Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is now plaguing the health of middle-aged and aged men as the second most diagnosed malignancy in men worldwide. The incidence of PCa is lower in Asia than in Europe and the US, but the growth rate is much higher in Asia in recent years. The growth rate of the incidence of PCa in China was 2.1% from 1988 to 1994, and was 13.4% from 1994 to 2002 (1). Approximately 20% of PCa patients develop metastatic disease (2), and the most common metastatic sites of PCa are regional lymph nodes, bones, distant lymph nodes, lungs and liver (3). However, metastasis from primary PCa to the ureters is extremely rare. This study reports a hidden ureteral metastasis originating from PCa and reviewed relevant literature.

Case presentation

Clinical history and surgery

A 61-year-old male was admitted to our department because of having right flank pain and a fever for 10 days. Hematuria was not present. There was a palpable mass at the right lower quadrant and right renal percussive pain. Computed tomography urography (CTU) showed right hydroureteronephrosis obstructed by soft tissue in the right ureter, retroperitoneal and left iliac vascular area lymphadenectomy. In addition, transitional cell carcinoma (TCC) was highly suspected. Ureteroscopy was performed, but nothing was found. Radical nephroureterectomy (RNU) with bladder cuff removal was finally applied as a thorough treatment based on its malignant intra-operative frozen result. Pathological examination revealed right ureteral carcinoma in the form of metastatic PCa. The Gleason score was 9 (5+4), grade V. We determined that RNU with bladder cuff removal was the best treatment for him and hematogenous metastasis was the most possible metastatic pathway from the prostate to the right ureter.
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catheter (thinner than a double J stent) had easily passed through with no visible detection at the middle and lower parts of the right ureter by a ureteroscope. We were unable to continue to push the ureteroscope forward because of its structure, which became thicker moving from the distal to the proximal direction. While inserting a double J stent, we found it hard to insert it completely through the ureteral lumen. We were afraid of tearing the ureter and finally gave up on inserting the double J stent. Then, magnetic resonance hydrography (MRH) was performed (Figure 1D-F), which produced a similar result as that of CTU. In addition, transitional cell carcinoma (TCC) was highly suspected by imaging experts and us. The TNM stage was hypothesized to be T\textsubscript{X}N\textsubscript{2}M\textsubscript{0}.

In the next few days, the patient was deteriorating in both physical and mental status. He felt a frequent pain in the right flank and ate less; worst of all, he had destructive feelings. He was eager to be treated surgically as soon as possible. His relatives also requested us to remove the ureteral mass. Therefore, excision of his right ureteral mass (Figure 2) was performed, and all the aforementioned symptoms were taken into consideration during surgery. We found that the tumor was wrapped around the ureter, and there was were no enlarged lymph nodes beside it. The intra-operative frozen section of the mass was determined to be invasive carcinoma. Then, radical nephroureterectomy (RNU) with bladder cuff removal was performed, as it is the standard treatment of TCC patients, and because there was normal renal function on the unaffected side (4).

Pathological features

Another surprising finding was the pathological examination revealed right ureteral carcinoma, which indicated a
metastatic PCa (Figure 3A). Immunohistochemical staining showed that the cells were positive for Ki67 (approximately 20%), PSA and P504S (Figure 3B). Cancer tissue had infiltrated the full-thickness of the ureter wall, and the Gleason score was 9 (5+4), grade V.

Subsequent treatment

The patient was informed of the results and was advised to finish relevant medical examinations in the local hospital, including measuring his prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level as well as undergoing prostatic MRI and bone scintigraphy. The total PSA (tPSA) level was 309.70 ng/mL, and prostate Prostatic MRI showed evidence of PCa with a great possibility of multiple bone metastases in the pelvis. Bone metastasis at the femoral head was confirmed by bone scintigraphy.

One-week focal radiotherapy was performed immediately after that procedure, and the patient was managed with intermittent androgen deprivation (IAD) therapy using bicalutamide and goserelin. The PSA level kept decreasing to less than 0.2 ng/mL in the fourth month of IAD therapy. The patient now feels better, and we will focus on regular follow-up to his condition.

Discussion

Approximately 43 case reports of PCa with ureteral metastasis have been reported in the last century (5). We searched PubMed and found 9 available studies from 2010 to 2015 (6-14) (Table 1). Of these cases, eight cases were diagnosed with metastatic PCa, one of which was castration-resistant metastatic PCa. One case was metastatic PCa with TCC (6). These patients were aged from 55 to 76 years at diagnosis, with a median age of 67 years. All GS grades scores were no less than 7.

Diagnosis and surgical treatment

Approximately 90% of ureteral malignancies are TCC (15). Metastatic tumors to the ureters are fairly rare, and relatively common primary tumors are originated in the breast, colon, lymph and lungs (5). However, all possible diagnoses should be considered when confronting ureteral masses, including stones, blood clots, TCC, metastatic tumors and lymphadenopathy (6,10).

Metastatic PCa was considered a differential diagnosis of a ureteral mass mainly because of abnormal DRE and PSA levels and regardless of the only exception of a case with normal results (7). Therefore, DRE and PSA screening are necessary for male patients with a ureteral mass. Biopsy is the only way of uncovering the nature of a ureteral mass despite a high suspicion of PCa. The study of Chalasani et al. reported a patient with a right ureteral mass and PCa, but the biopsy result showed TCC (6). Therefore, ureteroscopy and biopsy are standard inspection means for a ureteral mass.

