A microwell-based near-infrared fluorescence assay of DNA methylation
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Background: DNA methylation is one of the most common epigenetic modifications, and has profound effects on the mammalian genome. The epigenetic silencing of a variety of genes by hypermethylation of promoter-associated CpG islands is often associated with diseases. This paper describes a method for the detection of DNA methylation.

Methods: This method realized methylation detection in two steps, including capturing the sheared genomic DNA (gDNA) on probe-coupled microplate and detecting methylation by using a simple immunological procedure based on near-infrared fluorescence (NIRF).

Results: This method was validated by detecting the methylation of synthesized oligonucleotides and nine genomic loci in the promoters of three genes, KIR3DL1, p14ARF and TP53BP2 in three cell lines. The detection results of the gDNA were verified by the bisulfite sequencing polymerase chain reaction (BSP) performed in this study. This study also demonstrated that the detected methylation of these promoters reduced the transcriptions of these genes in the detected cells.

Conclusions: This study provides a method for DNA methylation detection that is independent of bisulfite treatment, PCR amplification and immunoprecipitation.
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Introduction

DNA methylation is one of the most common epigenetic modifications, and has profound effects on the mammalian genome (1). DNA methylation has an important regulatory role in many biological processes, such as embryonic development, gene transcription, chromosome structure modulation, X chromosome inactivation, and genomic imprinting. Abnormal methylation patterns are often associated with the incidence of diseases, such as immune deficiency, mental disorders, cancer, and renal oxidative stress (2,3). Hypermethylation of CpG islands in promoter regions have frequently been detected in tumor cells (4,5), and have a close relationship with transcriptional repression and inactivation of tumor suppressor genes in cancer. Therefore, DNA methylation provides a potential target for cancer diagnosis and therapy (6). The study of DNA methylation, especially in combination with gene transcription regulation, should provide a deeper understanding of the epigenetic regulatory mechanism of DNA methylation in many biological processes.

To study the regulatory effects of DNA methylation on gene transcription, DNA methylation in the genome must be accurately detected. At present, genomic DNA (gDNA)
methylation can be detected with various methods (7,8). Among them, the most common are those based on bisulfite treatment, such as bisulfite-treated DNA sequencing (9), quantum dot (QD)-based method (10), methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction (MSP) (11), and DNA microarray hybridization (12). However, these methods are susceptible to the efficiency of bisulfite treatment, because incomplete and inconsistent conversion of cytosine to uracil may produce false methylations (9). In addition, the bisulfite treatment process is detrimental to DNA and is time-consuming (~16 h) (13).

Recently, some bisulfite-independent methods have been developed (14-18), for example, the methylated DNA immunoprecipitation (MeDIP)-based methods (19,20). DNA enriched by MeDIP can be analyzed by locus-specific PCR, by global profiling techniques, such as DNA microarrays (21,22), and by next-generation DNA sequencing (MeDIP-seq) (23,24). However, MeDIP-based methods often present several disadvantages, such as the time-consuming immunoprecipitation processes, high cost of high-throughput DNA sequencing, and expensive equipment. There also reported some new methods related to nanoparticles or nanoclusters, such as the method based on the FRET mechanism between upconversion nanoparticles and gold nanorods (25) and a colorimetric and fluorimetric technique for direct detection of DNA methylation based on silver nanoclusters (26). Kermani et al. developed a methyltransferase activity assay by employing DNA-templated silver nanoclusters without using restriction enzymes, which showed a convenient reproducibility and sensitivity indicating its potential for the determination of methyltransferase activity (27). However, the nanoparticles or nanoclusters used in these methods provide a new view for the methylation detection.

Near-infrared fluorescence (NIRF)-base method has developed rapidly in recent years. The NIRF has the exciting wave-length from 700 to 900 nm. In comparison with traditional visible fluorescence, NIRF has several significant advantages, including high sensitivity, high signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio and deep tissue penetration capability (28-30). Therefore, NIRF techniques have been rapidly applied to in vitro assays of various biomolecules. For example, our lab recently applied NIRF techniques to the detections of gene transcription (31), protein expression (32) and transcription factor activity (33,34) and developed several new approaches for assaying normal biomolecules (31,33,34). We found that the common solid-phase media that are used for biochemical detections, including glass slides (33,34), microwell plates (31) and PVDF (32) and nylon membranes (35) had very low autofluorescence at the exciting wavelength of some NIRF dyes such as IRDye® 800CW (exciting/emission wavelength: 774/789 nm). Therefore, detections based on these NIRF dyes obtained high sensitivity due to the minimal background interference.

