

Prevalence and prognostic impact of synchronous distant metastases in patients with oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma: a SEER-based study

Yujiao Li^{1,2}, Xiaomin Ou^{1,2}, Chaosu Hu^{1,2}

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai 200032, China; ²Department of Oncology, Shanghai Medical College, Shanghai 200032, China

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: All authors; (II) Administrative support: All authors; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: All authors; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: All authors; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: All authors; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Prof. Chaosu Hu, Department of Radiation Oncology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, 270 Dong An Road, Shanghai 200032, China. Email: hucsu62@yahoo.com.

Background: Oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma (OTSCC) is the most common malignancy of the oral cavity. The prognosis of OTSCC patients with distant metastasis is poor. We sought to explore prevalence and prognostic impact of synchronous distant metastases among patients with OTSCC in this study.

Methods: Patients with histologically proven OTSCC were extracted from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) database between 2010 and 2014. We examined the relationship between tumor factors and distant metastases using Chi-squared tests and we evaluated the association between survival and different variables using the methods of Kaplan-Meier. Univariate analysis was performed using the log-rank test. Multivariate analyses with the Cox proportional hazards model were used to test the independent significance of the predictors, and two-tailed p-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results: We finally identified 5,730 patients who were diagnosed with OTSCC and the most frequent site of distant metastases was lung, followed by bone. Some clinical characteristics, including age, gender, race, histological grade, T classification and N classification were independent risk factors for lung and bone metastasis. Higher T or N category, poorer differentiation and multiple distant metastases were associated with poorer overall survival (OS). For cancer-specific survival (CSS), age ($P < 0.001$), gender ($P < 0.001$), poorer differentiation ($P < 0.001$), higher T classification ($P < 0.001$), multiple sites of metastases ($P < 0.001$), no surgery to the primary tumor ($P < 0.001$) were associated with worse survival.

Conclusions: This is the first SEER analysis assessing clinical correlates and prognostic value of distant metastases in a large cohort of patients with OTSCC. The prognosis of OTSCC patients differs considerably according to the age, sex, race, T classification, N classification and histological grade and poorer prognosis was associated with poorer differentiation and more metastatic sites.

Keywords: Distant metastases; oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma (OTSCC); metastatic pattern; seer database; prognostic value

Submitted Feb 03, 2018. Accepted for publication Apr 25, 2018.

doi: 10.21037/tcr.2018.05.05

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2018.05.05>

Introduction

Oral carcinomas are the sixth most common cancers in the world, after cancers of the lung, breast, colorectal, prostate and gastric (1). Oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma (OTSCC) is the most common type of the oral cancer, accounting for about 40% of the cases (2), most of which occurs on the lateral border and ventral surface (3).

Treatment algorithms for OTSCC have been based on a multitude of factors including tumor characteristics (histology, biology and stage) and patient characteristics (comorbidity and performance status). For medically operable non metastatic patients, surgical resection is the mainstay of treatment. More recently, a positive role for adjuvant systemic therapy has also been shown (4). For advanced/unresectable disease, the primary treatment is radiotherapy (RT). Despite evolution in management, the overall survival (OS) of patients has not improved significantly during the past 20 years, especially those with distant metastases (5).

Site and burden of distant metastatic disease are interesting, easy to assess clinical markers that can help triage patients into good and poor prognosis categories. Moreover, specific clinical patterns of distant metastasis can be linked to some baseline epidemiological and clinical factors as well as biologic and molecular factors. Population based databases can be utilized to answer many of the above questions. As far as we know, studies describing the clinical correlates and prognostic value of distant metastases in OTSCC systematically are few and we sought to explore prevalence and prognostic impact of synchronous distant metastases among patients with OTSCC in this study.

Methods

Ethical approval and informed consent

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center Ethics committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The experimental protocols were also approved by Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center Ethics committee (reference number 1 410 140-8). Written informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Cohort population

The SEER program is the largest publicly available cancer dataset, which contains data on patient demographics, tumor characteristics, first course of treatment, and follow-up information (6). However, SEER does not currently include any information on location of metastases in the standard research data until 2010. Using this dataset, data from non-selected histologically proven OTSCC patients between 2010 and 2014 were collected and 439 patients with 'blanks' metastatic site were excluded. A total of 5,730 OTSCC patients were included.

Statistical analysis

The patients' demographic and tumor characteristics were summarized with descriptive statistics. We examined the relationship between these factors and distant metastases using Chi-squared tests.

