Prognostic significance of the platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio in ovarian cancer: a meta-analysis
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Background: Recent studies have shown that the pretreatment measurement of the peripheral platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) is an independent predictor of poor prognosis of various types of malignancies. However, the relationship between the pretreatment PLR and the prognosis of ovarian cancer remains largely undefined. A meta-analysis was conducted to investigate the prognostic significance of PLR in patients with ovarian cancer.

Methods: We searched the PubMed, Embase and Web of Science databases to collect eligible studies, followed by application of STATA version 12.0 for statistical analysis.

Results: Eight studies enrolling 1,636 patients were ultimately included in this meta-analysis. As a result, an elevated PLR was significantly correlated with poor OS [hazard ration (HR) = 5.95, 95% confidence interval (CI): 4.35–8.14, P=0.000] in patients with ovarian cancer. Moreover, subgroup analyses revealed that an elevated PLR was able to predict poor OS when the cut-off value was near 200 (HR = 6.78, 95% CI: 4.50–10.21, P<0.001) or near 300 (HR = 4.94, 95% CI: 3.04–8.05, P<0.001). In addition, an elevated PLR also predicted poor OS in patients who received mixed treatment (HR = 5.67, 95% CI: 3.71–8.66, P=0.000), chemotherapy (HR = 7.05, 95% CI: 3.81–13.06, P=0.000) and surgery (HR = 5.46, 95% CI: 2.61–11.41, P=0.000). Similar results were obtained in terms of progression free survival (PFS).

Conclusions: This meta-analysis revealed that the pretreatment PLR with different cut-off values could be utilized as a negative prognostic indicator in patients with ovarian cancer undergoing various treatments.
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**Introduction**

Ovarian cancer accounts for 3.6% of all malignancies in females globally, which is the leading cause of cancer-related mortality among gynecological malignancies (1). In 2016, an estimated 22,280 patients were predicted to be newly diagnosed with ovarian cancer in the United States, of whom, 14,240 were predicted to die of the disease (1). Approximately 70% of ovarian cancer patients are diagnosed at an advanced stage, and only 40% of them are expected to survive more than 5 years (2,3). Primary cytoreductive surgery alone or in combination with adjuvant chemotherapy is the standard treatment regimen for ovarian cancer. Despite an initial good response to chemotherapy, almost 75% of these patients will ultimately recur and die of the disease (4), accounting for the major reason for cancer-related death. Therefore, reliable and available prognostic indicators would alert surgeons about the strengthened necessity of follow-up for these high-risk patients. Additionally, an earlier observation and earlier therapy would benefit these patients.

At present, the prognostic indicators for ovarian cancer are as follows: performance status, age at diagnosis, International Federation of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (IFGO) tumor stage, histological classification, preoperative molecular markers, tumor grade as well as presence of a residual disease after the initial surgery (5). Preoperative molecular markers, including serum human kallikreins, plasma D-dimer, serum CA-125, serum vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), serum cytokines as well as soluble cytokeratin fragments, are prognostic variables for ovarian cancer (6,7). However, the application of the above biomarkers has two main drawbacks. First, they require tissue samples, which may not be available for every patient, especially for those patients harboring smaller tumors. Second, other factors may influence the results of the immunohistochemical assay, such as the quality of the antibody (8). Therefore, there is a clinical need for simple, easily available biomarkers.

The systemic inflammatory response (SIR) is a crucial and essential process during carcinogenesis and tumor progression. Inflammation is closely associated with cancer initiation, promotion, malignant conversion, invasion and metastasis (9-12). The inflammatory response to a tumor is mediated by neutrophils, lymphocytes and other phagocytic mediators, thereby suppressing apoptosis, inducing cellular DNA damage and enhancing angiogenesis around the cancerous region. In a similar pattern, platelets can generate and release certain growth factors [e.g., platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), thrombospondin, transforming growth factor beta, platelet factor 4 as well as VEGF], which are considered to act as strong mitogens or adhesive glycoproteins for diverse types of cells. Several inflammatory biomarkers that are routinely available from pretreatment routine blood tests, such as platelet count, neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) as well as platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), have been used to assess the prognosis of various types of cancers (13-17).

The peripheral blood PLR, measured during the preoperative or pretreatment phase, is an independent predictor of poor prognosis in multiple malignancies, including lung, breast, pancreatic, colon and gastric cancers (18-22). Nevertheless, it remains largely unknown of preoperative PLR in the prognosis in patients with ovarian cancer. Therefore, this study was designed to assess the association between preoperative PLR values and prognosis in ovarian cancer patients.

