCR2 enhances cancer progression and could worsen patient outcome.

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC)

PDAC is a leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide with minimal treatment options available (77). Due to multiple studies implicating CXCR2 in cancer and inflammation, research has been carried out recently on the involvement of CXCR2 and its ligands in pancreatic cancer. CXCR2 is expressed in various PDAC cell lines and has been found to increase their proliferation and survival via autocrine and paracrine effects (38,78-80). Immunohistochemical analysis showed positive staining for CXCL8 (50%), CXCR1 (55%) and CXCR2 (65%) in surgically resected human pancreatic cancer, with 40% being positive for both CXCL8 and its receptors (80). ELR+ CXC chemokines mediate their angiogenic activity through CXCR2 and have been found
at increased levels in both pancreatic cancer cell lines and pancreatic secretions of pancreatic cancer patients (81-83). It has been suggested that the role of CXCR2 and its chemokines as angiogenic factors for pancreatic cancer may be dependent on the tumour microenvironment and tumour-stromal interactions (11,84). The expression of ELR+ CXC chemokines increases when pancreatic cancer cells are co-cultured with stromal cells (11). In addition, Ijichi et al. found higher CXCR2 expression in fibroblasts from PDAC stroma, implying that PDAC expression of CXCR2 ligands could be important in the formation and maintenance of the stroma. Using a murine model of aggressive PDAC disease similar to the human disease, they found that PDAC cells secreted higher levels of CXCL1, 2, 5 and 16 compared to preinvasive mouse pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia cells. These chemokines stimulated stromal fibroblasts to induce connective tissue growth factor (CTGF), a pro-tumourigenic factor, resulting in accelerated tumour growth in vivo. Inhibition of CXCR2 improved overall survival of the mice by suppressing tumour angiogenesis (85). These studies highlight the importance of the CXCL-CXCR2 axis in tumour-stromal interactions in the progression of PDAC. However, there have been other recent studies that have reported targeting of the stroma may be detrimental to the patient by accelerating disease progression (86,87). This emphasizes the caution that must be undertaken when targeting PDAC-associated fibroblasts.

Another function of CXCR2 is regulating the migration of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) (88). Increasing evidence suggests that neutrophils, MDSCs and CXCR2 play a role in pancreatic cancer. Neutrophil infiltration in pancreatic cancer is associated with poorer prognosis, and the accumulation of MDSCs in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer also correlates with disease stage (89,90). Recent studies by Steele et al. showed the upregulation of CXCR2 signalling in human pancreatic cancer, predominantly in neutrophils and MDSCs. Inhibiting CXCR2 reduced metastasis and improved the overall survival of mice with established disease. Interestingly, it is reported that only peptide inhibition, not germline deletion of CXCR2, improved survival (91). This suggests that CXCR2 may have multiple roles in the initiation and progression of pancreatic cancer, a phenomenon that has been previously described (59,84).

Further study into the molecular mechanisms of CXCR2 signalling in pancreatic cancer cells both in vitro and in vivo showed that CXCR2 is coupled to downstream effector phospholipase C-β3 (PLC-β3), mediated by a specific motif at its C-terminus called PSD-95/DlgA/ZO-1 (PDZ). This motif enables interactions with PDZ scaffold protein Na+/H+ exchange regulatory factor 1 (NHERF1) to create a macromolecular signalling complex (92). This interaction is thought to be important for the regulation of intracellular signalling and cell functions in neutrophils (93,94). The disruption of this complex was found to significantly inhibit pancreatic cancer cell proliferation and invasion (92). Recent advances in research on the involvement of CXCR2 in pancreatic cancer demonstrate that CXCR2 provides an important contribution to the progression of PDAC through multiple avenues.

Ovarian cancer

Ovarian cancer is the most lethal gynaecological cancer and the fifth leading cause of cancer-related deaths in women in the United Kingdom (95). CXCR2 overexpression has been found in high-grade serous ovarian carcinomas to be an independent prognostic factor of poor overall survival and early relapse (10). A study by Yang et al. found that ovarian cancer cells frequently express CXCR2, which promotes growth by enhancing angiogenesis, reducing apoptosis and dysregulating the cell cycle. The study then went further to investigate the mechanisms behind CXCR2-mediated ovarian cancer growth. They found that CXCR2 promoted cell cycle progression by affecting a host of cell cycle regulatory proteins including cyclin D1 and its cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK)-6. Silencing CXCR2 expression increased cell apoptosis at least 2-fold, possibly due to increased p53 phosphorylation, increased expression of Puma and Bcl-xS (pro-apoptotic proteins) and reduced expression of Bcl-xL and Bcl-2 (anti-apoptotic proteins). CXCR2 inhibition in xenograft mouse tumour tissues generated from animals with ovarian cancer resulted in decreased overall blood vessel density, increased expression of VEGF and decreased expression of thrombospondin-1 (TSP-1), implicating CXCR2 in ovarian cancer angiogenesis. Yang et al. then identified the involvement of multiple signalling pathways in these processes, including the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K), signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) and NF-κB pathways (10). Several studies have previously shown that activation of these pathways may be involved in the CXCR2 signalling network in other cell types (96-99).

