Bladder cancer (BC) as epigenome disease

Cancer arises as a consequence of accumulating genomic alterations, which affect primarily oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes (TSGs). This condition creates a particular landmark that can be exploited in therapy. Not surprisingly, many pharma companies launched programs aimed to inhibit the gain of function activities caused by oncogene mutation and/or amplification, and many of these inhibitors are currently in the clinics. The situation is not so simple in the case of TSGs, where a common loss of function precludes, in most cases, their actual use as therapeutic targets.

BC is a clinical challenge due to its incidence, prevalence and mortality rates (1). Moreover it also represents an economic problem as, due to its high rate of recurrence, a regular surveillance by cystoscopy and urine cytology is required (2). BCs are also characterized by the frequent alterations in genes governing chromatin organization and histone modifications, as shown by whole exome sequencing studies, leading to particular changes in the epigenome reflected in altered expression of multiple genes (3). Chromatin regulatory elements, which are epigenetic molecules that regulate flexible processes based on little post-transcriptional modifications such as acetylation, methylation or ubiquitination to express or repress genes, appeared therefore highly altered in BC and, within these alterations, at least 89% are histone remodelers and 64% nucleosome positioning genes (4).

The role of epigenetics is surprisingly wide and involves not only chromatin remodelers, but also changes in DNA methylation, expression of miRNAs, lncRNAs, etc. Therefore, epigenetic factors are becoming attractive targets to develop new treatments for patients. Regarding chromatin remodelers in BCs, it is worth considering the two main pathological entities of these diseases: the non-muscle invasive BC (NMIBC), and muscle invasive BC (MIBC). While this pathological classification is now under question due to the discovery of intrinsic subtypes (5), through whole transcriptome studies, similar to other solid tumors, it also has huge relevance as it currently defines the possible therapeutic options. The NMIBC are treated by transurethral resections, in some cases followed by intravesical therapy, whereas the MIBC are regularly treated by cystectomy followed by chemotherapy. Although NMIBCs have a more favorable prognosis, they frequently recur and acquire MIBC features. Therefore, it is necessary to identify and characterize precise biomarkers of recurrence and progression for early diagnosis and follow-up, which may become possible therapeutic options. The aberrant epigenetic landscape is a hallmark of human cancer (6) and characterizes BC as an epigenome disease. The identified epigenetic alterations suggest new possibilities for the treatment of different bladder tumors, making them good candidates for epigenetic therapy.
**Therapy for synthetic lethality in suppressor genes**

Synthetic lethality occurs when the expression of two genes (or their mutated counterparts) promotes cell death. Usually these two genes are not co-expressed, are mutually exclusive, and confounding a lethal interaction. These lethal interactions are based either on genetic mutations or the introduction of molecules with a known cytotoxic effect in cancer cells or patients. The acquisition of mutations confers tumor cell advantages in proliferation, survival and even drug resistance, attributing them a pattern of differential gene regulation to normal cells. This hallmark can convert tumor cells into therapeutic targets, identifying those alterations that can be targeted to induce specifically synthetic lethality (7). Therefore, the use of synthetic lethality strategies would bring us closer to more targeted treatments for different patients (5). Nowadays, progress is being made in synthetic lethality of genes such as RB1, TP53, BRCAI1, RAS and C-Myc (8).

There are different experimental methodologies to identify the inactivation of genes that can show a lethal phenotype under a given genotype. Currently, in some cancers with TSGs mutants, large libraries of small hairpin RNA (shRNA) (9), small interfering RNA (siRNA) (10), and RNA guides for gene editing using CRISPR/Cas9 or TALENs (11) are commonly used. The main difference between these techniques is the inactivation efficiency: while CRISPR engineering allows for complete silencing of genes, the use of shRNA or siRNA induces a downregulation of the genes that is temporary and with greater variability between recognizable sequences. These small RNAs can recognize other target sites, known as off-targets, which distort the interpretation of data, creating false positive genes for synthetic lethality (9). Regarding CRISPR/Cas9 approaches, they may lead to side off effects due to the guides selected. However, CRISPR/Cas9 can be so selective and efficient that the inactivation of an essential gene is lethal per se, and therefore does not allow to see the phenotype that can be observed with the use of shRNA. In addition, most of the drugs in development are competitive inhibitors, so that partial inhibition promoted by shRNA allows for the reproduction of the pharmacological effect.