**Methods**

This analysis was conducted in accordance with PRISRMA guidelines.

**Data sources and search strategies**

A systematic review of studies concerning the application of PLR for predicting the prognosis of ovarian cancer was performed. We electronically searched the following databases: Medline (host: OVID), including studies from 1946 to April 2017; Embase (host: OVID), including studies from 1974 to April 2017; and Web of Science and Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, including studies from 2005 to June 2017. The following search terms were used for the database searches: PLR, platelet-lymphocyte ratio, platelet lymphocyte ratio or PLR with ovary neoplasm, ovary neoplasms, ovarian neoplasm, ovarian cancer, ovarian cancers, ovary cancer, ovary cancers, cancer of the ovary and cancer of ovary. Free text as well as Mesh search for keywords were employed. The search strategy utilized in the PubMed database was shown in Table 1, which was also applied to other electronic databases.

**Study selection**

The search was performed by two investigators (Xu and Wang), who read the titles and abstracts of all candidate
literature. Full-text was retrieved for review in the case of failure in categorization of the articles simply based on the title and abstract, the. The articles were checked and read independently in accordance with the inclusion criteria in this study. Any divergence during the selection period was discussed and decided on by a third investigator (Yang). The PRISMA flowchart showed the details of the selection process (Figure 1).

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

Eligible studies were enrolled in line with the following criteria: (I) researched patients who underwent operations with any type of ovarian cancer; (II) explored the correlation of the pretreatment PLR with overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS); and (III) presented in a full paper published in English. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (I) letters, case reports, reviews or laboratory studies; (II) studies with repeated analysis or duplicate data; (III) studies without necessary data for further analysis; or (IV) non-human studies.

**Data extraction**

Predesigned extraction forms were used to collect the following data from each study: the first author’s name, number of patients included in the study, country of origin of the patients, year of publication, therapeutic methods, cut-off value, HR of the PLR for the OS with its 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and p value, and HR of the PLR for the PFS with its 95% CIs and p value. Assuming that most deaths would be disease-related, in the case of inaccessible data about OS, cancer-specific survival (CSS) information was obtained instead. The accessible HRs were obtained from multivariable analyses, while the HRs from univariable analyses were extracted or estimated from Kaplan-Meier curves, as proposed by Parmar and colleagues. If available, we also collected the HRs for survival associated with C-reactive protein, the NLR and Glasgow prognostic score (GPS) or modified GPS. The HRs for subgroups were compared as defined by different markers in order to assess the relative prognostic effect of PLR with other inflammatory factors.

**Data synthesis and statistical analyses**

The HRs and 95% CIs were directly acquired from each study publication. In the case of indirect data, mathematical estimations were performed by calculating the necessary data in accordance with specific method. If a meta-analysis could be performed, STATA software version 12.0 (STATA Corporation, College Station, TX, USA) was employed to combine the HR with the 95% CIs for dichotomous outcomes and the weighted mean difference or standardized mean difference with 95% CIs for continuous data. All statistical tests were bilateral, and P<0.05 was considered significant. If the data were not suitable for combining quantitatively, we performed a systematic narrative synthesis with available data in the text to explain and summarize the findings and characteristics of enrolled studies.

**Heterogeneity analysis**

The Cochran’s Q test as well as Higgins I-squared statistic were used to determine the heterogeneity of pooled outcomes. A P value <0.05 for heterogeneity and/or an I-squared statistic >50% showed significant heterogeneity, where the random-effects model (DerSimonian-Laird method) was used to combine the data, otherwise, the fixed-effect model (Mantel-Haenszel method) was employed. In
addition, we performed a subgroup analysis by enrolling variables such as the PLR cut-off value, ethnicity, and therapeutic method, aiming at determination of the potential source of heterogeneity among studies.

Assessment of the qualities of the studies

Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was employed to determine the qualities of enrolled studies (23), including selection, comparability as well as outcomes, with a maximal score of 9. Studies were regarded as high quality with scores of or over 7.

Sensitivity analysis

If the P value from the heterogeneity test was under 0.05 after data extraction, the study was checked, followed by subgroup analyses. In addition, a sensitivity analysis was conducted to verify the convincingness of outcomes in this meta-analysis by sequentially omitting each individual study using the “metaninf” STATA command.

Assessment of publication biases

The Begg’s funnel plot as well as the Egger’s linear regression test were performed to evaluate publication biases. A P<0.05 was considered to be statistical significance.