Survival was defined as the number of months between the date of diagnosis and the date of death of any causes (OS) or of their cancer (CSS). For analysis of OS, the time from diagnosis until the end of the follow-up was used together with the information whether a patient died or not. For cancer-specific survival (CSS), CSS was calculated from the date of diagnosis to the date of cancer specific death whereas other deaths unrelated to OTSCC were censored. The censoring was based on the coding of these endpoints in the SEER database (alive, cancer-associated death, other death). We evaluated the association between survival and different variables using the methods of Kaplan-Meier. Univariate analysis was performed using the log-rank test. Multivariate analyses with the Cox proportional hazards model were used to test the independent significance of the predictors.

Two-sided P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant and confidence intervals (CIs) were set as 95%. All of statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Clinical characteristics of all patients

Among the 5,730 patients, there were 3,366 males (58.7%) and 2,364 females (41.3%). Median age was 62, with a range

Table 1 Patients' characteristics (N=5,730)

Characteristics	n (%)
Age (years)	
Median	62
Range	12–102
Gender	
Male	3,366 (58.7)
Female	2,364 (41.3)
Race	
Caucasian	4,915 (85.8)
Asian	494 (8.6)
African American	321 (5.6)
T classification	
T1	2,895 (50.5)
T2	1,650 (28.8)
T3	612 (10.7)
T4	573 (10.0)
N classification	
N0	3,841 (67.0)
N1	763 (13.3)
N2	1,081 (18.9)
N3	45 (0.8)
Grade	
1	1,472 (25.7)
2	3,214 (56.1)
3	1,044 (18.2)
Surgery therapy	
Yes	5,077 (88.6)
No	653 (11.4)
Tumor location	
Dorsal surface of tongue	316 (5.5)
Border of tongue	1,209 (21.1)
Ventral surface of tongue	827 (14.4)
Anterior 2/3 of tongue	1,511 (26.4)
Overlapping lesion of tongue	286 (5.0)
Tongue anterior, NOS	1,581 (27.6)

NOS, not otherwise specified.

of 12–102. About 25.7% tumors were well differentiated (grade 1), while 56.1% were moderately differentiated (grade 2) and 18.2% were poorly differentiated or undifferentiated (grade 3). According to the 7th edition of UICC/AJCC Staging System, 2,895 patients (50.5%) were T1, 1,650 patients (28.8%) were T2, 612 patients (10.7%) were T3, and 573 patients (10.0%) were T4. With regard to N classifications, 3,841 patients (67.0%) were N0, 763 patients (13.3%) were N1, 1,081 patients (18.9%) were N2, and 45 patients (0.8%) were N3. Patients' characteristics are listed in *Table 1*.

Metastasis pattern

The database only had metastatic information related to liver, lung, bone and brain metastasis. In the patients of all-stage OTSCC, the most frequent site of synchronous distant metastases at initial diagnosis was lung (n=32), followed by bone (n=16). There is no liver or brain metastasis.

As shown in *Table 2*, histological grade of tumor was found to be independently associated with lung and bone metastases (P=0.048 and P<0.001, respectively). Poorer differentiation was an independent prognostic parameter for higher incidence of metastasis. In addition, we found that T classification was also an independent parameter for metastatic diseases. A higher T category was associated with higher incidence of metastasis (P<0.001). The same results were found in N category (P<0.001).

What's more, lung metastatic diseases were associated with younger age and male gender (P=0.009 and P=0.010, respectively).

Survival

The median follow-up time was 17.0 months, with a range from 0 to 59 months. For patients alive at the end of follow-up, the median follow-up time was 24.0 months, with a range from 0 to 59 months. The survival differences associated with distant metastatic sites were illustrated by *Figure 1*. Since the time of follow-up is short in current study, we only estimated the 1-year OS and CSS for patients with different metastases. The 1-year OS was 26.7% and 7.3% for patients with lung and bone metastasis, respectively. The 1-year CSS was 44.3% and 0 for patients with lung and bone metastasis, respectively. There was

Table 2 Demographic and clinical features of patients with and without metastases (N=5,730)

Features	Lung metastasis			Bone metastasis		
	No	Yes	P value	No	Yes	P value
Gender			0.010			0.186
Male	3,340	26		3,354	12	
Female	2,358	6		2,360	4	
Age (years)			0.009			0.546
≤62	2,930	9		2,932	7	
>62	2,768	23		2,782	9	
Race			0.108			0.041
Caucasian	4,886	29		4,902	13	
Asian	494	0		494	0	
African American	318	3		318	3	
Grade			0.048			<0.001
1	1,467	5		1,472	0	
2	3,198	16		3,207	7	
3	1,033	11		1,035	9	
Tumor location			0.086			0.045
Dorsal surface of tongue	313	3		313	3	
Border of tongue	1,204	5		1,209	0	
Ventral surface of tongue	822	5		825	2	
Anterior 2/3 of tongue	1,507	4		1,507	4	
Overlapping lesion of tongue	286	0		286	0	
Tongue anterior, NOS	1,566	15		1,574	7	
T classification			<0.001			<0.001
T1	2,894	1		2,894	1	
T2	1,642	8		1,645	5	
T3	604	8		609	3	
T4	558	15		566	7	
N classification			<0.001			<0.001
N0	3,834	7		3,839	2	
N1	755	8		760	3	
N2	1,065	16		1,070	11	
N3	44	1		45	0	

NOS, not otherwise specified.




