Tumor cells hijack physiological mechanisms to create favorable conditions that allow them to survive and thrive within the hostile tissue and immune microenvironments. The identification and subsequent therapeutic blockade against immune checkpoint molecules including cytotoxic T lymphocyte associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4; CD152), programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1; CD279) and its ligand programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1; CD274; B7-H1) have evoked much excitement in cancer immunotherapy against a variety of chemo-refractory cancers (1-4). Since the initial characterization of the PD-1/PD-L1 axis over 2 decades ago (5-7), over 4,000 articles have been published exploring how this immune checkpoint receptor-ligand pair influence tumor development, survival, and metastasis (2,8). Surprisingly, however, only a handful of studies have described how tumor PD-L1 is regulated at the transcriptional and post-translational levels. Recent studies by Mezzadra et al. (9), and Burr et al. (10) describe a novel post-translational mechanism by which PD-L1 is regulated within primary human dendritic cells and a variety of human tumor cell types, adding to our understanding of how this critical immune regulatory axis is regulated.

Using human chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML)-derived HAP1 cells, Mezzadra and colleagues (9) identified chemokine-like factor-like MARVEL transmembrane domain containing family member 6 (CMTM6) as associated with IFNγ-induced PD-L1 expression using in vitro genetic screens. The correlation between CMTM6 and PD-L1 co-expression was observed in 30 human cancers in available TCGA datasets. Short hairpin depletion of CMTM6 in melanoma, colon, and non-small cell lung cancer lines resulted in blunted surface PD-L1 protein expression without affecting PD-L1 mRNA levels following IFNγ stimulation, a phenotype similarly observed in lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated dendritic cells. Additionally, CMTM4, with 55% homology to CMTM6, stabilized IFNγ-induced PD-L1 protein expression in the absence of CMTM6. The authors performed co-immunoprecipitation analyses to show physical interactions between CMTM6 and PD-L1 involving both intracellular and transmembrane portions of PD-L1 at the plasma membrane. Finally, CMTM6 prolonged surface PD-L1 protein half-life by preventing ubiquitination by STUB1, an E3 ubiquitin ligase.

Burr et al. (10) independently identified and validated CMTM6 as an important regulator of PD-L1 through a whole-genome CRISPR-Cas9 deletion library screen in pancreatic cancer cell line, BxPC-3. As reported by Mezzadra et al., the authors showed CMTM6 depletion reduced PD-L1 protein expression without altering mRNA abundance. The authors also observed plasma membrane co-localization between CMTM6 and PD-L1. Furthermore, they found that CMTM6 was also located within recycling endosomes and facilitated PD-L1 recycling to the cell surface by bypassing lysosomal degradation, an additional mechanism to ubiquitination by CMTM6.
prolonged PD-L1 protein half-life (9). The end result was in vivo efficacy of shRNA targeting of CMTM6 in enhancing survival of mice inoculated with CMTM6<sup>−/−</sup> B16F10 melanoma.

These two recent studies add to a growing repertoire of mechanisms by which tumors regulate PD-L1 expression upon sensing immune pressure. PD-L1 is known to be induced on the surface of cancers and immune cells in response to IFNγ, which signals in a JAK/STAT-dependent way to promote PD-L1 gene transcription via interferon regulatory factor 1 (IRF1) (11). In multiple myeloma (MM), IFNγ-induced PD-L1 was abrogated by UO126, a potent MEK inhibitor, suggesting MEK/ERK signaling pathway contributed to this signaling axis (12). The same study found that surface PD-L1 on MM could be further driven by the toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands LPS, peptidoglycan, and CpG oligonucleotide in a MyD88-dependent manner (12). Lastly, in myelodysplastic syndrome both IFNγ and TNFα were capable of inducing PD-L1 via on blast cell surface via NF-κB (13). In addition to induction by cytokines, mutations within tumor cells can drive PD-L1 expression. For example, loss of function mutations of PTEN combined with activation of PI3K led to increased PD-L1 gene expression in human glioblastoma multiforme (14). Additionally, activating EGFR mutations have been shown to upregulate PD-L1 in an ERK-dependent mechanism (15). Micro-RNAs (miRs) have also been shown to alter PD-L1 expression via binding the 3' UTR region of PD-L1 mRNA. Such 3' UTR structural variants in PD-L1 regulation.

