Introduction: role of Bruton’s tyrosine kinase (BTK) in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL)

CLL is the most common leukemia in the western world and is characterized by the accumulation of monoclonal mature circulating CD5+ B cells that generally express low levels of surface immunoglobulin (Ig) (1,2). Many lines of evidence indicate that chronic signaling through the B-cell receptor (BCR) plays a key role in CLL pathogenesis (1,2). CLL prognosis is correlated with the BCR somatic hypermutation status and the CLL BCR repertoire is highly restricted. Often, CLL cells show constitutive activation of several kinases that are activated immediately downstream of the BCR. Thus, the BCR signaling pathway is aberrantly active in CLL and may play a role in disease development. One of the signal transduction molecules downstream of the BCR is BTK, a Tec family non-receptor kinase that is crucial for its enzymatic function (Figure 1). Upon BCR engagement, BTK is phosphorylated by LYN or SYK in the kinase domain at position Y551, following recruitment to the cell membrane by phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP3), which is generated by phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) (Figure 2) (3,4). When the BTK kinase domain is phosphorylated, it subsequently undergoes autophosphorylation in the Src homology (SH) 3 domain at position Y223 (Figure 1). Subsequently, BTK can activate and phosphorylate other signaling molecules including AKT and phospholipase Cγ2 (PLCγ2). This will lead to the activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway and NF-κB translocation from the cytoplasm to the nucleus, which—together with AKT—is crucially involved in B cell survival, proliferation and differentiation (Figure 2).

BTK activity likely represents a critical step in BCR signaling, as inferred from several findings showing that BTK protein levels are decisive for B cell function (4). First, sub-physiological protein expression of Btk cannot rescue the x-linked immunodeficiency (xid) phenotype in Btk-deficient mice, whereas physiological Btk levels can completely restore the xid phenotype in Btk-deficient mice (3). Upon BCR activation in mouse and human B cells, BTK protein levels are upregulated, although the mechanisms involved are not fully understood (6,7). It has been shown that BTK can induce its own transcription in
Figure 1  Bruton’s tyrosine kinase (BTK) structure and regulation of the BTK gene by microRNAs (miRNAs). (A) Schematic overview of the protein structure of BTK. The pleckstrin homology (PH) domain is involved in recruitment of BTK to the cell membrane. The Tec homology (TH) and the Src homology (SH) 2 and 3 domains are involved in binding of different proteins (3,4). The Y223 autophosphorylation site, the Y551 phosphorylation site in the kinase domain, which activates BTK, and the C481 binding site of ibrutinib are shown. (B) Schematic overview of the putative binding sites of the six miRNAs in the 438 bp long 3’ UTR of BTK, as shown in Bottoni et al. (5).

Figure 2  Regulation of BTK expression and activation. Histone deacetylase (HDAC) complexes are recruited to the promoter region of BTK-targeting miRNAs, where they reduce the expression of BTK-targeting miRNAs, leading to increased levels of BTK protein (5) (Left). It remains unknown whether HDAC complexes directly affect the BTK expression. Stimulation of the B-cell receptor (BCR), results in the activation of CD79a/b and subsequently activates the indicated downstream signaling molecules, including BTK (right). See text for more information.
Targeting BTK in CLL

Because BCR signaling was implicated in CLL pathogenesis and because of the critical role of BTK in BCR signaling, it became attractive to target BTK to develop new treatment modalities for B cell malignancies. Several BTK inhibitors were developed of which the irreversible inhibitor ibrutinib induced objective clinical responses in dogs with spontaneous B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma (3,11). Ibrutinib is an orally bioavailable, irreversible BTK inhibitor that binds specifically to a cysteine residue (C481) in the ATP-binding region of the BTK kinase domain (Figure 1) and thereby inhibits its enzymatic activity (11). Effective anti-tumor activity of ibrutinib was convincingly shown in clinical studies of relapsed/refractory CLL (12), rapidly leading to FDA approval of ibrutinib in November 2013. More recently, ibrutinib has also been approved for frontline use in CLL patients (13). Despite considerable clinical success of ibrutinib, there are some emerging concerns associated with its long-term clinical application. Ibrutinib is not a recommended choice for young patients: it commits patients to lifelong therapy, which can pose many issues, including lack of compliance and possible long-term toxicities. Moreover, continuous therapy was reported to lead to selection or outgrowth of resistant CLL clones, as described in a subset of CLL patients who showed disease relapse upon ibrutinib therapy. This phenomenon was attributed to either a BTK C481S mutation (the site of attack of ibrutinib), or to activating mutations in PLCγ2 (R665W, S707Y and L845F), an important downstream substrate of BTK (Figure 2) (14). The progression of disease while on ibrutinib therapy is associated with a poor outcome, as some patients experience rebound lymphadenopathy and symptoms upon discontinuation. This demands the need to develop novel therapeutic strategies, in particular the development of treatment combination strategies that could improve the current success rates without increasing the toxicities. In this regard, ibrutinib is currently being tested in combination with e.g., rituximab against FCR (fludarabine, cyclophosphamide and rituximab) in young CLL patients (NCT02048813) and against bendamustine/rituximab (BR) in CLL patients aged >65 years (NCT01886872).