Figure 1 Kaplan-Meier analysis of overall survival (OS) and cancer-specific survival (CSS) in OTSCC patients with and without metastasis. (A) OS: with and without lung metastasis (log rank $P < 0.001$); (B) OS: with and without bone metastasis (log rank $P < 0.001$); (C) CSS: with and without lung metastasis (log rank $P < 0.001$); (D) CSS: with and without bone metastasis (log rank $P < 0.001$). OTSCC, oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma.

significant difference in OS and CSS between lung and bone metastases ($P < 0.001$).

CSS was evaluated according to whether or not surgical treatment to the primary tumor was performed among different categories of patients. There was evidence of benefit for patients with lung metastases ($P < 0.001$) and for patients with bone metastases ($P < 0.001$) (figures not shown).

On univariate analysis, age, race, gender, tumor location, histological grade, T classification, N classification, distant metastases and surgery therapies to the primary tumor could influence OS and CSS among patients with OTSCC ($P < 0.001$) (Table 3).

Multivariate models controlling for different variables demonstrated that age, histological grade, T classification, N classification, number of metastatic sites and therapies to the primary tumor were independent prognostic factors of OS and CSS (Table 4). Elderly patients with higher T category, higher N category, multiple sites of metastases and no surgery therapies to the primary tumor were more likely

to reduce life expectancy.

In our study, 5-year OS are 58.7% and 38.1% for localized and regional OTSCC patients, respectively, which are much higher than metastatic OTSCC patients (20.6%). The same results were found in CSS (Figure 2).

Discussion

The current analysis has pointed out some interesting correlations between some baseline criteria and the patterns of distant metastases. For example, lung metastatic diseases were associated with younger age and male gender and maybe the lifestyles (smoking and alcohol drinking) could partly explain the observed sex-based differences. Higher T categories are observed in patients presenting with M+ disease as opposed to M0. For N Classification, Ebrahimi (7) analysed the data of 3,704 patients with oral cancer undergoing surgery with curative intent. The median number of metastatic lymph nodes was significantly higher in patients with N2c disease compared to those with N2b

Table 3 Univariate analysis of overall survival and cancer-specific survival in OTSCC patients

Prognostic factor	1-y OS		1-y CSS	
	%	P	%	P
Gender		<0.001		<0.001
Male	82.6		91.0	
Female	84.8		93.1	
Age		<0.001		<0.001
<62	85.8		95.8	
≥62	79.2		87.7	
Race		<0.001		<0.001
Caucasian	84.3		92.1	
Asian	84.4		94.8	
African American	69.6		83.5	
Grade				
1	90.1	<0.001	95.6	<0.001
2	83.2		91.8	
3	75.1		86.1	
T classification		<0.001		<0.001
T1	94.3		96.6	
T2	82.5		90.1	
T3	62.1		80.2	
T4	54.3		74.0	
N classification		<0.001		<0.001
N0	90.7		93.6	
N1	77.9		90.4	
N2	62.4		84.2	
N3	61.0		83.8	
Tumor location		<0.001		0.042
Dorsal surface of tongue	84.8		90.7	
Border of tongue	88.3		94.3	
Ventral surface of tongue	89.0		94.6	
Anterior 2/3 of tongue	82.0		90.6	
Overlapping lesion of tongue	77.2		87.2	
Tongue anterior, NOS	79.3		90.7	
Surgery therapy		<0.001		<0.001
Yes	88.2		94.0	
No	46.8		65.4	
Distant metastases		<0.001		<0.001
No	83.9		92.0	
Single	24.0		50.9	
Multiple	0.00		0.00	

OTSCC, oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma.

