Historically, the standard of care for patients with metastatic prostate cancer was androgen deprivation therapy (ADT). With the publication of CHAARTED, LATITUDE, and STAMPEDE, there are randomized data (1-3), and meta-analysis of randomized data (4), to support the addition of docetaxel and abiraterone in these patients. While local treatment such as radical prostatectomy and prostate irradiation are standard for patients with localized disease, the role of local therapy in patients with distant metastases has been controversial until very recently (5). Although there is data to suggest that patients with oligometastatic prostate cancer may derive oncologic benefit from prostate directed treatment, many of the published studies to date are retrospective (6). As such, selection bias (with patients with lower overall disease burden being treated more aggressively at the local site and ultimately having a greater survival) may account for many of the observed benefits in these studies.

To date, there are two randomized trials assessing the role of radiotherapy to the prostate in patients with metastatic prostate cancer. In the multi-arm STAMPEDE trial, 2,061 patients with newly diagnosed metastatic prostate cancer were randomized to either a standard treatment control group receiving ADT (an in some cases docetaxel), or to a radiotherapy group that received external beam therapy in addition to standard therapy between 2013 and 2016 (3). Overall there was no significant survival difference between the two groups. However, there was noted an increase in overall and failure-free survival among patients who had a low metastatic burden who received radiotherapy. Similarly, the HORRAD trial randomized 432 patients with PSA >20 ng/mL and confirmed bone metastases to either an ADT alone or ADT + EBRT (7). This analysis showed an improvement in time to PSA progression among patients receiving radiotherapy, but there was no difference in overall survival among the two groups.

The STOPCAP M1 Radiotherapy team used a prospective framework to identify relevant trials assessing the role of primary prostate radiotherapy in patients with metastatic hormone sensitive prostate cancer (8). Identifying three relevant trials, the authors performed meta-analysis of two prospective, randomized controlled trials (HORRAD and STAMPEDE) which have reported analyses of the use of prostate directed radiation therapy + ADT versus ADT alone. The PEACE-1 trial, which has closed for accrual but not yet read out, is planned for inclusion in future meta-analyses on this topic but, to date, provides no relevant data.

Before examining the effect of prostate radiotherapy, it is important to understand the characteristics of the included patients. Among 2,126 patients included in this analysis, all patients had de novo metastatic prostate cancer and the majority had Gleason score ≥8, performance status 0, and median age was in the late sixties. The vast majority of included men had bone metastasis (100% in the HORRAD
Abiraterone + ADT; noted the survival of patients who received analysis of the STAMPEDE multi-arm trial (arm H vs. ADT; comparison B3: RT + abiraterone + docetaxel + ADT vs. RT + docetaxel + ADT; comparison B2: RT + abiraterone + ADT vs. RT + docetaxel + ADT vs. ADT). Further, an ongoing analysis of the STAMPEDE multi-arm trial (arm H vs. A) will compare RT + docetaxel + ADT vs. docetaxel + ADT.

The authors also point out how changes in imaging modalities, specifically with use of tests with higher sensitivity, may change the definition of metastasis moving forward. Finally, there remains significant interest in radiotherapy to metastatic sites in patients with oligometastatic prostate cancer. This question is not addressed in the present manuscript but is being assessed in ongoing clinical trials.

Among all included patients, the authors found no significant difference in overall survival when radiotherapy was given in addition to ADT. Progression free survival (time to symptomatic or radiographic progression, or death) also did not show a significant benefit to receiving radiation along with ADT. There was a statistically significant improvement of biochemical progression, showing at 3 years an absolute improvement of 11% (36% of men with ADT along versus 25% of men with ADT + radiotherapy).

However, in keeping with the STAMPEDE report, Burdett et al. noted the survival of patients who received radiation therapy was dependent on the number of bone metastases (interaction HR for overall survival 1.47, 95% CI, 1.11–1.94) (8). Using a pre-specified criterion, patients were sub-stratified for analysis into <5 bone metastases versus ≥5 bone lesions. Patients with fewer than 5 bone metastases had a statistically significant increase in survival when they received radiotherapy in conjunction to ADT: an absolute improvement of 7% (77% versus 70%, 95% CI, 2–11%), and they noted a similar improvement of progression free survival. This shows that patients who have a low metastatic burden may have some benefit to receiving radiation therapy at the time of diagnosis.

While there is a reasonable biologic rationale for local therapy in patients with low-volume metastatic hormone sensitive prostate cancer, before accepting this approach as standard of care, limitations to these data must be highlighted. First, the standard of care has changed since these trials accrued. In the present meta-analysis, no patients received abiraterone and few received docetaxel at the time of diagnosis. These agents are now widely used in this indication and each has a survival benefit whose magnitude exceeds the benefits seen here. The role of radiotherapy in patients receiving these life-prolonging systemic therapies remains to be assessed in the PEACE-1 trial (comparison B1: RT + abiraterone + ADT vs. abiraterone + ADT; comparison B2: RT + docetaxel + ADT vs. docetaxel + ADT; comparison B3: RT + abiraterone + docetaxel + ADT vs. abiraterone + docetaxel + ADT). Further, an ongoing analysis of the STAMPEDE multi-arm trial (arm H vs. A) helps to show the effect of radiotherapy among patients receiving docetaxel or abiraterone. Other trials, including SWOG 1802, TRoMbone, and STAMPEDE arm M (pending funding approval), are similarly investigating the use of radical prostatectomy as an alternative in patients who are metastatic at presentation.