Targeting BTK through miRNAs

In the article by Bottini et al., a novel strategy to dually target both the kinase activity and the expression levels of BTK was explored (5). Essentially, they used inhibition of histone deacetylases (HDACs) to increase the expression of miRNAs controlling BTK expression. HDACs function in repressor complexes to promote chromatin compaction by regulating deacetylation and demethylation of lysine residues on histones, thus resulting in epigenetic gene silencing. HDACs have previously been shown to silence the expression of various miRNAs implicated in CLL pathogenesis, including miR-15a, miR-16 and miR-29b (15), known to be epigenetically regulated in CLL. However, the role of miRNAs in regulating BTK expression in the context of CLL and the effects of HDACs on BTK-targeting miRNAs remained unexplored.

miRNAs are a class of endogenous noncoding double-stranded RNA molecules of about 19–23 nucleotides in length, which regulate gene expression at either the transcriptional or the post-transcriptional level (16). Using their guide strand, called the miRNA-induced silencing complex (miRISC), miRNAs bind to miRNA recognition elements (MREs) within the 3’ or 5’ untranslated regions (UTRs) of target messenger RNA (mRNA) molecules and thereby block mRNA translation, reduce mRNA stability or induce mRNA cleavage (16). miRNAs are critical for numerous aspects of the regulation and maintenance of the mammalian immune system (17). Regarding CLL, it has been reported that about 50% of patients have deletions at...
13q14, which are associated with downregulation of miR-15a and miR-16 (18). Loss of this miRNA cluster results in overexpression of survival genes, including BCL-2 and MCL-1, thereby inducing resistance to apoptosis. In addition, several other miRNAs have been implicated in the regulation of the expression of proteins with oncogenic and tumor suppressor function in CLL [recently reviewed in (19)]. Bottoni et al. demonstrated that a set of six miRNAs predicted to target BTK with high specificity by several algorithms (miR-147b, miR-210, miR-425, miR-1253, miR-4269, and miR-4667-3p) substantially decreased BTK expression in Mec2 cell lines derived from CLL patients (5). Putative binding sites for these miRNAs were identified within the BTK 3’ UTR, and evidence was provided for direct interaction (Figure 1). Most interestingly, they found that ectopic expression of two of these miRNAs, miR-210 and miR-425, significantly reduced expression of BTK protein across the primary CLL samples tested. Conversely, antagonizing miR-210 and miR-425 activity resulted in increased BTK protein levels in Mec2 cells. The finding that the levels of several BTK-targeting miRNAs, including miR-210 and miR-425, were significantly lower in CLL cells than in normal B cells provided a mechanistic explanation for the robust expression of BTK in CLL (5).

Combination of ibrutinib and HDAC inhibitors as a novel therapeutic modality

Next, Bottoni et al. hypothesized that HDAC repressor complexes could mediate silencing of BTK-targeting miRNAs in CLL, as was previously shown for other sets of miRNAs by the same group (15). Indeed, they established that HDAC repressor complexes were recruited specifically to the promoter regions of the BTK-targeting miRNAs in CLL. Treatment of primary CLL samples either with the HDAC inhibitors (HDACi) panobinostat and abexinostat or small interfering RNA-mediated knockdown of HDAC resulted in increased expression of BTK-targeting miRNAs and as a consequence decreased BTK mRNA and protein expression (5). The downregulation of the BTK-targeting miRNAs and response to HDACi was independent of cytogenetic group in CLL.

Since ibrutinib has already shown high success rates in the clinical management of CLL, the authors sought to combine ibrutinib with HDACi to target both the kinase activity and protein expression levels of BTK, using two entirely different molecular mechanisms. Whereas ibrutinib treatment inhibited BTK phosphorylation (while preserving its expression levels), abexinostat treatment resulted in significant reduction of total BTK protein and thereby also of phosphorylated-BTK. This reduction in activating status was also seen in distal downstream effectors of BTK, such as phosphorylated PLCγ2, p-ERK and p-AKT, indicating reduced BCR/BTK-mediated survival signaling. More importantly, the combination of HDACi with ibrutinib induced synergistic cytotoxicity in primary CLL cells compared to either agent alone. The effect of ibrutinib and HDACi combination on abrogating BTK-mediated signaling and diminishing CLL cell survival were also observed in the widely studied EµTCL-1 mouse model of CLL (20) and was superior to either agent alone. Adoptive transfer experiments demonstrated that combination of abexinostat and ibrutinib in vivo efficiently reduced leukemic cell counts (5). Although not discussed, it would be very interesting to establish whether HDACi and ibrutinib have a synergistic effect on survival of EµTCL-1 mice.

Similar to other cancer treatments, selection or outgrowth of resistant clones is a major problem in clinical management of CLL. This also holds true both for conventional chemotherapeutics and novel targeted drugs including ibrutinib, which pose considerable selection pressure for the CLL cells to escape elimination. Therefore, Bottoni et al. also investigated the outcome of HDACi on ibrutinib-resistant CLL cells that harbor the C481S BTK mutation (5,14). The authors tested the effects of HDACi on CLL before ibrutinib therapy and after the acquisition of the C481S BTK mutation. Depletion of BTK protein and reduced levels of p-PLCγ2, p-ERK and p-AKT were observed, regardless of the BTK C481S mutational status or response to ibrutinib therapy.