disease (P<0.001). In multivariable analyses stratified by study center, the addition of the number of metastatic lymph nodes improved model fit beyond existing N classification. Next, the authors confirmed significant heterogeneity in prognosis based on the number of metastatic lymph nodes (≤2, 3–4, and ≥5) in patients with both N2b and N2c disease (P<0.001). It is important to note that once the number of metastatic lymph nodes was adequately accounted for, the presence of contralateral or bilateral neck disease appeared to provide no additional prognostic value. In our study, the N2 classification seems the most affected than N3 classification this because of N2c being more dangerous disease than N3 ipsilateral lymph node disease. Future studies are needed to determine whether the results are applicable to clinical staging. Moreover, in current study, histological grade is another risk factor for distant metastatic diseases and poorer differentiation was associated with higher incidence of metastasis, which were consistent with many other studies (8,9). We found higher rates of synchronous distant metastases due to the development of diagnostic techniques. In autopsy findings, lung and bone were the most frequent sites of distant metastases and no brain and liver metastasis was found, which were consistent with our study (10). What is different is that distant metastases were much more common when autopsy due to the inclusion of metastases after treatment, but the patterns of metastases were similar.

Compared with colorectal cancer (11) and breast cancer (12), prognosis of metastatic OTSCC is always dismal (13), which is not so much due to malignant biological behaviors of OTSCC as to lack of effective systemic treatment. What's worse, for metastatic OTSCC patients, this a bit less definitively since often treated with combination chemotherapy, evidence for survival benefit cetuximab, advent of nivolumab 2nd line.

In our study, number of metastatic sites was an independent prognostic parameter both for OS and CSS. Many studies have indicated that patients with oligometastases may benefit from local aggressive therapy and increase their life expectancy (14). However, absence of information about performance status of the patients in the current analysis should be taken into account when interpreting these findings as differences in baseline performance may have led to selection bias in the choice of local treatments (i.e., patients with better performance status are being referred to surgery more likely than patients with unfavorable performance status). What's more, there is a selection bias of oligometastatic disease, which indeed

Table 4 Multivariate analysis of overall survival and cancer-specific survival in oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma

Prognostic factor	Overall survival			Cancer-specific survival		
	P value	HR	95% CI	P value	HR	95% CI
Gender	0.20	0.94	0.84–1.04	0.00	0.79	0.68–0.92
Age	0.00	1.84	1.67–2.04	0.00	2.94	2.50–3.46
Grade	0.00	1.31	1.21–1.42	0.00	1.41	1.25–1.57
T classification	0.00	1.46	1.38–1.54	0.00	1.46	1.35–1.58
N classification	0.00	1.32	1.24–1.41	0.50	0.96	0.87–1.07
Number of metastatic sites	0.00	2.05	1.56–2.69	0.00	2.44	1.44–4.13
Surgery therapy	0.00	2.29	2.01–2.61	0.00	2.71	2.21–3.33

P values were calculated using an adjusted Cox proportional-hazards model. CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.




Figure 2 Kaplan-Meier analysis of overall survival (OS) and cancer-specific survival (CSS) in localized, regional and metastatic OTSCC patients. (A) OS in localized, regional and metastatic OTSCC patients (log rank $P < 0.001$); (B) CSS in localized, regional and metastatic OTSCC patients (log rank $P < 0.001$). OTSCC, oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma.

surgeons have been operating primaries in patients with low $M+$ burden, versus extensive metastatic disease, and this bias is hard to filter out. In addition, benefits of surgery of the primary tumor in cases of a metastatic solid tumor have been suggested for a number of solid tumors including metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma and neuroendocrine tumors (15–17) and metastatic hepatocellular carcinoma (18). This strategy is currently being evaluated in a number of ongoing studies for metastatic breast cancer (19,20).

Furthermore, early diagnosis and treatment is of particular importance. In our study, 5-year OS are 58.7% and 38.1% for localized and regional OTSCC patients, respectively, which are much higher than metastatic OTSCC patients (20.6%). The same results were found in CSS (Figure 2).

Better understanding of clinical correlates and prognostic value of distant metastases is helpful in the clinical decision-making process. Since lung is the most frequent site

of distant metastases, contrast enhancement computed tomography (CT) on chest should be maintained according to NCCN guideline (21). And for patients with high risk factors of specific site of metastasis, imaging of other sites should be applied. Diagnosis of metastasis is essential not only for staging, but also for further systematic treatment. With the development of medical technology, quantities of therapy methods, including surgery and stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT), have been applied to clinical practice for metastases sites and proved to be effective (14).

As far as we know, this is the first SEER analysis assessing clinical correlates and prognostic value of distant metastases in a large cohort of patients with OTSCC. However, there are still some limitations. Firstly, the details about metastases, such as sizes and exact metastatic lesion quantity in specific organ, were not included. Secondly, it is lack of information about systemic therapy options the patients have received. Thirdly, all information on metastases is

from their first diagnosis and lack of following information, including treatment modalities of the patients which may confound the assessment of OS analysis. Thus, CSS has been evaluated as a primary outcome in this study to avoid the confounding effect of non-cancer deaths.