In this study, we applied the NIRF techniques to the detection of DNA methylation and developed a NIRF-based method for assaying DNA methylation, a well-based near-infrared fluorescence assay (W-NIFA). The procedure of this method is straightforward that detects DNA methylation by two steps, including hybridizing the sheared gDNA to a probe-coupled microwell plate and detecting the methylated cytosines in captured DNAs by a immunological process. This study validated this method by detecting methylation of nine loci in promoters of three genes in three cancer cell lines with this method and bisulfite sequencing PCR. This method has several advantages such as circumvents some cumbersome and time-consuming procedures of other traditional methods, such as bisulfite treatment, primer design for MSP, and immunoprecipitation of methylated DNA. In addition, this method was designed to detect methylation of both DNA strands and is suitable for the detection of the methylation levels of interested genomic locations.

Methods

Preparation of the DNA-coupled plate, gDNA, total RNA

The oligonucleotides used in this paper were synthesized by Sangon Biotechnology (Shanghai, China), and the sequence is listed in Table 1. The probe-coupled 96-well microplate was prepared as previously described (36) with slightly modified as follows: the DNA-BIND white 96-well polystyrene microplate (Corning Inc. Life Sciences, Tewksbury, MA, USA) was used as solid-phase support for immobilizing oligonucleotide probe in this study. The surface of DNA-BIND microplate has covalently linked N-oxysuccinimide (NOS) groups that react with nucleophiles such as primary amines at slightly alkaline pH. The amino-modified oligonucleotide probes (Table 1) were dissolved in oligo binding buffer (50 mM NaPO₄, pH 8.5, 1 mM EDTA) at the concentration of 100 μM. Before coupling to a microplate, oligonucleotides were diluted with OBB at a final concentration of 0.25 μM, then added to the microplate at 100 μL per well. The microplate was incubated overnight at 4 °C in advance before used. Then
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligo</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-1</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$_2$-CCCGTCCACCCAGCCGGCCGCGCAG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3mAS-1</td>
<td>5'-'-AACGGGAG*CTG-CCGCGGCCGCGGACGGCGG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-1</td>
<td>5'-'-AACGGGAG*CCTGCGCGGCGCCTGCGGCGGACGGCGG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KIR3DL1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-GACACAGGTGTTTACGCGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KA</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-GACACAGGTGTTTACGCGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KN</td>
<td>5'-'-GCACAGGATGTTA'TTTGCGCCGCTGCCCCATGCAGTTTACA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>p14ARF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS1</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-GATGTTGTTGACACGCGACAGAAGCGGCGGAGGCAGAAGC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA1</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-GATGTTGTTGACACGCGACAGAAGCGGCGGAGGCAGAAGC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN1</td>
<td>5'-'-GCACAGGATGTTA'TTTGCGCCGCTGCCCCATGCAGTTTACA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-GATGTTGTTGACACGCGACAGAAGCGGCGGAGGCAGAAGC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA2</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-GATGTTGTTGACACGCGACAGAAGCGGCGGAGGCAGAAGC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN2</td>
<td>5'-'-GCCACGACCCATGCTGACGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS3</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-GACACAGGTGTTTACGCGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA3</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-GACACAGGTGTTTACGCGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN3</td>
<td>5'-'-GCCACGACCCATGCTGACGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS4</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-GACACAGGTGTTTACGCGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA4</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-GACACAGGTGTTTACGCGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN4</td>
<td>5'-'-GCCACGACCCATGCTGACGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TP53BP2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS1</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-CCCGTCCACCCAGCGCCGCGCGCAG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA1</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-CCCGTCCACCCAGCGCCGCGCGCAG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN1</td>
<td>5'-'-AACGGGAG*CCTGCGCGGCGCCTGCGGCGGACGGCGG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS2</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-GACACAGGTGTTTACGCGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA2</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-GACACAGGTGTTTACGCGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN2</td>
<td>5'-'-GCCACGACCCATGCTGACGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS3</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-GACACAGGTGTTTACGCGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA3</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-GACACAGGTGTTTACGCGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN3</td>
<td>5'-'-GCCACGACCCATGCTGACGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS4</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-CCCGTCCACCCAGCGCCGCGCGCAG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA4</td>
<td>5'-'-NH$<em>2$-A$</em>{10}$-CCCGTCCACCCAGCGCCGCGCGCAG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN4</td>
<td>5'-'-GCCACGACCCATGCTGACGATCCAGTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The bases with quotation marks refer to E2F DBS; KS, PS and TS, probes of sense strands; KA, PA and TA, probes of antisense strands; KN, PN and TN, methylation negative controls; m, methylcytidine; E2F DBS, E2F DNA-binding sites.
hybridized plate using the following two steps: (I) mouse oligonucleotide probes-hybridized plate or the gDNA-DNA-binding sites (E2F DBS) was detected on the DNA methylation [including the methylation of E2F]

