Introduction

Radiation therapy is one of the three major modalities for treating cancer, along with surgery and chemotherapy. Nearly half of all cancer patients will receive radiation therapy in either a curative or palliative setting (1). Clinically, radiation oncologists and physicists have harnessed milestones in physics and engineering to improve outcomes for patients receiving radiation. Such milestones include the discovery of X-rays, protons, and nuclear
GEMM technologies through the lens of radiobiology in three contexts: (I) studying normal tissue radiation injury; (II) dissecting tumor response to radiation therapy; (III) developing next-generation mouse models.

In vivo short hairpin RNA (shRNA) to investigate normal tissue radiation injury and carcinogenesis

As the number of people diagnosed with cancer each year grows, so does the number of patients living with the long-term complications of radiation therapy. Approximately half of all patients diagnosed with cancer will receive radiation as a part of their therapy regimen (10,11). Regardless of whether exposure to ionizing radiation is the result of medical therapy or accidental exposure, normal healthy tissues are inadvertently exposed to radiation, which may result in a variety of toxicities and even secondary malignancies. Despite the rapid advances in radiation oncology that reduce exposure of surrounding healthy tissues by enabling radiation to be delivered precisely to tumor sites, collateral exposure of normal tissue is unavoidable. As the number of radiation survivors increases, it is essential to understand the mechanisms regulating normal tissue radiation injury and radiation carcinogenesis.

In some radiosensitive tissues, such as the hematopoietic system, the tumor suppressor p53 promotes the apoptotic cell death and acute tissue toxicity associated with radiotherapy (12-14). Therefore, blocking p53-mediated cell death during radiotherapy may represent an effective treatment strategy for cancer patients by minimizing the injury to normal tissue without obstructing the response of p53 mutant tumors (14-16). In order to model the temporary loss of p53 that would occur with a p53 inhibitor, we utilized the tetracycline-responsive element (TRE) to drive the in vivo expression of a shRNA against p53 (17,18). The reverse tetracycline-controlled transactivator (rtTA) was expressed ubiquitously and induced expression of the p53 shRNA only in the presence of doxycycline (Figure 1). These compound transgenic mice enabled us to decrease p53 mRNA expression in an inducible and reversible manner in p53 wild type mice. Temporary induction of the p53 shRNA during total body irradiation improved the survival of mice from the hematopoietic acute radiation syndrome by protecting hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPC) from radiation-induced cell death. These results suggest that a p53 inhibitor given concurrently with radiotherapy may ameliorate radiation-induced injury in the hematopoietic system.
Although temporary knockdown of p53 with this tetracycline-regulated mouse model protected normal tissue from radiation, mice with germline p53 deletion develop radiation-induced cancer (19,20). Therefore, one potential risk associated with p53 inhibition during radiotherapy is that the incidence of secondary tumors may be exacerbated. Other researchers evaluated the tumor suppressor function of p53 during irradiation, but these studies made use of mice that were functionally p53 null or had permanent deletion of p53 (Figure 2) (21,22). One key advantage of the

Figure 1 Tetracycline-inducible systems for in vivo shRNA expression. An rtTA relies on the presence of tetracycline (+Tet), such as doxycycline, for induction of gene or shRNA expression. Expression is turned off in the absence of tetracycline (−Tet). shRNA, short hairpin RNA; rtTA, reverse tetracycline-controlled transactivator; TRE, tetracycline-responsive element.

