



Hot topics in hepatocellular carcinoma

Luca Ielasi, Elisabetta Goio, Francesco Tovoli

Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

Correspondence to: Luca Ielasi. Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy. Email: luca.ielasi.kr@gmail.com.

Submitted Jul 07, 2018. Accepted for publication Aug 01, 2018.

doi: 10.21037/tcr.2018.08.01

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2018.08.01>

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is currently the second cause of cancer-related death worldwide and its incidence has been estimated to increase of about 20% by 2020 (1).

These dramatic epidemiology features are responsible for the increasing interest in finding effective preventive and therapeutic strategies. In the last years many efforts have been put in the primary prevention of HCC and in the improvement of therapeutic options. It is therefore unsurprising that many hot topics have risen and are currently at the centre of an intense debate.

When it comes to the primary prevention of HCC, interventions toward the most prevalent risk factors have to be considered. The development and the subsequent widespread availability of effective anti-hepatitis B virus (HBV) drugs and vaccination left hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) as the main causes of HCC with unmet needs. Until now, HCV infection has been the leading cause of liver cirrhosis and HCC in Western population (1). The advent of direct antiviral agents (DAAs) with high efficacy in achieving sustained virological response (SVR) is now expected to reduce the incidence of *de novo* HCC in patients with eradicated HCV infection. Unfortunately, after post-marketing surveillance, an SVR following DAAs seemed to be associated with a higher occurrence of *de novo* HCC and recurrence after HCC treated with curative therapies (2,3). Also, post-DAAs HCC seemed to show aggressive features with limited possibilities of receiving curative treatments (2). So far, two large prospective studies have been performed to verify these concerning reports (4,5). The results of these studies do not confirm the initial reports, observing a not increased risk of HCC in patients who underwent curative treatments, including liver transplantation. Moreover, SVR, obtained either with DAAs or interferon, was the pivotal factor in reducing cancer risk (4). Other recent studies

suggested that DAAs do not impact on tumor aggressiveness (instead improving the early post-operative outcome) (6) and that biannual ultrasonography screening for HCC is highly expensive and little effective in non-cirrhotic patients receiving DAAs (7). So, which results should we trust about DAAs and risk of HCC? Serio *et al.* provide an extensive review of the current literature in this Special Issue to update the readers of *Translational Cancer Research*. In any case, prospective studies with a long-term follow up will be pivotal in settling the existing doubts (8).

Associated with the obesity and diabetes epidemics, NAFLD is becoming the leading cause of chronic liver disease in Western countries. NAFLD is an attributable cause of HCC, even before the development of cirrhosis (9). Effective strategies for identification of high-risk patients are urgently needed and represent a second hot topic in HCC. In the absence of strong and established evidences, most international guidelines do not support a widespread screening in these patients (10). Innovative biomarkers could help both in understanding the elusive pathogenesis of NAFLD-related HCC and in selecting particularly high-risk patients.

Therapeutic options are another debated topic in HCC. The existence of multiple international guidelines reflects different proposed strategies, even if actual discordances are limited (11). Medical community has always strived for better defining the indications for surgical and loco-regional approaches, but one of the hardest challenges of the last decade involved the complex field of advanced HCC. After the approval of sorafenib, a series of trials of systemic treatments failed. In the last two years, four new molecules have shown efficacy. Regorafenib (12), cabozantinib (13), and ramucirumab (14) were superior to placebo in patients failing sorafenib (with regorafenib tested only in patients who withdrawn sorafenib for progressive

disease, and ramucirumab effective only in patient with alfa-fetoprotein >400 ng/mL). Also, lenvatinib was found to be non-inferior to sorafenib as first line therapy (15). Immunotherapy trials are showing promising results as well (16), leading to an early registration of nivolumab by the US Food & Drug Administration. The updated scenario of post-sorafenib treatments has been thoroughly reviewed by Nenu *et al.* for the readers of this special issue (17). The hottest topic in this field regards the search for biomarkers. Further knowledge is in fact needed to clarify which patients will possibly benefit from the agents of different classes (tyrosine kinase inhibitors and immune checkpoint inhibitors), helping both in the daily clinical practice and in the design of the trials (18). New locoregional techniques for the control of locally advanced HCC have been also studied in the latest years. Selective internal radiation therapy, also called transarterial radioembolisation (TARE), is based on the use of microspheres containing radioactive substances, first of all yttrium-90 (19). Preliminary experience in patients with neoplastic portal vein invasion led to the creation of a number of clinical trials about TARE. Expectations were frustrated by the failed SARAH (20) and SIRVENIB (21) trials, which did not find a benefit of TARE compared to sorafenib both in per-protocol and intention-to-treat analyses. Even more hope was placed in the SORAMIC trial, which investigated whether the combination of TARE + sorafenib was superior to sorafenib alone. Unfortunately, the results of this trial have been presented at an international liver congress and were equally disappointing (22). The next years will be crucial to understand if highly debated elements in the design of the SARAH and SIRENIB trials may have influenced the outcome. These factors include the relatively low experience in the use of TARE of some recruiting centers or the possibility to include also patients with high burden of disease (for instance neoplastic invasion of the main portal trunk) which were less likely to receive an adequate and nontoxic radiation dose).

