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Expression of HER-2 in surgical specimen and biopsy as a biomarker of metastasis in patients with osteosarcoma: a meta-analysis
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Background: Previous studies have evaluated the effect of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2) expression on the metastasis of patients with osteosarcoma (OS) while the results remain conflicting. Here we performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to determine the value of HER-2 in prognosis of OS.

Methods: A comprehensive search using NCBI PubMed, the Cochrane library, Embase, ISI Web of Knowledge, Springer, China National Knowledge Internet database (CNKI), Wanfang database, Chinese VIP database and Chinese Biological Medical Database (CBM) from inception through Aug 28, 2018 was conducted to investigate HER-2 expression and OS metastasis. We evaluated the quantity of the studies using Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale (NOS).

Results: There were 15 studies with 652 OS patients involved. The results of meta-analysis showed that positive expression of HER-2 was associated with OS metastasis (OR =4.42; 95% CI, 2.91–6.71; P<0.0001). No significant heterogeneity or publication bias was observed among all studies.

Conclusions: The results of this study suggest that HER-2 positive expression indicates OS metastasis, while it’s needed to perform more prospective studies to confirm the prognostic value of HER-2 in patients with OS.
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Introduction

Osteosarcoma (OS) is the most common malignancy in bones and is limited to the metaphysis of long bones (1,2). In adolescents and young adults, OS is one of the most common primary malignant bone tumors. The survival rate of patients with OS increased with the introduction of advanced surgery and combination chemotherapy. However, a large proportion of patients are still involved in fatal metastasis, which significantly reduces the survival rate at present. Because the mechanism of oncogenes has not yet been fully elucidated, the ability to predict the metastasis of OS is currently very limited. Therefore, it’s urgently needed to understand the prognostic biomarkers of metastatic OS. These markers can identify patients at higher risk, so the patients can use more intensive and aggressive treatment at the time of initial diagnosis. So far, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2) expression has been identified as one of the potential prognostic biomarkers of OS (3).
Over-expression of HER-2/neu/C-erbB2/ErbB-2 is closely related to the degree of malignancy of many epithelial cell cancers. HER-2 high-expression tumors show strong migratory and invasive properties, poor sensitivity of chemotherapeutic drugs, poor post-hepatology, and recurrence. HER-2 belongs to the human epidermal growth factor receptor family, which consists of 4 members: EGFR, HER-2, HER-3, and HER-4 (4). These receptors are located on the surface of the cell membrane and have similar structures. HER-2 expression is extremely low in normal cells, but it is expressed at high levels during embryonic development. HER-2 can spontaneously form homodimeric receptors or ligands to induce the formation of heterodimeric receptors, triggering a signal transduction network (5,6), which plays an important regulatory role in cell proliferation, differentiation, development, adhesion, and migration. The prognostic effect of HER-2 was first described about 20 years ago. Data from many studies indicate that HER-2 over-expression is linked to risk of tumor metastasis in OS patients, while some other studies have shown controversial results. In order to investigate its relationship with metastasis, we conducted a meta-analysis of all available studies on HER-2 expression and OS patients. In the current work, we confirmed that HER-2 expression is increased in metastatic OS. Therefore, our study shows that HER-2 can be used as a tool to judge the prognosis and metastasis of OS.

Methods

Search strategy and study selection
A systematic search using NCBI PubMed, the Cochrane library, Embase, ISI Web of Knowledge, Springer, CNKI, Wanfang database, Chinese VIP database and CBM was performed to investigate HER-2 expression and OS metastasis. We performed the last search on Aug 28, 2018. The following terms: (HER-2 or neu or ErbB-2 or C-erbB-2) and (osteogenic tumor or osteosarcoma) were included in the search strategy by 2 investigators (JZ and QY) independently, which was checked repeatedly and no language limitations were imposed.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
Inclusion criteria: (I) publications were written in Chinese or English; (II) sufficient information was provided to construct the 2×2 contingency table; (III) pathological diagnosis (gold standard) was used to diagnose OS; (IV) inclusion criteria: (I) publications were written in Chinese or English; (II) sufficient information was provided to construct the 2×2 contingency table; (III) pathological diagnosis (gold standard) was used to diagnose OS; (IV) clinical diagnosis (gold standard) was used to diagnose OS; (V) OS was diagnosed without a biopsy; (VI) multiple duplicate data were published in the different works, excluding earlier and smaller sample data; (VII) similar studies were published by the same author.