Figure 2 Mouse modeling approaches to evaluate the tumor suppressor function of p53 during radiation. Using a tamoxifen-inducible p53 knock-in mouse model that is functionally p53 null (dashed line), Christophorou et al. showed that induction of functional p53 activity (red block) during radiation did not improve the survival of mice as compared to mice with no restoration of p53. However, delaying p53 restoration by approximately one week post radiation resulted in delayed onset of lymphomas (21). Hinkal et al. utilized a tamoxifen-inducible mouse model to delete p53 two weeks before, two weeks after, or concurrent with radiation exposure. No difference was observed in survival of the mice regardless of the p53 status at the time of radiation (22). By controlling the expression of a shRNA against p53 in a temporal and reversible manner, Lee et al. showed that temporarily blocking p53 during fractionated radiotherapy improved the tumor-free survival of mice by decreasing the incidence of lymphomas (18).
tetracycline-controlled p53 shRNA mouse model is that p53 expression is intact in the absence of doxycycline, mimicking the wild type p53 status of most cancer patients. This model was therefore well-equipped to address the question: does temporary loss of p53 during radiation increase the incidence of radiation-induced lymphomas? Surprisingly, we found that temporarily blocking p53 during fractionated radiation exposure enhanced the overall survival of mice by preventing lymphomagenesis. Knockdown of p53 during radiation also promoted the regeneration of the hematopoietic system by enhancing the fitness of HSPCs within the bone marrow. Furthermore, transplantation of bone marrow cells from mice with temporary loss of p53 during radiation into irradiated recipients was sufficient to suppress lymphomagenesis. Together, these data revealed a new paradigm for the role of p53 during radiation: within the bone marrow, p53 promotes radiation-induced lymphomagenesis in a non-cell-autonomous manner.

**Cre-loxP technology to study normal tissue radiation injury**

The risk of late effects from radiation therapy frequently limits the maximum radiation dose that can be safely delivered to a malignancy. Therefore, studies to understand the mechanisms of radiation-induced normal tissue injury may be translated to increase the radiation dose to a tumor while preventing normal tissue injury. Cre-loxP technology is well-suited to dissect mechanisms of radiation-induced normal tissue injury. The bacteriophage P1-derived Cre-loxP system can be used to carry out site-specific deletions, insertions, and translocations within genomic DNA (Figure 3). The enzyme Cre recombinase recombines a pair of short palindromic sequences called loxP sites, which are inserted into genomic DNA to flank a target (‘floxed’) locus. Activity of the Cre recombinase can be controlled by various mechanisms, including ubiquitous expression, expression under a cell type-specific promoter, use of a ligand-inducible system (such as tamoxifen), or viral packaging for targeted injection and delivery. We used Cre-loxP technology to delete p53 specifically in endothelial cells using the Tie2-Cre and VE-Cadherin-Cre mice to demonstrate the role of endothelial cell-specific p53 in preventing radiation-induced myocardial injury in mice (23). Radiation-related heart disease is an important cause of treatment-associated mortality for patients receiving thoracic radiotherapy (24), and the findings from this preclinical work suggest that combining radiation therapy with inhibitors of p53 (25) may increase the risk for cardiac injury if the heart is in the radiation field.

However, the role of Cre-loxP technology goes beyond modeling and understanding the mechanisms of radiation-related normal tissue injury. These GEMMs can also be used to study biomarkers of normal tissue injury as well as...
various imaging modalities that can potentially be translated for early clinical intervention. For example, the Tie2-Cre; p53<sup>fl/fl</sup> mouse model has several characteristics that make it useful to study radiation-induced heart disease: genetic manipulation leads to decreased latency of disease compared to irradiation of wild type mice, and the severity of the cardiomyopathy is such that mortality reaches 100%, as opposed to 50% in genetically intact mice (26). Furthermore, the dose of radiation delivered in a single fraction to the heart to elicit such a profound phenotype is only 60% of that delivered to wild type mice and rats (12 vs. 20 Gy) (27). This Tie2-Cre; p53<sup>fl/fl</sup> model utilizes Cre-loxP technology to exploit the biology of the DNA damage response to generate an efficient model of radiation-induced normal tissue injury with high penetrance, accelerated onset, and a severe phenotype. This GEMM has also been adopted for the study of dual energy-microCT, 4D-microCT, and microSPECT imaging to assess changes in vascular permeability and cardiac function (28), which are surrogates for myocardial injury. These preclinical imaging approaches developed in GEMMs have the potential to be translated as imaging biomarkers to identify patients treated with radiation who have developed cardiac injury that may progress to radiation-related heart disease.