In the present patient, the middle and lower parts of the ureteral lumen were complete and smooth from the perspective of ureteroscopy, which is considered unusual for most other cases of PCa with ureteral metastasis and tumors exposed to the lumen. Therefore, we could not obtain suspicious visible tissue for pathological diagnosis by ureteroscopy. Percutaneous biopsy is used widely to obtain suspicious tissue, but we seldom perform this type of biopsy to detect the nature of a ureteral mass, especially...
for the lower part. Because ureters are thin and sometimes twisty, it is difficult to localize position accurately to the lower part of the ureter using an ultrasound machine. Then, neoadjuvant platinum-containing chemotherapy was put forward as one of the first potential therapies, but it was eventually negated for the following reasons: first, the patient’s sensitivity to this therapy was unknown; second, the condition of the patient was deteriorating, and he was probably unable to tolerate the chemotherapy; third, he and his relatives refused this kind of therapy. RNU with bladder cuff removal was finally performed as a thorough treatment considering its intra-operative frozen result. However, it is necessary to reflect on whether this procedure was the best treatment for the patient.

Otta et al. noted that treatment of ureteral metastasis of PCa includes nephroureterectomy, segmental resection, and conservative approaches (12). However, segmental resection is not a good idea for the patient because he has a scar physique, which will possibly cause ureteral stricture again. Furthermore, nephrostomy with androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is another infeasible approach because of the uncertain nature of the mass of our patient before the pathological examination results. Additionally, Denis and Hiroshi reported patients developing ureteral metastasis of PCa during ADT, which indicated the potential risks of conservative approaches (10,13). Thus, as far as we are concerned, RNU with bladder cuff removal was the best treatment for this patient. We further suggest that the suspicious ureteral malignancy of an unknown nature should be resected as soon as possible to reduce the risk of metastasis or further metastasis. The patient underwent CTU and MRH preoperatively. The results showed no detection of bone metastasis preoperatively, but the results were positive for bone metastasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>tPSA</th>
<th>DRE</th>
<th>Surgical treatment</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>GS</th>
<th>Lymphadenectomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chalasani et al. 2010 (6)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Right ureter</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Cystoscopy + resection of bladder tumor; transrectal biopsy of prostate; nephroureterectomy</td>
<td>TCC + PCa</td>
<td>3+4</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jallad et al. 2012 (7)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Right ureter</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>NOR</td>
<td>Cystoscopy; nephroureterectomy</td>
<td>PCa</td>
<td>5+5</td>
<td>Surrounding the ureter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schneider et al. 2012 (8)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Right ureter</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Ureteroscopy; biopsy of the ureteral lesion; Nephrostomy</td>
<td>PCa</td>
<td>3+4</td>
<td>Suspicious extraluminal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. 2014 (9)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Left ureter</td>
<td>&gt;100.00</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Nephroureterectomy + bladder cuff excision.</td>
<td>PCa</td>
<td>3+4</td>
<td>Retroperitoneal around left ureter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hongo et al. 2014 (10)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Left ureter</td>
<td>59.56</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Nephroureterectomy</td>
<td>PCa</td>
<td>4+5</td>
<td>Pelvic + retroperitoneal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al. 2014 (11)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Right ureter</td>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Transrectal biopsy of prostate; resection of bladder tumor; ureteroscopy; nephroureterectomy</td>
<td>PCa</td>
<td>4+5</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otta et al. 2015 (12)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Left ureter</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Ureteroscopy; biopsy of the ureteral lesion; retention of a double J stent</td>
<td>PCa</td>
<td>3+4</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schallier et al. 2015 (13)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Left ureter</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Nephroureterectomy</td>
<td>CRM-PCa</td>
<td>4+4</td>
<td>Intra-abdominal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morales et al. 2015 (14)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Right ureter</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Transrectal biopsy of prostate; urethrocystoscopy; nephroureterectomy + right iliac lymphadenectomy</td>
<td>PCa</td>
<td>5+4</td>
<td>Right iliac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Right ureter</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ureteroscopy; nephroureterectomy + bladder cuff excision</td>
<td>PCa</td>
<td>4+5</td>
<td>Retroperitoneal and left iliac vascular area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

tPSA, total prostate specific antigen; DRE, digital rectal examination; GS, Gleason grade; PR, positive result; NOR, normal; NM, not mentioned.
postoperatively. There were two possible causes for these results: (I) bone metastasis did not exist preoperatively; or (II) bone metastasis was too obscure to be detected by imaging examinations preoperatively, but developed quickly. Therefore, we decided bone scintigraphy was necessary for detecting the bone metastasis originating from PCa.

**ADT**

When the patient was diagnosed with metastatic PCa, we suggested that he undergo ADT, which was widely used all over the world. Chemohormonal therapy was not considered because it is still not carried out in our hospital, so we lack such experience. However, the patient could have undergone an IAD therapy or continuous androgen deprivation (CAD) therapy, and we informed him about the difference between IAD and CAD (16). The patient was only 61 years old, and he expressed high expectations regarding social activity and physical capacity. Therefore, he chose IAD and wanted to restore his physical capacity and participate in social activities during the period of drug withdrawal.

**Pathway of cancer metastasis**

There are several hypothetical ureteral metastases of PCa including implantation by instrumentation, arterial emboli, direct extension of the tumor around the intravesical ureter and venous or lymphatic dissemination in a retrograde manner (17,18). It was hypothesized that the lymphatic circulation of the ureter is segmental and drains diagonally or transversely, and there is no continuous longitudinal lymphatic network draining directly from the prostatic area (19). In our case, venous and lymphatic dissemination are more possible. Retroperitoneal and left iliac vascular area lymphadenectomy of the patient indicate lymphatic dissemination, while multiple bone metastases are the result of venous dissemination. We found that there were no enlarged lymph nodes around the ureteral tumor and no tumors in the bladder. So, it is reasonable to believe that lymphatic and direct metastasis are excluded, and venous dissemination is the most plausible metastatic pathway of the ureteral metastatic tumor.
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