Detection of DNA methylation

First, the per well (~300 ng gDNA per well) was added into wells of the plate and incubated for 5–6 h at a temperature of Tm-25 °C. The plate was briefly washed after each step, the plate was washed 3 times with PBST. All solutions were added to plate at 100 μL per well, and after each step, the plate was washed 3 times with PBST. Finally, the plate was scanned with Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Li-Cor, NE) at the channel of 800 nm. The intensity of images was quantified using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System.

Detection of DNA methylation with bisulfite sequencing PCR

The gDNA samples were subjected to bisulfite modification by using the EpiTect Bisulfite Kit (Qiagen, #59104) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. After treatment of bisulfite, cytosine residues of gDNA were converted into uracil, and the 5-methylcytosine residues were unaffected. Thus, bisulfite treatment introduces specific changes in the DNA sequence that depend on the methylation status of individual cytosine residues. Then the promoter regions of KIR3DL1, p14ARF and TP53BP2 (ASPP2) were amplified from the bisulfite-treated gDNA with the primers listed in Table 2. The results were detected by the agarose gel electrophoresis. Bisulfite sequencing were performed with at least eight individual clones by using ABI3730 automatic DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems). The promoter-associated CpG islands were searched online with CpG Island Searcher (37) using the NCBI original sequence. The results of bisulfite sequencing PCR were analyzed online with Bisulfite Sequencing DNA Methylation Analysis software (BISMA) with FASTA format (38).

Detection of gene expression with quantitative PCR

Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA as a template using a reverse transcriptase kit (TaKaRa), following manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative PCR was performed with Fast SYBR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, UK) using primers listed in Table 2 on a StepOne™ Plus instrument (Applied Biosystems). The PCR reaction consisted of 10 μL 2× Fast SYBR Master Mix, 1 μL cDNA, 0.4 μL 10 μM forward primer (Table 2), 0.4 μL 10 μM reverse primer (Table 2), and anti-5-methylcytosine (Epigentek, NY), diluted 1:2,000 in antibody dilution buffer (PBS, pH 7.4, 0.1% BSA), was added to the plate and incubated at RT for 2–3 h; (II) IRDye® 800CW-conjugated goat (polyclonal) anti-mouse IgG (Li-Cor, NE), diluted 1:2,000 in antibody dilution buffer, was added to plate and incubated at RT for 1 h. All solutions were added to plate at 100 μL per well, and after each step, the plate was washed 3 times with PBST. Finally, the plate was scanned with Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Li-Cor, NE) at the channel of 800 nm. The intensity of images was quantified using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System.

Hybridization of gDNA to the DNA-coupled plate

The sonicated gDNA was heated at 95 °C for 5 min, and immediately chilled on ice. The synthesized complementary oligonucleotide probes (mAS-1, N-1 in Table 1, Sangon Biotech) or the denatured gDNA was added to a temperature of melting temperature (Tm) minus 25 °C (Tm-25 °C) -heated hybridization solution {5 × SSC (1 × SSC: 0.15 M NaCl, 0.015 M sodium citrate, pH 7.0), 0.02% SDS, 0.1% N-lauroylsarcosine, 1% blocking reagent [phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4, 1% BSA], freshly prepared}, and 100 μL (75–200 μL of recommended working volume) per well (~300 ng gDNA per well) was added into wells of the plate and incubated at RT for 1 h. The synthesized complementary oligonucleotide (N-1, KN, PN and TN in Table 1) was hybridized to the immobilized probes (Table 1) during this step. After hybridization, the plate was washed once with 2 × SSC, 0.1% SDS at room temperature (RT), once with 0.5 × SSC, 0.1% SDS preheated to Tm-25 °C. The plate was briefly washed once with with PBST (PBS, pH 7.4, 0.05% Tween 20).