In addition to the development of preclinical imaging modalities using GEMMs, Cre-mediated recombination of fluorescent reporters can also be used for additional resolution of cellular proliferation and lineage tracing. Cre-mediated recombination of the confetti allele can be used to randomly tag airway progenitor cells with one of four fluorescent lineage reporters. Using this technique to perform in vivo lineage tracing, Farin et al. revealed the stark difference between the effects of low- and high-linear energy transfer (LET) radiation in vivo and in vitro. While radiation induces a decrease in colony forming ability in vitro, a significant increase in clonal expansion of progenitor cells is observed in vivo following exposure to either low- or high-LET radiation (29). These findings suggest that a reduction in proliferation-competent progenitor cells after radiation exposure actually results in clonal expansion of the remaining progenitor cells in vivo. This example demonstrates the application of Cre-loxP technology for lineage tracing of specific progenitor cells for in vivo clonogenic assays, which exhibit dramatically different results compared to those performed using traditional in vitro cell culture methods.

Concern over the potential exposure of civilians to high-dose radiation has led to increased interest in understanding mechanisms underlying radiation injury. Specifically, no medical countermeasures are approved to prevent or treat the radiation-induced gastrointestinal (GI) syndrome, and the cellular targets of radiation-induced toxicity in the GI tract and molecular mechanisms underlying cell death in the GI syndrome remain active areas of investigation. Using Cre-loxP technology to delete p53 and essential mediators of the intrinsic apoptotic cascade specifically in GI epithelial cells, we found that p53 controlled the radiation-induced GI syndrome in mice through a mechanism independent of apoptosis (30). These experiments utilize genetic manipulation within a particular cellular compartment to elucidate a specific mechanism for acute radiation syndrome. Studies such as these have significant implications for efforts to develop medical countermeasures against radiation due to the cellular and molecular resolution they provide.

**Cre-loxP and FLP-FRT technology to dissect mechanisms of tumor response to radiation**

Cre recombinase has been widely utilized for the simultaneous manipulation of multiple genes to study tumorigenesis and tumor progression in the mouse model system, leading to the development of GEMMs of a wide variety of primary cancers. As described above, the activity of the site-specific recombinase Cre, as well as an analogous recombinase derived from *Saccaromyces cerevisiae* called flippase (FLP), can be controlled by various mechanisms, allowing ubiquitous, cell type-specific, or inducible activity (Figure 3). Through these various mechanisms, one can spatially and temporally restrict genetic recombination by Cre and FLP at loxP and FLP recombinase target (FRT) sites (respectively) in order to investigate mechanisms of tumor formation, progression, and response to radiation therapy.

These tools have proven to be particularly well-suited for the study of radiation biology. For example, use of Cre-loxP technology to generate GEMMs of primary non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with various initiating mutations has revealed that genetic factors within histologically indistinguishable tumor parenchymal cells influence in vivo tumor growth delay after radiation (31), which has important clinical implications for patients receiving radiation therapy. Furthermore, the use of micro-CT has been adopted in order to better visualize and monitor the response of primary murine lung tumors to radiation, demonstrating the ability of advanced imaging technology to impact the field of radiation biology (32). In addition, we
Figure 4 Rationale for dual recombinase technology. (A) Adeno-Cre infection generates tumors by expressing Cre recombinase in tumor-initiating cells. However, in this model, Cre recombinase cannot be utilized to selectively recombine additional floxed alleles in stromal cells. (B) Dual recombinase technology combines Adeno-FLP infection with a tissue-specific Cre driver that recombines floxed alleles in stromal cells. For example, Tie2-Cre recombines floxed alleles in endothelial cells and macrophages. Tumors can be initiated by FLP-mediated activation of oncogenes and deletion of FRTed tumor suppressor genes. This approach enables recombination of floxed alleles in stromal cells expressing Cre recombinase only. Adapted from Lee et al. (34).

We have employed Cre-loxP technology to investigate the role of hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF-1α) and hypoxia in mediating tumor response to radiation therapy (33).