Data extraction
The eligibility of all retrieved studies was evaluated by two investigators (JZ and QY). Two investigators (JZ and QY) extracted the relevant data independently. Extracted databases were then crosschecked between the two authors to rule out any discrepancy. Data regarding the following for each included study were extracted independently: publication year, first authors’ surname, HER-2 assessment methods, and the cut-off definition. Corresponding authors were contacted if further information was needed. The study was excluded if no response was received after sending a reminder.

Assessment of included studies
We assessed the quality of included studies using NOS (7) with three categories (selection, comparability, and exposure) and eight items. The quality assessment values ranged from 0 to 9 stars. Studies with score ≥6 stars were included for our analysis.

Statistical analysis
The pooled odds ratio (OR) with corresponding 95% confidence interval (95% CI) was calculated to evaluate the effect of HER-2 positive expression on metastasis of OS. The heterogeneity between the included studies was assessed by I² statistics, which quantified the proportion of the total variation in meta-analysis assessment from 0 to 100% (8). When there was no significant heterogeneity (I²≤50%), the fixed effects model was used (9); otherwise, a random effects model was used for the analysis (10). Moreover, sensitivity analysis was performed by sequentially omitting individual studies to assess the stability of the results. The possibility of publication bias was assessed via visually assessing the symmetry of Egger’s test and Begg’s funnel plots (11). All the
analyses were conducted using STATA version 12 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). A two-tailed P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Eligible studies**

We retrieved 222 potentially relevant articles from our initial search in NCBI PubMed and so on. After removing duplicate data, 213 articles were remained. After reviewing the title and abstract, 182 manuscripts were excluded because they were either commentary or case reports, either duplicated or not relevant to the current analysis. Next, we further evaluated the remaining 31 studies. Among these, 16 studies were excluded for the following reasons: 3 items were not provided in full, 7 items were restricted to the study of molecular biology mechanisms, and 6 items did not provide enough data to calculate OR and 95% CI. A total of 652 patients participated in the current analysis in 15 studies (12-26) (**Figure 1**, **Table 1**), with 14 to 80 patients in each study (median of 47). The main features of the included studies are summarized in **Table 1**. In general, all studies used immunohistochemistry (IHC) to determine **HER-2** expression. We judged the results by two methods of cut-off: positive cell percentage and staining intensity.

**Qualitative assessment**

The study quality was evaluated using NOS, generating scores ranging from 7 to 8 (with a mean of 7.40). A higher value [0–9] indicates better methodology. The results of the quality assessment are shown in **Table 1** and detailed information are shown in **Table S1**.