Results

Search results and study characteristics

Initially, 159 studies were collected from electronic databases. After removing duplicates and inspecting titles and/or abstracts, 16 full-text articles were further assessed. Of them, eight studies including 1,636 subjects were ultimately included in this study (14,24-30) after eliminating the other eight studies due to the insufficient or absent data concerning PLR. The search steps in detail were shown in Figure 1. The median sample size consisted of 205 patients, ranging from 30 to 344 patients. Seven studies were conducted in Asian countries, and one study was performed in a non-Asian country. And the cut-off values for the PLR varied from 129.78 to 300. The association between the PLR and OS was investigated in all the eight studies, while that of the PLR with PFS was explored in five studies. In all the eight studies, the NOS scores were over 6. In addition, the baseline characteristics of the eight enrolled studies were summarized in Table 2.

Impact of the PLR on OS and DFS in ovarian cancer patients

The HRs along with 95% CIs from the 1,636 patients from
the eight studies were extracted and pooled. Consequently, there was a significant correlation between the PLR and worse OS (HR =5.95, 95% CI: 4.35–8.14, P=0.000, Figure 2) and that the heterogeneity was not significant ($I^2=0.0\%$, $P=0.872$, Figure 2). The random effect model was utilized, although both models could be used. Moreover, subgroup analysis was performed for further investigation, and the PLR cut-off value remained an indicator of poor OS near 200 (HR =6.78, 95% CI: 4.50–10.21, $P<0.001$, Figure 3) and near 300 (HR =4.94, 95% CI: 3.04–8.05, $P<0.001$, Figure 3). Additionally, the PLR remained a significant prognostic indicator for OS of subjects undergoing mixed therapy (HR =5.67, 95% CI: 3.71–8.66, $P=0.000$, Figure S1), chemotherapy (HR =7.05, 95% CI: 4.35–8.14, $P=0.000$, Figure S1).
CI: 3.81–13.06, P=0.000, (Figure S1) or surgery (HR = 5.46, 95% CI: 2.61–11.41, P=0.000, (Figure S1)). As we had mentioned, the prognostic value of the PLR on PFS was reported in five studies with 1,268 subjects. Consequently, there existed a significant correlation between the PLR and was worse PFS (HR =4.86, 95% CI: 3.16–7.49, P<0.001, (Figure S2), with insignificant heterogeneity (I² =43.4%, P=0.132, (Figure S2)). Further, subgroup analysis showed that the PLR cut-off value remained an indicator for poor PFS near 200 (HR =6.17, 95% CI: 4.09–9.30, P<0.001, (Figure S3)) but not near 300 (HR =4.24, 95% CI: 0.74–24.46, P>0.05, (Figure S3)). Additionally, the PLR remained a significant prognostic factor for the PFS of subjects undergoing mixed therapy (HR =4.39, 95% CI: 2.26–8.53, P=0.000, (Figure S4), chemotherapy (HR =7.04, 95% CI: 3.80–13.04, P=0.000, (Figure S4)) or surgery (HR =4.53, 95% CI: 1.91–10.75, P=0.000, (Figure S4)). Together, the above-described findings indicate that an elevated PLR was significantly related to poor OS and PFS in ovarian cancer patients.

**Sensitivity analysis**

We performed the sensitivity analyses by the sequential omission of each individual study, aiming to determine whether the outcomes were affected by any individual study. This analysis indicated no obvious effect on the pattern of the results by any single study (Figure 4).

**Publication bias**

Begg’s funnel plot as well as Egger’s linear regression test were conducted to assess the possible publication bias of our study. As a result, there was no significant publication bias, as indicated by P values for the OS of 0.269 (Egger’s test; Figure S5) and 0.269 (Begg’s test; Figure S6), and P values for the PFS of 0.243 (Egger’s test; Figure S7) and 0.243 (Begg’s test; Figure S8).

**Discussion**

In this meta-analysis enrolling eight studies, the PLR was a
significant biomarker for poor OS and PFS. The subgroup analysis demonstrated that the PLR cut-off value was an indicator for poor OS near 200 and near 300. In addition, the PLR was also a significant prognostic indicator for the OS in subjects undergoing anti-cancer therapy, including those receiving a mixed treatment of chemotherapy and surgery.