Detection of DNA methylation

DNA methylation [including the methylation of E2F DNA-binding sites (E2F DBS)] was detected on the oligonucleotide probes-hybridized plate or the gDNA-hybridized plate using the following two steps: (I) mouse
Table 2 Oligonucleotides used as primers of bisulfite sequencing PCR and quantitative PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Amplifican (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bisulfite sequencing</td>
<td>KIR3DL1</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>5'-TTGGGGTTTTATGTAAGGTAGAAA-3'</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>5'-ATATCTTTACCTCACAATCCCA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p14ARF</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>5'-GGGGTGTTGGGATGATAGG-3'</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>5'-CCGAAAAATACACAAAAACCTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TP53BP2</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>5'-TTTTTGGGTTAGTGGTTGTT-3'</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>5'-AATAAAACCTCCTCTCATTAAA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene transcription</td>
<td>KIR3DL1</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>5'-GACTCTGATGAACAAGACCCTG-3</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>5'-GGGCTTAGCATTTGGAAGTT-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P14ARF</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>5'-CTGAGAAACCTCGGAACT-3'</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>5'-TCACCTCAGAAAAACTCCAACCAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TP53BP2</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>5'-TAAACTGGCTAGCAGCTACGT-3'</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>5'-AGGCGACCAATGTAATGGA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>5'-GTGGCAAAGTGGAGATT-3'</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>5'-CTCGCTCCCTGGAAGAT-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.2 μL water. The PCR reaction was: 95 °C 10 min; 40 cycles of 95 °C 15 s, 58 °C (TP53BP2 and p14ARF) or 60 °C (KIR3DL1) 1 min; and 72 °C 5 min. A melting curve stage was performed after the completion of PCR. The data for the target genes were normalized to that of a housekeeping gene (gapdh).

Results

Analytical principle of this method

The analytical principle of this method for detection of DNA methylation is schematically illustrated in Figure 1. With the prefabricated probe-coupled microwell plate, the detection process of this method mainly consists of two steps: (I) hybridize the sonicated gDNA with probe-coupled microwell plate; (II) detect DNA methylation with an immunological procedure that used anti-methylated cytosine antibody and an IRDye® 800CW-labeled second antibody. To evaluate this method, the methylations of nine loci in promoters of three genes, KIR3DL1, p14ARF, and TP53BP2, in three cancer cell lines were detected with this method.

Quantitative detection of methylation

To investigate the specificity and sensitivity of this method, a standard curve was prepared using oligonucleotides contained three methylated cytosines (oligo 3mAS-1 in Table 1) in a series of concentration (0–30 nM of 0–9 pmoL methylated cytosines in Figure 2) and oligonucleotide with no methylcytidine modified as the methylation negative control (oligo N-1, columns 12). The oligonucleotides were hybridized to a complementary probes (oligo SS-1 at the concentration of 0.25 μM coupled to a plate) and detecting with the immunological procedure. The results revealed that the standard curve with $R^2 > 0.99$ could be plotted between 0 to 7.2 pmoL of the methylated cytosines and as low as 0.9 pmoL (3 nM × 100 μL ×3) of methylated cytosines could be detected. The methylation negative control in quadruplets produced no NIRF signal showed a good specificity of this method.

Detection of KIR3DL1 promoter methylation

The results of the detection of methylation of the sole site containing the E2F DBS in the KIR3DL1 promoter in K562 cell are shown in Figure 3. This site was detected with the methylated signal in K562 cells and no signal had been detected with the negative control dsDNA probe (N) in W-NIFA (Figure 3A,B). The bisulfite sequencing PCR result (Figure 3C) revealed that 22.5% CpG [^CG]([CG ×
Figure 1  Schematic showing the analytical principle of this method. gDNA, genomic DNA; IG, anti-5-methyl cytidine (anti-5-mC); Ab, antibody; IRDye 800CW-labeled 2nd Ab, fluorescently-labeled second antibody; asterisk, methylated cytosines.

Figure 2  The specificity and sensitivity of this method were verified with the synthesized methylated oligonucleotides of three methylcytidine in a series of concentration (oligo 3mAS-1, columns 1–11) and oligonucleotide with no methylcytidine modified as the methylation negative control (oligo N-1, columns 12). (A) Near-infrared fluorescence (NIRF) image of the detection of methylated oligonucleotides used for preparing a standard curve in quadruplets (row 1–4). N, the methylation negative control; (B) the quantified NIRF signal intensity of NIRF image A; (C) standard curve of the quantified NIRF signal intensity in (B) versus the amount (pmol) of the methylated oligonucleotides (3mAS-1 in Table 1).