The efficiency and relative simplicity of Cre-loxP technology have led to its widespread use to study cancer and radiation biology. Because most primary GEMMs of cancer utilize Cre-loxP technology to initiate carcinogenesis, the utility of Cre recombinase in subsequent modification of either tumor or stromal cells is limited (Figure 4A). In contrast to the growing number of floxed alleles and tissue-specific Cre drivers, the FLP-FRT system has been utilized less frequently than the Cre-loxP system to modify genes in somatic tissues in mice. The limitation of these single recombinase models is that they are often used to generate the driver mutations for tumorigenesis, but they are limited in the ability to ask more complex questions regarding the mechanisms of radiation response and radiation resistance. For example, the response of tumors to radiation therapy is mediated by a complex interaction between immune cells, stroma, and tumor cells. GEMMs present a unique opportunity to dissect the role of specific cellular compartments in tumor response to radiation therapy. However, to harness the full potential of site-specific recombinase technology to dissect mechanisms of radiation response, more than one recombinase is needed to not only initiate tumorigenesis but also to manipulate other cellular compartments to examine their role in the radiation response. Therefore, utilization of additional FRT-flanked alleles (‘FRTed’) alleles in concert with floxed alleles enables dual recombinase technology to direct distinct gene mutations to different cell types when Cre and FLP recombinases are employed simultaneously (Figure 4B).

We have utilized this dual recombinase (Cre + FLP) technology to address a critical question in radiation biology: defining the cellular target of radiation therapy in tumor eradication (7). To investigate whether stromal cells, such as endothelial cells, are critical targets of radiation therapy, we applied dual recombinase technology. We generated multiple murine tumors which were genetically identical except for the deletion of the DNA damage response gene ataxia telangiectasia mutated (Atm). By deleting Atm in either tumor cells or endothelial cells, we were able to radiosensitize distinct cellular populations to better understand their roles in tumor response and eradication following radiation therapy. In these experiments, an
Table 1 Dual recombinase technology for deletion of Atm in sarcomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Kras\textsuperscript{FSF-G12D, p53FRT/FRT, VE-Cadherin-Cre; Atm\textsuperscript{fl/fl}}</th>
<th>Tumor parenchyma</th>
<th>Endothelial cells</th>
<th>Kras\textsuperscript{FSF-G12D, p53FRT/FRT, VE-Cadherin-Cre; Atm\textsuperscript{fl/fl}}</th>
<th>Tumor parenchyma</th>
<th>Endothelial cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Cre; no FLP</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ FLP (viral delivery)</td>
<td>Mutant Kras \textsuperscript{p53 null}</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Mutant Kras \textsuperscript{p53 null}</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Cre (VE-Cadherin driven)</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Express Cre: one Atm allele retained</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Express Cre: both Atm alleles deleted</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adenovirus expressing FLP recombinase (Adeno-FLP) was used to initiate sarcoma development in the lower extremity. Adeno-FLP was injected intramuscularly to delete both copies of a conditional FRTed allele of \textit{p53} (\textit{p53FRT/FRT}) (Figure 3A) (34). In addition, FLP excises a FRTed STOP cassette (FSF) upstream of oncogenic \textit{Kras} to initiate transcription of \textit{Kras\textsuperscript{G12D}} (Figure 3B). In order to manipulate the radiosensitivity of endothelial cells, an endothelial-specific Cre driver (\textit{VE-Cadherin}) recombines floxed alleles specifically in endothelial cells. In this case, \textit{Atm} was selectively deleted in endothelial cells to enhance their radiosensitivity. We used this dual recombinase technology in \textit{Kras\textsuperscript{FSF-G12D, p53FRT/FRT, VE-Cadherin-Cre, Atm\textsuperscript{fl/fl}}} mice (Table 1) in order to demonstrate that selectively sensitizing endothelial cells to mitotic cell death by deleting \textit{Atm} (35) prolongs sarcoma growth delay after stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) (36), but this enhanced radiosensitivity does not translate into improved local control (7). However, radiosensitization of tumor cells by deleting \textit{Atm} increases local control of primary sarcomas after radiation therapy, demonstrating that tumor cells but not endothelial cells are critical targets of curative radiation therapy (7). These results have important clinical implications. They suggest that the increased local control that is observed with some tumors following SBRT may not be due to increased endothelial cell death, but is instead a consequence of delivering larger (10 to 20 Gy per fraction), biologically effective doses that kill more tumor cells compared to standard (1.8 to 2 Gy per fraction) radiation therapy (7).