**Meta-analysis**

In order to evaluate the heterogeneity of the included studies (I'), a I-squared test was used, where I' evaluated the number of inconsistencies throughout the study (P<0.05 was considered significant). The value of I' close to zero represents homogeneity, while the following ranges of I' are used to explain heterogeneity: low heterogeneity if I'=25–50%, moderate heterogeneity if I'=51–75% and significant heterogeneity If I'>75%. In this study, OR was used to evaluate the relationship between metastasis rate and **HER-2** positive expression in patients with OS. As shown in **Figure 2**, the OR that assessed the **HER-2** positive expression on
### Table 1 Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Age (median)</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Assay kit</th>
<th>HER-2 cut-off</th>
<th>HER-2 positive</th>
<th>HER-2 negative</th>
<th>NOS score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Metastasis</td>
<td>Non metastasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>Qin et al.</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>MXB</td>
<td>A2*B1≥3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>Mardanpour et al.</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26.44</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>DAKO</td>
<td>A3≥2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>Chen et al.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>MXB</td>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>Becker et al.</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>DAKO</td>
<td>A4≥2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>Ma et al.</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Santa cruz</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(18)</td>
<td>Ma et al.</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Santa cruz</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(19)</td>
<td>Su et al.</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>MXB</td>
<td>A5&gt;1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>Qiu et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>MXB</td>
<td>A5&gt;B1≥4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(21)</td>
<td>Qiu et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>MXB</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(22)</td>
<td>Zhou et al.</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Ventana</td>
<td>A1≥2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(23)</td>
<td>Morris et al.</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>DAKO</td>
<td>A1≥2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(24)</td>
<td>Kilpatrick et al.</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>DAKO</td>
<td>B1≥2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>Gorlick et al.</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>DAKO</td>
<td>A2≥2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(26)</td>
<td>Onda et al.</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Nichirei Inc</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A, positive cell percentage; A1, scored 1 (<25 %), 2 (25–75%), 3 (>75%); A2, scored 0 (<5%), 1 (6–25%), 2 (26–50%), 3 (>50%); A3, scored 0–3+; A4, scored 0–1 (<30%), 2–3 (>30%); A5, scored 1 (>10%); B, Staining intensity; B1, scored 0 (absence of staining), 1 (weak staining), 2 (moderate staining), 3 (strong staining); B2, scored by a pathologist.
OS metastasis was 4.42 (95% CI, 2.91–6.71; P<0.0001; $I^2=11.0\%$), which suggests a low heterogeneity among the 15 studies analyzed ($I^2=11.0\%$) in the meta-analysis of the effect of HER-2 expression on the metastasis rate of OS. Therefore, a fixed effect model was used to calculate the combined OR and the corresponding 95% CI.

**Sensitivity analysis**

We performed a sensitivity analysis to assess the stability of the results, indicating that the combined OR was stable and there was no significant change in heterogeneity when removing a single study. We evaluated the robustness of the results by canceling one study at a time and recalculating the overall OR. A one-time sensitivity analysis was conducted to show that our analysis was not too dependent on a study and the conclusion was stable (Figure 3). These results indicate that HER-2 positive expression may be an indicator of metastasis in patients with OS.

**Publication bias**

In order to test whether there is obvious evidence of asymmetry and the publication bias of the quantitative analysis literature, we used a Begg's funnel plot with STATA. Begg's funnel plot does not show obvious asymmetric evidence in metastasis, with a P value of 0.838 (P>0.05) (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

OS is the most common malignant bone tumors in adolescents and young adults. The OS prognosis is poor with low sensitivity to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. It also has the characteristics of easy recurrence and metastasis. Therapy for OS has hit the bottleneck. The 10-year survival rate of amputation therapy for primary OS patients is only 15% (2) and the combination chemotherapy does not improve OS (27). Recently, many studies about the prognosis of OS have been reported. CRP and ESR levels of pre-operation may have value in building a prognostic model for OS (28). Hypoxia-inducible factor-2 alpha (HIF2α) may be a biomarker of OS (29). Controlled and predictive marker studies of primary bone tumors was allowed by the newly developed and validated preclinical model (30). All that reports would contribute to the development of prognostic biomarker for OS. Additionally, the impact of Managed Clinical Network for Sarcoma on patient outcomes was also assessed. Previous study indicated