In conclusion, last years have been characterized by an exponential increase in knowledge concerning the optimal clinical approach of patients at risk of or affected by HCC, but this liver tumor is still a serious global public health problem. Research in this area is far from being over.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and peer review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Translational Cancer Research* for the series “Primary Liver Cancer”. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2018.08.01>). The series “Primary Liver Cancer” was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. FT served as the unpaid Guest Editor of the series. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, et al. Cancer statistics, 2008. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2008;58:71-96.
2. Tsai PC, Huang CF, Yu ML. Unexpected early tumor recurrence in patients with hepatitis C virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma undergoing interferon-free therapy: Issue of the interval between HCC treatment and antiviral therapy. *J Hepatol* 2017;66:464.
3. Conti F, Buonfiglioli F, Scuteri A, et al. Early occurrence and recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma in HCV-related cirrhosis treated with direct-acting antivirals. *J Hepatol* 2016;65:727-33.
4. Nahon P, Bourcier V, Layese R, et al. Eradication of Hepatitis C Virus Infection in Patients With Cirrhosis Reduces Risk of Liver and Non-Liver Complications. *Gastroenterology* 2017;152:142-56.e2.

5. Calvaruso V, Cabibbo G, Cacciola I, et al. Incidence of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Patients With HCV-Associated Cirrhosis Treated With Direct-Acting Antiviral Agents. *Gastroenterology* 2018;155:411-21.e4.
6. Vitale A, Russo FP, Sposito C, et al. Pathological characteristics and early post-hepatic-resection outcome of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma occurred after hepatitis C treatment with new direct-acting antivirals: a multicenter cohort study. *J Hepatol* 2018;68:S15.
7. Zangneh HF, Wong WW, Sander B, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of hepatocellular carcinoma screening in hepatitis C cirrhosis after sustained viral response. *J Hepatol* 2018;68:S34.
8. Serio I, Napoli L, Leoni S, Piscaglia F. Direct antiviral agents for HCV infection and hepatocellular carcinoma: facts and FADs. *Transl Cancer Res* 2019;8:S223-32.
9. Kim GA, Lee HC, Choe J, et al. Association between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and cancer incidence rate. *J Hepatol* 2017. [Epub ahead of print].
10. Leoni S, Tovoli F, Napoli L, et al. Current guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review with comparative analysis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2018;24:3361-73.
11. Tovoli F, Negrini G, Bolondi L. Comparative analysis of current guidelines for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepat Oncol* 2016;3:119-36.
12. Bruix J, Qin S, Merle P, et al. Regorafenib for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who progressed on sorafenib treatment (RESORCE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2017;389:56-66.
13. Abou-Alfa GK, Meyer T, Cheng AL, et al. Cabozantinib (C) versus placebo (P) in patients (pts) with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) who have received prior sorafenib: results from the randomized phase III CELESTIAL trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2018;36:abstr 207.
14. Lilly Announces CYRAMZA® (ramucirumab) Phase 3 REACH-2 Study in Second-Line Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients Met Overall Survival Endpoint. Available online: <https://investor.lilly.com/news-releases/news-release-details/lilly-announces-cyramzar-ramucirumab-phase-3-reach-2-study>
15. Kudo M, Finn RS, Qin S, et al. Lenvatinib versus sorafenib in first-line treatment of patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a randomised phase 3 non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* 2018;391:1163-73.
16. El-Khoueiry AB, Sangro B, Yau T, et al. Nivolumab in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (CheckMate 040): an open-label, non-comparative, phase 1/2 dose escalation and expansion trial. *Lancet* 2017;389:2492-502.
17. Nenu I, Breaban I, Pascalau S, et al. The future is now: beyond first line systemic therapy in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Transl Cancer Res* 2019;8:S261-74.
18. Tovoli F, Lorenzo S, Barbera MA, et al. Postsorafenib systemic treatments for hepatocellular carcinoma: questions and opportunities after the regorafenib trial. *Future Oncol* 2017;13:1893-905.
19. Sangro B, Bilbao JI, Boan J, et al. Radioembolization using 90Y-resin microspheres for patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2006;66:792-800.
20. Vilgrain V, Pereira H, Assenat E, et al. Efficacy and safety of selective internal radiotherapy with yttrium-90 resin microspheres compared with sorafenib in locally advanced and inoperable hepatocellular carcinoma (SARAH): an open-label randomised controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2017;18:1624-36.
21. Chow PK, Gandhi M, Tan SB, et al. SIRveNIB: Selective Internal Radiation Therapy Versus Sorafenib in Asia-Pacific Patients With Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2018;36:1913-21.
22. Ricke J, Sangro B, Amthauer H, et al. The impact of combining Selective Internal Radiation Therapy (SIRT) with sorafenib on overall survival in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: the SORAMIC trial palliative cohort. *J Hepatol* 2018;68:S102.

Cite this article as: Ielasi L, Goio E, Tovoli F. Hot topics in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Transl Cancer Res* 2019;8(Suppl 3):S216-S218. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2018.08.01