By coupling the Cre-loxP and FLP-FRT systems, dual recombinase technology has made it possible to genetically manipulate both tumor cells and stromal cells (Figure 4) to address the role of various stromal cell populations in mediating response to radiotherapy. This capability gains increasing importance in the field of radiation biology because of the potential role of manipulating the immune system during radiotherapy to not only optimize local control, but also to potentially cause abscopal responses in distant sites of metastatic disease.

\textbf{RCAS-TVA system to model primary cancers}

Although genetic engineering in mice through the use of Cre-loxP technology has facilitated numerous advances in the field of radiation biology, these studies are often expensive and time-consuming. \textit{In vivo} retroviral gene transfer represents a practical alternative for lineage tracing and cell fate mapping, generating mouse models of cancer, and evaluating the function of genes that regulate radiation response. The stable delivery of genes to somatic cells has been optimized using the RCAS (replication-competent avian sarcoma-leukosis virus long-terminal repeat with splice acceptor) viral vector, which was derived from the Rous sarcoma virus-A. In order to generate the RCAS vector, the oncogenic \textit{v-src} was replaced with a multiple cloning site to accommodate genes of interest (37). The RCAS virus maintains expression of the subgroup A envelope glycoprotein and can therefore infect only cells with the cognate tumor virus A (TVA) receptor. Although the TVA receptor is naturally expressed only in avian cells, a host of genetically engineered mice expressing TVA under the control of a variety of tissue-specific promoters has been generated (38). Either virus-producing avian cells or the virus itself can be injected into transgenic mice that harbor the TVA receptor in order to manipulate gene expression in a spatiotemporal manner (Figure 5). The RCAS-TVA system can also be utilized in combination with Cre-loxP technology or with the tetracycline-controlled transcriptional regulation system to provide a more intricate level of control over gene transfer.

The RCAS-TVA system has been widely utilized to
generate mouse models of cancer due to the ease through which mutant genes can be expressed and oncogenes can be stably overexpressed in a tissue-specific manner. Furthermore, mammalian cells that have been infected by an RCAS virus remain susceptible to reinfection, enabling the simultaneous or sequential manipulation of several genes. Therefore, the RCAS-TVA system has made it possible to model the complex mutational landscape and the clonal evolution of primary tumors.

The first models of cancer using the TVA receptor-mediated delivery of RCAS were developed by targeting glial cells within the mouse brain (39-41). These original models of gliomagenesis have been adapted over the last two decades to reflect the newly discovered landscape of genetic alterations that occur in human brain tumors (42). For example, a recent study utilized an RCAS construct carrying platelet derived growth factor-B (PDGFB) to drive the initiation of brainstem gliomas in mice expressing TVA under the control of the glial precursor cell-specific Nestin promoter (43). To more effectively model the alterations commonly observed in cell cycle regulatory genes in patients with brainstem gliomas, this Nestin-TVA mouse was crossed to an Ink4a/ARF null strain. The resulting GEMM was then utilized in a preclinical trial to evaluate the efficacy of inhibiting the cyclin/cyclin-dependent kinase/retinoblastoma signaling pathway in combination with radiotherapy (43). Treatment with a cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor following whole brain irradiation induced cell cycle arrest and significantly prolonged the survival of mice with Ink4a/ARF deficient brainstem gliomas. The effectiveness of the CDK4/6 inhibitor in this preclinical study also led to the initiation of a clinical trial for pediatric patients with brainstem gliomas (NCT02255461). The RCAS-TVA system for mouse modeling has enabled many other preclinical trials to test drugs that improve the effectiveness of radiation therapy. Gemcitabine, a drug that inhibits the synthesis of nucleic acids, significantly extends survival in an Ink4a/ARF and PTEN-deficient mouse model of glioblastoma when administered concurrently with radiation (44). In this same model, concomitant treatment with a poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor, temozolomide, and radiotherapy led to an improved tumor growth delay and overall survival when compared with temozolomide and radiation alone, the current standard-of-care (45).