Figure 3  Detection of methylation of KIR3DL1 promoter in K562 cells. (A) W-NIFA detection results; (B) quantified near-infrared fluorescence (NIRF) signal intensity; (C) promoter-associated CpG islands of KIR3DL1 gene and the bisulfite sequencing results. Arrow/flag, transcription start site; K, indicate the positions of probes KS and KA used in Table 1; 50–250, sequenced bases; rectangle marks, black and grey color (CpG dinucleotides), white color (the unknown base pairs).
clone number)% = 9/(5×8)% = 22.5%] in ~100 bp of the E2F DBS was methylated in K562 cells.

Detection of p14ARF promoter methylation

The results of the detection of the methylation of the four loci containing the E2F DBSs in the p14ARF promoter in LOVO cells are shown in Figure 4. The four loci in the p14ARF promoter were all methylated in LOVO cells, but the degree of methylation of these sites were not identical. It was clear that the 4th locus had the highest methylation level and that the 2nd locus had the lowest methylation level (Figure 4A,B). The bisulfite sequencing PCR also revealed the similar variance of methylation levels at four loci (Figure 4C). In these loci, the 3rd loci showed the highest NIRF intensity and methylated CpGs. 6.62% ["CG/(CG x clone number)"% = 9/(17×8)% = 6.62%] CpGs in ~100 bp around capture probe were methylated. The methylation degrees of the 1st and 2nd loci were similar; the bisulfite sequencing PCR revealed that 2.21% and 2.98% CpGs of two loci were methylated in HepG2 cells. Both methods detected the lowest methylation of the 4th locus, the lowest signal detected by W-NIFA and 0.74% methylated CpGs detected by bisulfite sequencing.

Detection of TP53BP2 promoter methylation

The method was also used to detect the methylation of four loci of TP53BP2 promoter in HepG2 cells. The results are displayed in Figure 5, which demonstrated that these loci were methylated in various degrees in HepG2 cells (Figure 5A,B). The similar methylation levels were also detected by bisulfite sequencing PCR (Figure 5C). In these loci, the 3rd loci showed the highest NIRF intensity and methylated CpGs. 6.62% ["CG/(CG x clone number)"% = 9/(17×8)% = 6.62%] CpGs in ~100 bp around capture probe were methylated. The methylation degrees of the 1st and 2nd loci were similar; the bisulfite sequencing PCR revealed that 2.21% and 2.98% CpGs of two loci were methylated in HepG2 cells. Both methods detected the lowest methylation of the 4th locus, the lowest signal detected by W-NIFA and 0.74% methylated CpGs detected by bisulfite sequencing.

Correlation analysis of methylation levels

To evaluate the accuracy of W-NIFA detection, correlation analysis of methylation levels of sense and antisense strands were performed between W-NIFA and bisulfite sequencing PCR results. It was revealed that the methylation of the antisense-strands of three genes, KIR3DL1, p14ARF and TP53BP2, were strongly correlated (R2 = 0.886) (Figure 6A,B). The similar correlation were obtained to the sense strands of three genes (R2 = 0.944) (Figure 6C,D).
Figure 5 Detection of methylation of \textit{TP53BP2} promoter in HepG2 cells. (A) W-NIFA detection results; (B) quantified near-infrared fluorescence (NIRF) signal intensity; (C) promoter-associated CpG islands of \textit{TP53BP2} gene and the bisulfite sequencing results. $T_1$, $T_2$, $T_3$, and $T_4$ indicate the positions of probes TS1 and TA1, TS2 and TA2, TS3 and TA3, TS4 and TA4, respectively, used in Table 1; arrow/flag, transcription start site; 200–550, sequenced bases; rectangle marks, black and grey color (CpG dinucleotides), white color (the unknown base pairs).

Figure 6 Correlation analysis of methylation detected by two methods. (A) Correlation analysis of methylation of anti-sense strands detected by two methods; (B) quantified near-infrared fluorescence (NIRF) signals and $^\text{m}$CpG contents (%) of anti-sense strands; (C) correlation analysis of methylation of sense strands detected by two methods; (D) quantified NIRF signals and $^\text{m}$CpG contents (%) of sense strands. K, \textit{KIR3DL1}; P, \textit{p14}ARF; T, \textit{TP53BP2}. 