In BC, substantial evidence that the epigenome shows profound alterations is reflected in a frequent silencing in some well-known TSGs such as histone demethylase KDM6A, which is mutated in 24% of BC cases, or ARID1A, which is part of the SWI/SNF complex of nucleosomes and altered in 25% of BC cases (6). Mutations in these tumor suppressors, often deep deletions or putative truncating mutation (4), may help identify patients susceptible to synthetic lethality, one of the most promising recent approaches in epigenetic therapy. In different tumor subtypes in which there is already a silenced gene, an interesting possibility could be to take advantage of this silencing to perform the inhibition of an antagonist of KDM6A and ARID1A tumor suppressors, like EZH2, to promote synthetic lethality. EZH2, a histone methyltransferase that regulates gene silencing through the H3K27me3 mark, is part of the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) together with other factors such as EED or SUZ12. Upregulated EZH2 can inactivate the transcription of many other suppressor genes, thus having an oncogenic functionality. Interestingly, EZH2 is involved in the processes of recurrence and progression in NMIBC (12). Furthermore, different studies have found that the suppressor genes KDM6A and ARID1A antagonistically regulate the expression of genes involved in cell proliferation and survival, such as PIK3IP1, which negatively regulates the PI3K- AKT pathway, and IGFBP3, which is involved in anti-proliferative signals, appears frequently silenced in BC, and is activated by inhibiting EZH2 (10,11).

Therefore, synthetic lethality emerges as a novel approach in targeted therapy for BC, as well as many other cancers, as it reduces the secondary effects of chemotherapies, tackles drug resistance, and improves knowledge of signaling pathways to define more accurately the different tumor subtypes. Moreover, synthetic lethality provides an additional way for the individualized treatment of patients (9).

**The complexity of EZH2 as treatment target: mutations, different roles and acquired resistance**

Among the most altered chromatin remodelers altered in BC, inactivating mutations in the histone H3 lysine 27 (H3K27) demethylase KDM6A (also known as UTX) were most common and enriched in NMIBCs (32–43%) (1,3), whereas inactivating mutations in the SET family histone H3 lysine 4 (H3K4) methyltransferase MLL2 were more common in MIBCs (19%), and mutations in KDM6A and MLL2 were mutually exclusive (1). This fact is not well understood at present and would require in depth chromatin immunoprecipitation/sequencing (ChIP-seq).
future studies.

*KDM6A* gene is a histone H3 trimethyl (H3K27me3) demethylase located on chromosome Xp11.2. This gene plays specific functions creating a transcription-permissive chromatin structure through its demethylase activity, and displays multiple alterations in a wide range of human tumors, in most cases leading to loss of function situation (Figure 1A,B). This has led to the assumption that KDM6A represents a bona fide TSG in the BC context.

The KDM6A function opposes to that of EZH2, which is the catalytic subunit of PRC2, frequently overexpressed in multiple malignancies (prostate, breast, bladder, endometrial, melanoma, etc.) showing a positive correlation with high grades and worse prognosis (1,12,13). This frequent overexpression has also promoted the increased interest in developing EZH2 inhibitors still presently in clinical trials (1,13,14).

In addition, the functional antagonism between KDM6A

---

Figure 1 KDM6 mutations in cancer in TCGA database (retrieved in cBioPortal). (A) KDM6A alteration frequency, mutation and copy number alteration (CNA data) in different databases of human tumors. Mutations are represented in green, deletions in blue, amplification in red, and multiple alterations in grey. Circles represent the mutation position; (B) representation of the described KDM6A mutations along the gene. Green circles represent missense mutations, and black circles represent truncating mutations.
and EZH2 has been recently exploited to find possible new avenues in BC therapy. In a recent elegant study, Ler et al. have confirmed that KDM6A is frequently lost in MIBC and NMIBC accounting for the enrichment in PRC2-regulated signaling (11). Remarkably through various experimental approaches, they also showed that loss of KDM6A confers specific vulnerabilities to EZH2 inhibition. In particular, EZH2 inhibition delays tumor onset and induces tumor regression of KDM6A-null cells and patient-derived xenografts models. This work represents an excellent example of how TSG loss vulnerabilities can be exploited in the context of cancer therapy. Of note, similar vulnerability to EZH2 inhibitors has been previously reported for ovarian tumors bearing mutations in ARID1A gene (10). ARID1A encodes a component of the SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complex, and also shows a high mutation rates in multiple cancer types, thus playing various TSG functions. Similarly, loss of ARID1B in ARID1A-deficient backgrounds destabilizes SWI/SNF and impairs proliferation in cancer cells (15). The SWI/SNF complex is composed by the combinatorial assembly of around 15 subunits and contributes to DNA repair and to transcriptional regulation in a lineage-specific manner. Since genetic approaches in various organisms, including fly, showed opposite roles for SWI/SNF and Polycomb mutations, the possible susceptibility of tumors bearing ARID1A, PBRM1 and SMARCA4 alterations to EZH2 inhibition has also been tested (7). Importantly SWI/SNF-mutant cancer cells are primarily dependent on a non-catalytic role of EZH2, being only partially dependent on EZH2 histone methyltransferase activity (7).