---

**Figure 2** HER-2 expression and metastasis of osteosarcoma patients.
that Scotland have similar outcomes to the UK following diagnosis of a primary malignant bone tumor (31). Although many efforts on OS have been done, the survival of patients with metastatic OS remains extremely poor. Because OS has a high degree of malignancy, early metastasis is the main factor affecting whether the patient’s cure rate can be improved. Therefore, it is urgently needed to update the early prognostic biomarkers to adapt to the appropriate treatment of malignant tumors. Many researchers report that high expression of certain cell surface markers suggests poor clinical characteristics and prognosis. HER-2 is one of the potential prognostic indicators of OS. It has been reported that the HER-2 gene is of irreplaceable significance in predicting the survival and recurrence time of many cancer patients. In terms of hormone receptor status, it has higher prognostic value than many currently used prognostic factors. HER-2 has been proved to have a role in the transfer of promoters via acting PI3K/Akt pathway to increase the proliferation of cancer cells. HER-2 gene and protein expression levels in some tumors, especially breast cancer metastases (32,33), are significantly higher than those in primary tumors. In addition, high expression of HER-2 protein was also found in the pseudopodia of invasive cancer cells, indicating that this gene is closely related to cancer metastasis. Moreover, HER-2, like other members of other tyrosine kinase receptor families, not only regulates the growth and differentiation of cells, but also influences the abilities of cells to move and adhere, and is involved in the process of metastasis of tumor cells in many ways. The high expression of HER-2 increases the proliferation, survival and anti-apoptotic capacity of tumor cells and increases the ability to migrate and infiltrate, which often indicates a poor prognosis (34). Therefore, selective HER-2 inhibitors have potential application value in the prevention of OS, enhancing the sensitivity of chemotherapy and radiotherapy, and reducing their toxic and side effects. However, whether it can be used as a new drug for the treatment of OS in clinical applications requires further research. In conclusion, HER-2 molecule is an important molecule involved in tumor growth, invasion and metastasis, and it may be a valuable prognostic biomarker in OS.

Meta-analysis is a quantitative approach combing information from different studies on related topics to facilitate
the assessment of cancer-related prognostic indicators (35). In order to conduct a precise assessment about the prognostic role of HER-2 positive expression in OS, a meta-analysis was conducted and fifteen published studies was included. The results showed that HER-2 positive expression indicates higher rates of OS metastasis 4.42 (95% CI, 2.91–6.71; \( P<0.0001 \)). Additionally, a sensitivity analysis was performed to determine the stability of the results. When any single study was removed, the pooled OR was stable with no significant changes. In summary, meta-analysis shows that HER-2 is a valuable biomarker to guide the clinical therapy for OS.

However, there are still several limitations in this study and following questions should be considered. Firstly, there is no publication bias in the selection of documents, but there may still be potential publication bias. Because these studies with desired results are more easily released, which may lead to bias in the overall accuracy. Secondly, the inclusion of the literature is limited to English and Chinese publications, which also have an impact on the results. Thirdly, because of the scarcity of OS, the total sample size included in this Meta-analysis was 652, of which 332 were HER-2 positive and 320 were HER-2 negative. Fewer samples will have unavoidable random errors and sample biases in the meta-analysis process. This requires us to conduct a larger sample size study to better assess the correlation between HER-2 positive expression and OS metastasis. Fourthly, tumor metastasis is used as the main outcome, but it still lacks sufficient data. We can’t stratify data according to patient age, tumor size, tumor stage, and site of metastasis. This requires a larger sample size and more detailed research program designed to more comprehensive evaluate the link of connection between the two.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis was performed to evaluate the association between HER-2 positive expression and metastasis of patients with OS. Results of this meta-analysis indicated that HER-2 was an effective biomarker that correlates with OS metastasis. More well-designed studies with larger sample sizes are still needed to obtain a more comprehensive evaluation about the prognostic role of HER-2 positive expression in OS patients.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wang et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representativeness of the cases</td>
<td>▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection of controls</td>
<td>▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition of controls</td>
<td>▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparability</td>
<td>▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparability of cases and controls on the basis of the design and analysis</td>
<td>▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascertainment of exposure</td>
<td>▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls</td>
<td>▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Response rate</td>
<td>▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total scores</td>
<td>8  7  8  7  7  8  7  8  7  8  7  8  7  8  7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>