$^\text{m}$CpG is a commonly used term in epigenetics to refer to the methylated form of cytosine in a CpG dinucleotide. NIRF is used here to describe the near-infrared fluorescence technique.
The methylation detection results were confirmed by bisulfite sequencing PCR. The methylation detection results were also in agreement with the previous studies. For example, the CpGs in the 3rd locus of $p14^{ARF}$ promoter were found to hypermethylated in LOVO cells (41,42). The methylation of $KIR3DL1$ promoter in K562 cells and its demethylation-reversible transcription repression of the $KIR3DL1$ gene was reported by a previous study (43).

At present, gDNA methylation can be detected with various methods, the most common methods are MS-PCR, bisulfite sequencing and MS-HRM. The MS-PCR method has the advantages of being highly sensitive (able to detect one methylated allele in a population of more than 1,000 unmethylated alleles), and can be used on DNA samples of limited quantity and quality. However, MSP is not quantitative. In addition, the design of primers is essential for this method (7); Bisulfite sequencing is the gold standard for the methylation detection. However, this method is susceptible to the efficiency of bisulfite treatment, because incomplete and inconsistent conversion of cytosine to uracil may produce false methylations. In addition, the bisulfite treatment process is detrimental to DNA and is time-consuming (~16 h). MS-HRM protocol enables clear identification of a methylated PCR product in an unmethylated background at both 1% and 0.1% dilution points, with sensitivity similar to that of methylation-specific PCR (MSP). Furthermore, MS-HRM-based methylation screening is cost, labor and time efficient in contrast to direct bisulfite sequencing. However, MS-HRM protocol does not reveal the methylation status of the CpG sites within the primer binding sites. This disadvantage can only be overcome by redesigning the primer set (44).

Figure 7 Transcription of $KIR3DL1$, $p14^{ARF}$ and $TP53BP2$ (ASPP2). (A) Transcriptions of $KIR3DL1$ in K562 cells; (B) transcription of $p14^{ARF}$ in LOVO cells; (C) transcription of $TP53BP2$ in HepG2 cells. The transcriptions of all genes in HFL-1 cells and $gapdh$ (the grey color of amplification plot) in all cells were simultaneously detected as controls. Insets show relative quantification (RQ).

Detection of gene expression

To investigate the effect of DNA methylation on gene expression, the transcription of the four genes in their reported positive and negative cells was detected using quantitative PCR. The results are shown in Figure 7. The transcriptions of $KIR3DL1$ in K562 cells, $p14^{ARF}$ in LOVO cells, $TP53BP2$ in HepG2 cells were significantly lower than their transcriptions in HFL-1 cells. Human lung fibroblasts HFL-1 was used as a methylation-negative control cell in this study due to the non-methylation of the promoters of three genes in this cell lines (39,40).

Discussion

This study describes a method for detecting DNA methylation. This method was evaluated by detecting the methylation of synthesized oligonucleotides and genomic DNAs from cancer cell lines. The methylation detection
between the complementary strands of individual DNA molecules have been extensively reported in previous studies, and also confirmed by the results of methylation detection of nine loci in the promoters of three genes in three tumor cell lines in this study. However, the presence of asymmetric methylation in genome was also found (48,49). The asymmetric methylation detected in the human IGF2-H19 imprinted region was associated with tissue-specific disruption of H19 genomic imprinting in the fetal brain (49). The relative importance of symmetric and asymmetric methylation in the regulation of gene expression is still unknown. Therefore, this method was designed to detect methylation of both DNA strands. Last, the combination of NIRF make this method with high sensitivity, high S/N ratio and deep tissue penetration capability, and as low as 0.9 pmol (3 nM x 100 μL x3) of methylated cytosines could be detected.

However, the method described here still has its limitations. For example, this method can not be used to determine the definite methylation pattern of a genomic location. In addition, antibody and the specialized detection system were required in this study which increase the detection cost.

It should be pointed out that each locus detected in this study contained DNA-binding sites of transcription factor E2F (Table 1) and the genes KIR3DL1, p14ARF and TP53BP2 are the known target genes of E2F (43,50,51). Many previous studies demonstrated that the methylation of the promoters of these genes inversely correlated with the expression levels of these genes (43,50-53). This study detected the methylations of nine loci in the promoters of these genes in three cancer cell lines with this method, the results were supported by bisulfite sequencing PCR and previous studies. The method has several advantages over current methods, such as free of bisulfite conversion, PCR and other previous studies. The method has several advantages over current methods, such as free of bisulfite conversion, PCR amplification and immunoprecipitation. This study thus provides a new tool for the epigenomic studies.
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