In various forms of cancer HDACi is a successful therapy leading to amelioration of disease. Given the general effects of HDACi on gene expression, it is remarkable that Bottoni et al. found that HDACi specifically increased BTK-targeting miRNAs. In their studies, abexinostat treatment reduced BTK expression, but did not appear to affect other signal transduction molecules downstream of the BCR, such as PLCγ2, AKT and ERK. It has been shown that several miRNAs, including miR-155, are overexpressed in CLL, diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL) and multiple myeloma (MM) patients (21). Because the inositol phosphatase SHIP1 is a primary target of miR-155 (22), HDACi may lead to lower expression of SHIP1, which negatively regulates BCR signaling by dephosphorylating PIP3. Thus, it is conceivable that via miR-155 and SHIP1,
the effectivity of BTK-targeting therapy mediated by HDACi would be dampened. Given the observed significant downregulation of PLC\(\gamma\)2 phosphorylation, however, there is no evidence for decreased SHIP1 activity. Bottino et al. did not investigate direct effects of HDACi on BTK locus accessibility, e.g., through promoter or enhancer elements in the BTK locus. However, the observed robust downregulation of BTK protein levels by HDACi clearly indicates that the influence of such direct effects on BTK expression is negligible.

**Future perspectives**

Ibrutinib treatment has shown clear clinical anti-tumor effects in CLL and various other B cell malignancies (3,12,13), even though this compound is not highly specific: it also binds to EGFR, TEC and ITK (11), potentially compromising its therapeutic index. It remains unclear what the physiological effects would be of putative inhibition of TEC activity by ibrutinib in CLL cells. On the one hand it has been reported in mice that TEC can partly compensate for the absence of Btk in B cells, but on the other hand we recently found that Akt signaling was increased in TEC-deficient B cells (23). Ibrutinib treatment might enhance immune responses or autoimmune symptoms in patients, as it has been found that by targeting ITK in T cells ibrutinib drives differentiation of T helper cells to a Th1 phenotype (24). Nevertheless, it is not very likely that the effectivity of ibrutinib is partially due to its non-specific nature, because the more selective BTK inhibitor acalabrutinib has also shown high effectiveness in relapsed CLL in clinical trials (25). It is attractive to explore combination therapies of HDACi with acalabrutinib, which has a promising safety profile, or other selective BTK inhibitors. Remarkably, the exact mechanism how ibrutinib ameliorates CLL disease is currently unknown. It is believed that BTK inhibition has a direct effect on B cell survival, but there is also evidence that it has major effects on B cell migration and adhesion and thereby on B cell homing and retention in a favorable micro-environment (2,3). It would thus be interesting to test the effects of HDACi (in combination with ibrutinib or acalabrutinib) on CLL cell migration and adhesion.

Next to combining HDACi with ibrutinib, combinations with a BCL-2 inhibitor or inhibitors that target other BCR signaling molecules, such as PI3K or SYK, would be very interesting with respect to treatment of CLL or other B cell malignancies. Furthermore, it would be worthwhile to develop compounds that efficiently and selectively target PLC\(\gamma\)2, because of the ibrutinib-induced gain-of-function mutations in PLC\(\gamma\)2 (14). Although CLL cells with C481S-mutant BTK can be very well targeted by HDACi, patients with an ibrutinib-induced constitutive active PLC\(\gamma\)2 mutation will not benefit from HDACi because it does not affect PLC\(\gamma\)2 protein expression.

The findings by Bottino et al. (5) provide convincing evidence that effective reduction of BTK expression by HDAC inhibitors and targeting enzymatic activity of BTK may be a promising therapeutic modality that suppresses survival signals in CLL. This raises an important question: should ibrutinib and HDACi be given simultaneusely, or should HDACi be started before ibrutinib treatment or after ibrutinib treatment. Because of current problems of toxicity in CLL patients with HDACi and side-effects from ibrutinib, it might not be wise to give the therapeutics simultaneously. The rationale of giving HDACi as second-line therapy after ibrutinib may be more sensible, since the authors showed that C481S-mutant BTK can still be targeted. Additional studies are required to establish the best treatment strategy and, importantly, should show whether HDACi is beneficial for patients that show a limited response to BTK inhibition. Furthermore, other leukemic diseases, such as MM, mantle cell leukemia, and activated B-cell-like DLBCL, could very well benefit from combination therapy with ibrutinib and HDACi. Finally, this might also be the case for patients with autoimmune diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Currently, clinical trials with BTK inhibition are ongoing and BTK was recently shown to be specifically enhanced in RA patients expressing anti-citrullinated protein antibodies (7). In this context, there is also a rationale for HDACi treatment: in follicular B cells, miR-185 expression downregulates BCR responsiveness by BTK-dependent mechanisms and absence of miR-185 leads to the development of systemic autoimmune disease (9).
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