This is also relevant in the context of BC. Indeed SWI/SNF-complex subunits are also frequently altered in BC patients (Figure 2) with various mutual exclusivity/co-occurrence situations. According to this, EZH2 is an attractive target for BC management. However, further elucidation and research on this aspect is extremely needed. On one hand, among the various EZH2 inhibitors under study some are catalytic inhibitors with pleiotropic actions due to their action as SAM-hydrolase or SAM-competitive inhibiting compounds (16), whereas others may affect the stability of PRC2 complexes. Accordingly, the complete determination of the dependence on EZH2 catalytic activity in the various mutated genes is required. On the other hand, the specificity of these inhibitors over EZH2 or EZH1 is strictly required. Indeed, these two proteins may play overlapping but also different functions in normal organism development and in cancer (17). In addition, it is worth to mention that several studies have demonstrated that specific EZH2 inhibitors seem to be particularly effective in impairing cell growth in a mutant EZH2 background, showing a low effectiveness in those cases with wild type EZH2 (16,18). It is also important to note here that effects of EZH2 catalytic inhibitors are really time-dependent, requiring a long-term treatment due to the slow kinetics of H3K27me3 turnover, thus highlighting the requirement for deep pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics in addition to toxicity studies.

Figure 2 Alterations in chromatin remodeler genes reported in bladder cancer in TCGA database (retrieved in cBioPortal) and their percentages. Filled red rectangle represents amplification, filled blue rectangle represents deep deletion, pink rectangle represents mRNA upregulation, blue rectangle represents mRNA downregulation, filled black squares represent truncating mutation (putative driver), filled grey squares represent truncating mutation (putative passenger), filled orange squares represent inframe mutation (putative passenger), filled green squares represent missense mutation (putative passenger).
Another aspect to consider is the existence of EZH2 mutations. While these have been considered rare in BC, they are frequent in other tumor types, such as various hematological tumors. Whereas in general the mutations lead to a gain-of-function of its enzymatic activity (19), in some other the mutations account for a loss of function, thus suggesting a possible TSG functions of EZH2 (20). In fact, inactivating and loss-of-function mutations in EZH2 have been reported in myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), MDS-MPN overlap disorders, and T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) (1). Interestingly, in T-ALL for instance, Ntziachristos et al. have demonstrated an interplay between an oncogenic role of NOTCH1 and tumor suppressor role for PRC2, opening a new therapeutic possibility by combining inhibitors of H3K27 demethylases and targeted anti-NOTCH1 therapies (21). These apparent discrepancies could be ascribed to different functions of EZH2 in several tissue types, implying that EZH2 role is not simply promote either stemness or differentiation per se, and leading to the idea that targeting its expression can have consequences highly cell type specific. Also in this regard, EZH2 gain-of-function, produced either by mutations or through inactivating mutations affecting others chromatin regulators antagonizing EZH2 activity, such as KDM6A mutations, can account for methylation of non-histone substrates, presenting a PRC2-independent function (22), such as those transcriptional activations mediated by AR in prostate cancer, by NF-kB or NOTCH1 in breast cancer, or by ER and WNT signaling transcription factors (1). The fact that loss of function in PRC2 genes or its substrate H3K27 is associated with oncogenesis highlights the need of being really cautious related to the use of EZH2 inhibitors in the clinic, since the possible long-term therapies needed would result in increased incidence of undesired secondary effects, including hematological malignancy development.

In conclusion, although the ongoing research provides new therapeutic options for the management of BC cancer patients based on specific mutations, and targeting epigenetic remodelers, a better understanding of their mechanistic roles is strictly required.
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