To date, a variety of predictors, such as the TNM stage, CA-125, as well as inflammatory factors, have been confirmed and subsequently applied to the prognostic prediction of ovarian carcinoma (5). SIRs have been shown to boost tumor progression at almost each single step, such as initiation, progression as well as distant metastasis (31). Chemokines as well as inflammatory cytokines could be secreted by both tumor cells and host cells (including leukocytes and platelets), rendering malignant progression (32). However, it remains largely unknown of the specific mechanism of this progression. An inflammatory process triggered by cancer cells could be used to explain the association of poor prognosis with elevated platelets, lymphocytes or their ratio. On the one hand, thrombocytosis is commonly detected in ovarian cancer patients, which is associated with poor survival (33). Platelets can promote tumor growth, angiogenesis and metastasis by secreting a variety of growth factors, including PDGF, platelet-activating factor, and VEGF (34). Moreover, platelets are capable of facilitating tumor cell transendothelial migration and metastasis by mediating the P2Y2 receptor. The survival of ovarian cancer patients is negatively influenced by elevated platelet levels. On the other hand, lymphocytes are critically involved in cancer immune-surveillance to prevent tumor development (35). Lymphocytes exert an anti-tumor effect via induction of cytotoxic cell death as well as suppression of tumor proliferation (31). Hence, the survival is relatively better in cancer patients harboring enhanced infiltration of lymphocytes into tumor tissue (36).

Multiple previous researches have suggested that elevated PLR is associated with poor survival for patients harboring different malignancies, such as NSCLC (37), pancreatic cancer (38,39), breast cancer (40), based on meta-analyses. However, other studies have found that the PLR was a negative prognostic factor for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (38,39), hepatocellular carcinoma (41) and colorectal cancer (42). Gu (43) found that the PLR failed to be a significant indicator for the OS of gastric cancer patients. However, there has been no meta-analysis concerning about the prognostic significance of the PLR in ovarian cancer patients. To our knowledge, our study is the first meta-analysis to probe into the association between the PLR and the prognosis of ovarian cancer patients. Consistent with previous studies concerning other types of malignancies, our research demonstrated that there was a significant correlation of elevated PLR with poor OS as well as PFS in ovarian cancer patients. In addition, we also...
revealed that the PLR could be utilized as a poor prognosis factor and a potential significant biomarker for the OS in ovarian cancer patients. Therefore, we suggest that the PLR could be used to predict the prognosis and detect the relapse of ovarian cancer patients.

This study has several limitations. It exclusively included researches in English language, which might lead to publication bias. Moreover, there was relatively large heterogeneity among these studies, which could result from many demographic characteristics, including countries and race, and histological traits, such as histological classification. In order to minimize the heterogeneity in the present study, subgroups were analyzed according to different cut-off values of the PLR, alongside with the heterogeneity analysis, which revealed that the PLR remained a negative factor at different cut-off values. Additionally, the sensitivity analysis showed the same result. Thus, the heterogeneity did not affect the results of our meta-analysis. Moreover, the correlation between the PLR and other clinical and pathological characteristics was not analyzed due to the limited extraction data. Furthermore, most of the patients were classified as Asians in the inclusive studies of our meta-analysis. Therefore, large-scale prospective studies are warranted to provide more convincing outcomes in the future.

Collectively, this meta-analysis indicates that an elevated preoperative PLR is negatively associated with survival of ovarian cancer patients. Additionally, this meta-analysis may provide effective cut-off values for other study groups.
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**Figure S1** Forest plots of mixed treatment versus chemotherapy versus surgery evaluating hazard ratio with 95% confidence interval (CI) of platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios for overall survival in ovarian cancer patients. 0, chemotherapy; 1, surgery; 2, mixed therapy (chemotherapy + surgery).

**Figure S2** Forest plots of studies evaluating hazard ratio with 95% confidence interval (CI) of platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios for progression-free survival in ovarian cancer patients.
Figure S3 Forest plots of PLR near 300 versus PLR near 200 evaluating hazard ratio with 95% confidence interval (CI) of platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios for progression-free survival in ovarian cancer patients. PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio.

Figure S4 Forest plots of mixed treatment versus chemotherapy versus surgery evaluating hazard ratio with 95% confidence interval (CI) of platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios for progression-free survival in ovarian cancer patients. 0, chemotherapy; 1, surgery; 2, mixed therapy (chemotherapy + surgery).
**Figure S5** Egger’s funnel plot with pseudo 95% confidence limits of platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios for overall survival in ovarian cancer patients.

**Figure S6** Begg’s funnel plot with pseudo 95% confidence limits of platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios for overall survival in ovarian cancer patients.

**Figure S7** Egger’s funnel plot with pseudo 95% confidence limits of platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios for progression-free survival in ovarian cancer patients.

**Figure S8** Begg’s funnel plot with pseudo 95% confidence limits of platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios for progression-free survival in ovarian cancer patients.