In addition to facilitating preclinical trials, the RCAS-TVA system has been elegantly used to begin dissecting the mechanisms of radioresistance in gliomas. In primary models of medulloblastoma and glioblastoma, researchers identified the nucleus dense, bulk tumor region as preferentially undergoing apoptosis in response to radiotherapy, while cells in the perivascular regions appeared more radioresistant (46,47). Brain tumor stem cells and supporting stromal cell populations have been shown to reside in the perivascular niche, highlighting the need for autochthonous tumor models when evaluating the radiation response of tumors. Hambardzumyan et al. went on to show that perivascular cells staining positively for various stem cell markers underwent p53-mediated cell cycle arrest following radiation. This cancer stem cell population ultimately survived the radiotherapy and re-entered the cell cycle 72 hours post radiation. Additional mechanistic insight into the radioresistant nature of gliomas was elucidated by studying the defects in the DNA damage response pathway commonly found in human tumors. The genetic loss of Chk2 in a mouse model of glioblastoma, for example, protected tumor cells from radiation-induced apoptosis and eradicated the survival benefit following radiotherapy (48). Additional studies are required to determine whether the role of Chk2 in the radioresistance of glioblastomas can be generalized to other components of the DNA damage response pathway.
Next-generation mouse modeling of cancer with CRISPR/Cas9 technology

The research described so far demonstrates the broad utility of GEMMs in radiobiology. Despite the immense potential for GEMMs in radiation research, the time and financial costs for model generation and execution of adequately powered experiments can be prohibitive. The conventional approach for mouse model generation relies on inserting targeted donor constructs via homologous recombination (HR) in embryonic stem (ES) cells, targeted ES cell selection and injection into blastocysts, implantation, and months of breeding (49). This entire process of generating germline chimeras can take several months before mice with the desired genotype are in hand. If more than one gene modification is desired, then the time frame increases by several more months of extensive breeding.

Recently, both the time and financial costs associated with generating mouse models have drastically decreased, especially for mouse models of cancer. The discovery and engineering of a bacterial adaptive immune system that utilizes clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) and CRISPR-associated proteins (Cas) have made this possible (50-52). CRISPR/Cas is an RNA-guided endonuclease system that can be distilled to three basic components as used for genome editing in mammalian cells: (I) a Cas protein; (II) a single guide RNA (sgRNA); and (III) a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) (Figure 6). The Cas proteins, of which Cas9 is the most widely used, serve as molecular scissors that make double strand breaks (DSBs) in DNA. Cas9 is targeted to DNA by a chimeric sgRNA through sequence complementarity between the sgRNA and the genomic DNA. The endonuclease activity of Cas9 is dependent on the presence of a PAM sequence adjacent to the 3’ end of the sgRNA target sequence (53).

CRISPR/Cas technology can help bypass the two primary bottlenecks during generation of cancer GEMMs: (I) targeted insertion in ES cells by HR and (II) breeding of progeny. The fundamental basis for all gene editing technology is the repair of DSBs in DNA. Prior to CRISPR/Cas, several methods were used for generating targeted DSBs including site-specific endonucleases, zinc-finger nucleases (ZFNs), and transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs) (54,55). These nucleases represented an evolution in improving DNA targeting specificity and flexibility. However, prior to CRISPR/Cas, intensive protein engineering was required to utilize ZFN and TALEN technology because cleavage specificity was protein-dependent. As such, ZFNs and TALENs could...
achieve target specificity, but were not flexible because each new genomic target required engineering a new protein with DNA sequence specificity. In contrast, CRISPR/Cas is a programmable RNA-guided endonuclease, which allows for both specificity and flexibility of DNA targeting. Therefore, generating specific genome-wide DSBs for genome engineering became a relatively simple process of designing a new sgRNA with complementarity to the targeted DNA sequence in the genome. As importantly, Mali et al. discovered CRISPR/Cas9 had greater HR efficiency in mammalian cells compared to previous endonucleases when targeting the same locus (51). Thus, CRISPR/Cas can eliminate the HR bottleneck in GEMM generation. The second bottleneck occurs when chimeric mice must be crossed for several weeks to months to generate the desired genotype that may contain multiple alleles for tumor initiation. Because cancer most often arises stochastically in somatic tissues, if somatic gene edits could be generated in adult mice with CRISPR/Cas9 then this second bottleneck could be bypassed as well. In 2014, several labs demonstrated the successful generation of multiple non-germline GEMMs of cancer using CRISPR/Cas9 technology, thus completely bypassing the time-consuming process of mouse breeding.