A recent study by Dorand et al. (18) demonstrated that the serine-threonine kinase, cyclin dependent kinase 5 (Cdk5), critically regulates IFNγ-induced PD-L1 gene transcription in multiple tumor types. CRISPR-Cas9 disruption of Cdk5 in a murine medulloblastoma model resulted in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell-dependent rejection. The authors proposed a mechanism by which Cdk5 regulates the stability of IRF2—an antagonist of IRF1-mediated IFNγ signaling (19)—via phosphorylation of a co-repressor IRF2 binding protein 2 (IRF2BP2) (20) to alter PD-L1 gene transcription. Another elegant study by Casey et al. (21) described an additional mechanism by which MYC controls PD-L1 and CD-47 transcriptions. Using human and murine MYC-driven T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL), they showed that MYC inhibition led to decreased PD-L1 gene transcription and resulted in immune-mediated rejection of established tumors. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis revealed direct physical interaction between MYC and the PD-L1 promoter. These two studies provide alternative mechanisms of tumor PD-L1 control at the transcriptional promoter/enhancer level.

Additional tumor PD-L1 control exists at the gene transcript level. Kataoka et al. (22) identified structural variants in the 3' UTR region of PD-L1 leading to persistence of PD-L1 mRNA. Such 3' UTR structural variants were found in human T cell leukemia and lymphoma, diffuse large B cell lymphoma, and gastric adenocarcinoma. Structural PD-L1 variants containing functional extracellular and intracellular domains resulted in immune escape. Mice bearing EG-7 tumors with forced overexpression of 3' UTR variants had sustained tumor growth compared to control tumors following treatment with poly I:C treatment. While these 3' UTR variants were not commonly found among tumor types, they nevertheless offer an alternative mechanism for tumor PD-L1 regulation.

Post-translational PD-L1 modifications represent yet another level of control. Two studies demonstrated different mechanisms affecting the PD-L1 protein stability. Li et al. (23) revealed that phosphorylation of PD-L1 by glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3β) resulted in proteasomal degradation, which can be inhibited by epidermal growth factor (EGF). EGF increases PD-L1 glycosylation to inhibit GSK3β interaction, leading to sustained PD-L1 expression. In this regard, gefitinib, an EGF inhibitor, synergizes with anti-PD-1 antibodies in vitro and in vivo in multiple murine cancers. Lim et al. (24) showed that TNFα signaling resulted in increased expression of COP9 signalosome 5 (CSN5), a deubiquitinating enzyme, to enhance PD-L1 protein expression. CSN5 associated kinase activity could be inhibited by curcumin, the treatment with which in mice bearing 4T1 tumors slowed tumor growth, increased tumor free survival, and increased IFNγ-CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell numbers when combined with CTLA-4 blockade.

The PD-1/PD-L1 axis is being thoroughly investigated for clinical applications as potent mediators of anti-tumor immunity. Current emphasis in the field focuses on characterizing which tumor subsets will respond to such immunotherapeutic approaches (25,26). While antibodies
targeting cell surface PD-L1 expression provide one such method for overcoming immune checkpoints, growing mechanistic studies on the regulatory pathways of tumor PD-L1 expression have the potential to uncover additional tumor-specific therapeutic targets while avoiding adverse side effects of autoimmunity due to non-tumor specific nature of global PD-1/PD-L1 blockade approach. The exciting discovery of CMTM6 and CMTM4 in PD-L1 protein regulation further enhances our basic knowledge of PD-L1 regulation, significantly contributes to our basic understanding of cancer immunotherapy, and offers a new exciting venue for future immunotherapeutic development.
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