A recent study by Dong et al. explored in further detail the impact of NF-κB signalling on the contribution
of CXCR2 to the progression of ovarian cancer (100). Ovarian cancer consists of a proinflammatory tumour microenvironment that may be in part regulated by tumour necrosis factor (TNF) (101,102). TNF has previously been shown to regulate chemokine networks though the NF-κB signalling pathway in ovarian cancer (103,104). CXCR2-driven ovarian cancer progression was found to upregulate its own ligands including CXCL1 and CXCL2. Both basal and TNF-induced levels of NF-κB activation were found to be higher in CXCR2 expressing cells. These cells had increased proliferation, migration and invasion compared to CXCR2-deficient cells. Knocking down CXCR2 resulted in decreased TNF-induced NF-κB activation (100). This is consistent with previous findings whereby in colorectal cancer cells, a CXCR2 antagonist decreased NF-κB phosphorylation and in ovarian cancer cells, the stimulation of angiogenesis by CXCR2 was suggested to involve NF-κB (10,105). Dong et al. also showed that CXCR2 expressing cells had greater transactivation of EGFR, causing higher Akt activation. Inhibiting PI3K blocked Akt activation and attenuated NF-κB promoter activity (100). Interestingly, several CXCR2 ligands, including CXCL1–3 and CXCL5–8 contain NF-κB binding sites in their promoters (6). Therefore, it has been suggested that CXCR2-mediated NF-κB activation can modulate the chemokine network thereby altering cellular function (100).

Therapeutic benefits of CXCR2 functional intervention

The importance of CXCR2 has been highly emphasized in tumourigenesis. ELR+ CXC chemokines are known to mediate their angiogenic effects through the CXCR2 receptor, and indeed its inhibition has shown several anti-tumourigenic effects as previously described. This provides the opportunity to intervene in key processes in tumour development, including growth, invasion, angiogenesis and metastasis. Interestingly, previous studies have shown that many cellular signals including CXCR2 and HIF-1α are upregulated by volatile anaesthetic exposure to cancer cell lines, although the implications of these findings remain elusive (106-109).

CXCR2 has also been identified to play a role in the chemoresistance of numerous cancers including prostate, breast and colorectal. CXCL8 signalling has been shown to confer metastatic prostate cancer cells with resistance to specific chemotherapeutic agents. Administration of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) to prostate cancer cells (PC3) caused the increase of CXCL8 secretion and upregulation of CXCR1 and CXCR2 gene expression. Inhibition of CXCL8 signalling in prostate cancer cells using the CXCR2 inhibitor, AZ10397767, was found to increase the cytotoxicity of 5-FU, a key anti-metabolite in solid-tumour chemotherapy (110). In breast cancer, malignant cells surviving initial chemo- and radiation therapy were found to have higher expression of CXCR2 ligands. Knocking down CXCR2 increased toxicity of chemotherapy agents paclitaxel and doxorubicin. It also enhanced paclitaxel antitumour activity in an in vivo breast cancer model. Spontaneous lung metastases in animals with CXCR2 knockdown and treated with paclitaxel were significantly reduced compared to control animals (111). Furthermore, a study investigating the involvement of CXCL8 and CXCR2 in a 5-FU chemoresistant colorectal cancer cell line (HCT116/5-FU) found that both were upregulated in comparison to their chemosensitive parental cell line (HCT116). Inhibiting CXCR2 caused reduced cell proliferation in both cell lines. In response to 5-FU treatment, HCT116 upregulated CXCL8, CXCR1 and CXCR2, but HCT116/5-FU only upregulated CXCL8 and CXCR1. On the other hand, treatment with another chemotherapy agent oxaliplatin resulted in no significant differences in either cell line (112). Ning et al., however, reported that CXCL8 overexpression by CXCL8-transfected clones of HCT116 and an additional cell line CaCo2 conferred significant resistance to the chemotherapeutic drug oxaliplatin; inhibiting CXCL8 overexpression reversed this observed chemoresistance. The transfected cell lines also had a greater expression of CXCR2 compared to their normal counterparts (113). These examples highlight the importance of CXCR2 in chemotherapy resistance and provide evidence that targeting CXCR2 signalling can enhance the antitumour and antimitastatic activity of chemotherapeutic drugs.

Conclusions

The role of CXCR2 has been investigated in different cancer types, and there is a plethora of evidence that shows the profound effect that CXCR2 has on tumour progression. Deeper research into the molecular mechanisms of CXCR2 activity has shown its effects on numerous key signalling pathways, including MAPK, NF-κB, Akt and STAT3. Through these pathways, CXCR2 is able to modulate tumour growth, angiogenesis and metastasis, potentiating tumour development. There is a potential tumour suppressive role for CXCR2 that has also been highlighted, possibly...
depending on the stage of the tumour. Although CXCR2 could be an excellent molecular target for the treatment of cancer, due to the complexity of carcinogenesis and the tumour microenvironment, it is unlikely that a single CXCR2 inhibitor would be curative. Perhaps the use of multiple therapeutic regimens would be more beneficial in the control of tumour development and metastasis.
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