The first groups to generate GEMMs using CRISPR/Cas9 successfully used in vivo somatic genome engineering to model several cancers in mice (56-59). These groups used CRISPR/Cas9 and mutagenic non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) repair to knockout tumor suppressor genes in the lung (56,59) and liver (57). Several groups even used CRISPR/Cas9 to generate the Eml4-Alk translocation to form lung tumors (58,60). Since these initial non-germline GEMMs, cancers of the breast (61), pancreas (62,63), brain (64), and leukemias (65) have also been modeled using CRISPR/Cas9. The generation of a Cas9 knock-in mouse has further streamlined non-germline GEMM development (59). These models resulted from the application of only the first widely used Cas protein, Cas9, to knock out tumor suppressor genes, or knock in oncogenes, and represented a leap forward in cancer mouse modeling. Using CRISPR/Cas9 in this way, the mouse genome can be modified in any way imaginable from simple point mutations to introducing genetic markers and chromosomal rearrangements (Figure 6).

CRISPR has been transformative for mouse modeling, but there are important limitations to consider. First, the targeting of Cas9 and other Cas proteins have unique PAM sequences that are required for targeting of the endonucleases. These sequence motifs are important for specificity, but may limit gene editing to certain areas of the genome. Fortunately, engineered variants of Cas9 and the discovery of novel Cas proteins with altered PAM specificities are minimizing the impact of this limitation (66). Second, off-target effects are important to consider when using CRISPR technology. Off-target effects resulting from small molecules have half-lives and the effect is temporary; in contrast, gene edits are permanent. Researchers have been extremely cognizant of this caveat to employing Cas9 technology since its inception (53,67-69). As such, several approaches to minimize the risk of these off-target effects have been developed. These approaches include the development of nickases that require two single-strand nicks to generate DSBs (70), high-fidelity Cas9 enzymes that have very few to no detectable off-target effects (71,72), and methods of detecting off-target mutations generated by Cas9 (73-75).

Recently, the CRISPR/Cas9 system has evolved beyond simple genome editing into the realms of epigenome editing (76,77), base-pair editing (78), and genome regulation (79-81). Completely new classes of Cas proteins have also been discovered with altered PAM specificity that generate staggered DSBs (82), or Cas proteins that allow RNA-guided RNA targeting (83,84). These technologies expand the CRISPR toolbox for radiation biologists and enable truly next-generation mouse modeling and discoveries. The research performed to study acute and chronic radiation effects on normal tissue, radiation-induced carcinogenesis, and tumor response to radiation therapy using conventional GEMMs can potentially be accelerated at lower cost with CRISPR technology. For example, with CRISPR it is now feasible to study pathways activated by radiation such as the DNA damage response and apoptosis pathways in a functional way. Similar experiments using CRISPR have been performed to investigate metastasis and cooperative mutations required for tumorigenesis (65). CRISPR screens can also be performed to identify radiosensitive and radiosensitizing genetic and epigenetic components, similar to screens performed with shRNA in the past (85-87).

Conclusions

Here we reviewed the applications of mouse models in preclinical radiobiology research. We introduced fundamental concepts of mouse modeling and summarized several technologies for mouse modeling including site-specific recombinases, shRNA, RCAS-TVA, and CRISPR. We demonstrated the utility of these technologies and
mouse modeling in radiobiology research by highlighting several publications that used GEMMs in preclinical research on radiation-induced carcinogenesis, normal tissue injury, and the in vivo targets of radiation in tumors. Despite the important contributions GEMMs have made in radiation research, these and next-generation mouse models are poised to reveal additional insights in the future. Experiments performed in robust in vivo systems, such as GEMMs, will continue to lead to fundamental discoveries in radiation research. GEMMs of cancer are powerful tools for investigating the cell-autonomous and non-cell-autonomous mechanisms that contribute to normal and tumor tissue response to radiation. We anticipate that increased adoption of GEMMs in radiobiology research will not only lead to important new knowledge, but may also increase the predictive value of preclinical research to accelerate clinical translation and improve outcomes for patients treated with radiotherapy.
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