Conclusions

In the patients of OTSCC, lung was the most frequent site of distant metastases. The prognosis of OTSCC patients differs considerably according to the age, sex, race, T classification, N classification and histological grade. Poorer prognosis was associated with poorer differentiation and more metastatic sites.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the support of the Department of Radiation Oncology.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center Ethics committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The experimental protocols were also approved by Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center Ethics committee (reference number 1 410 140-8). Written informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

References

1. Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2015;65:87-108.
2. Krishnatreya M, Nandy P, Rahman T, et al. Characteristics of oral tongue and base of the tongue cancer: A hospital cancer registry based analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2015;16:1371-4.
3. Goodman M, Liu L, Ward K, et al. Invasion characteristics of oral tongue cancer. *Cancer* 2009;115:4010-20.
4. Shibahara T. Oral cancer -diagnosis and therapy-. *Clin Calcium* 2017;27:1427-33.
5. Zhang L, Zhou X, Yao X, et al. Oral tongue cancer patients show a better overall survival than base of tongue cancer patients. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2012;138:341-6.
6. Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program. About the SEER Program. Available online: <http://seer.cancer.gov/about>, accessed June 25, 2016.
7. Ebrahimi A, Gil Z, Amit M, et al. The prognosis of N2b and N2c lymph node disease in oral squamous cell carcinoma is determined by the number of metastatic lymph nodes rather than laterality: evidence to support a revision of the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging system. *Cancer* 2014;120:1968-74.
8. Dickson GH, Singh KK, Escofet X, et al. Validation of a modified GTNM classification in peri-junctional oesophago-gastric carcinoma and its use as a prognostic indicator. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2001;27:641-4.
9. Rice TW, Rusch VW, Apperson-Hansen C, et al. Worldwide esophageal cancer collaboration. *Dis Esophagus* 2009;22:1-8.
10. Barz H, Barz D. Age dependence of metastases. A study of more than 5000 cases of death from cancer. *Arch Geschwulstforsch* 1984;54:77-83.
11. Institute NC. SEER Stat Fact Sheets: Colon and Rectum Cancer 2016 (cited 2016 09.04). Available online: <http://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/colorect.html>. 2017.
12. Institute NC. SEER Stat Fact Sheets: Female Breast Cancer <http://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/breast.html>2016 (cited 2016 09.04). SEER 18 2006-12, All Races, Females by SEER Summary Stage 0). Available online: <http://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/breast.html>. 2017.
13. Institute NC. SEER Stat Fact Sheets: Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer <http://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/oralcav.html>2016 (cited 2016 09.04). SEER 18 2006-12, All Races, Females by SEER Summary Stage 0). Available online: <http://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/oralcav.html>. 2017.
14. Lo SS, Moffatt-Bruce SD, Dawson LA, et al. The role of local therapy in the management of lung and liver oligometastases. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2011;8:405-16.
15. Keutgen XM, Nilubol N, Glanville J, et al. Resection of primary tumor site is associated with prolonged survival in metastatic nonfunctioning pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *Surgery* 2016;159:311-8.
16. Hüttner FJ, Schneider L, Tarantino I, et al. Palliative resection of the primary tumor in 442 metastasized neuroendocrine tumors of the pancreas: A population-based, propensity score-matched survival analysis. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2015;400:715-23.

17. Oweira H, Petrausch U, Helbling D, et al. Prognostic value of site-specific metastases in pancreatic adenocarcinoma: A Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results database analysis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017;23:1872-80.
18. Abdel-Rahman O: Role of liver-directed local tumor therapy in the management of hepatocellular carcinoma with extrahepatic metastases: A SEER database analysis. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;11:183-9.
19. Shien T, Nakamura K, Shibata T, et al. A randomized controlled trial comparing primary tumour resection plus systemic therapy with systemic therapy alone in metastatic breast cancer (PRIM-BC): Japan Clinical Oncology Group Study JCOG1017. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2012;42:970-3.
20. Ruiterkamp J, Voogd AC, Tjan-Heijnen VC, et al. SUBMIT: Systemic therapy with or without up front surgery of the primary tumor in breast cancer patients with distant metastases at initial presentation. *BMC Surg* 2012;12:5.
21. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) 2016 (cited 2016 09.14). Version 1.2016. Available online: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/default.aspx#site

Cite this article as: Li Y, Ou X, Hu C. Prevalence and prognostic impact of synchronous distant metastases in patients with oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma: a SEER-based study. *Transl Cancer Res* 2018;7(3):524-532. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2018.05.05