Effect of artesunate on apoptosis and autophagy in tamoxifen resistant breast cancer cells (TAM-R)
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Background: The antitumor effect of artesunate (ART) is well-recognized. To investigate the effect of ART on tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cells (TAM-R) proliferation, apoptosis, and autophagy with TAM-R cells of breast cancer as objects of study, and to investigate whether ART could re-sensitize TAM-R cells to TAM therapy.

Methods: Experiments were performed using TAM-R cell lines. Cell Death Detection ELISA kit was used to detect the level of apoptosis. Western blot and immunofluorescent staining analysis were conducted to detect autophagy and apoptosis related proteins in TAM-R cells.

Results: After treated with ART, the proliferation activity of TAM-R cells was inhibited in a concentration-dependent manner. Increased apoptosis activity was detected in TAM-R cells when treated with ART. Compared with 10⁻⁶ M TAM monotherapy group, treatment group with ART and TAM in combination caused significant reduction in the levels of Bcl-2, XIAP, and Survivin proteins, and elevation of caspase-7. However, increased p53 proteins was not detected after ART treatment. No significant change was observed in autophagy proteins LC-3 and Beclin-1 among control, ART, TAM, and ART combined with TAM groups.

Conclusions: The intervention of ART could not inhibit protective autophagy in TAM-R cells, however, possess potential in inducing apoptosis. In addition, as ART inhibit TAM-R cells growth in a dose-dependent manner, co-administration of 1 or 3 μM of ART with 10⁻⁶ M TAM might not be enough to re-sensitize TAM-R cells to TAM therapy.
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Introduction

Breast cancer is the second highest killer of all cancers in women, causing up to 30% deaths in diagnosed patients (1,2). Approximately 1.2 million new cases occur each year, and younger age patients appear more frequently than the past (3,4). It is estimated that almost 70% of breast cancer patients express ER, which make endocrine therapies an important strategy (5). The “gold standard” and first-line therapy for ER+ breast cancer remains to be tamoxifen (TAM). By binding to ERs, TAM can inhibit the proliferation of estrogen-stimulated breast cancer cells (6). However, acquired resistance, as a major issue, still stand in the pathway of achieving the best performance of TAM (7). Several mechanisms are responsible for acquiring TAM resistance in breast cancer cells, and accumulating
studies have focused on inhibition of apoptosis and induction of protective autophagy (8,9).

Apoptosis and autophagy are considered as two distinct processes coordinately regulating cell survival and death, and play important roles in tumor development and progression. By removing the useless, damaged, aged, and malignant cells out of the normal cells, apoptosis can help human body maintain the normal function of organs and tissue, control the tissue elements within the desired range of physiological need, stabilize the internal environment, and prevent the incidence and progression of cancer (10). Autophagy is an evolutionarily conserved process which initiated in hostile internal conditions to maintain metabolic homeostasis. It plays dual roles as destroyer or protector in cancer cells. On the one hand, autophagy can suppress tumor growth, however, it also can protect the tumor cells by delaying and limiting breast cancer cells death in response to stressor (11). Previous research considered autophagy as an important mechanism of TAM resistance, and demonstrated that resensitization of TAM resistant breast cancer cells could be achieved by inhibiting autophagy (12).

Artesunate (ART), which is a water-soluble derivative of artemisinin and an active component of the Chinese herb Artemisia annual, has been widely applied for the treatment of malarial (13). Its anticancer characteristics has also been well recognized. Back in 1996, researchers already found that ART could induce tumor cell apoptosis (14). Hereto, the potential anticancer effect of ART has manifested in an amount of tumors, including Burkitt's lymphoma, chronic myeloid leukemia, renal carcinoma, cervical cancer, breast, hand and neck cancer (15-20). Numerous mechanisms of ART on cancer cells were investigated, and reports suggested that ART inhibited proliferation of tumor cells by inducing oxidative DNA damage, apoptosis or oncosis, inhibiting angiogenesis, and arresting cell cycle (21-24). For breast cancer, studies were performed on estrogen/TAM-sensitive breast cancer cells (MCF-7), and showed promising results of ART in the inhibition of breast cancer cell proliferation by inducing apoptosis or G2/M cell cycle arrest (19,25). However, no experiment has been conducted on Tam-resistant breast cancer cells (TAM-R). Since majority of patients develop TAM resistance over time, finding an effective way to re-sensitized TAM-R to estrogen therapy becomes urgent. Thus, we investigated the effects of ART on apoptosis and autophagy of TAM-R cells, and evaluated whether ART could re-sensitize endocrine therapy against breast cancer after acquired resistance.

**Methods**

This study only involved cell lines analysis. The authors did not perform any experiments on human participants. Analysis did not involve the collection, use, or transmittal of individual identifiable data. As such, Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval to conduct this study was not required.

**Materials**

ART was obtained from Guilin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Guilin, China). Tamoxifen was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Improved Minimum Essential Medium (IMEM) for cell culture was purchased from Cellgro (Pittsburgh, PA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS), glutamine, and trypsin were from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). The antibodies against LC3, Beclin-1, p53, Survivin, pAMPK, Bcl-2, X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein (XIAP) and caspase-7 were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA). Near infra-red dye conjugated secondary antibodies for western analysis were purchased from LI-COR, Inc. (Lincoln, NE). MitoTracker Red CMXRos, Hoechst33342, and Alex Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG were from Molecular Probes (Eugene, Oregon USA). Others materials were purchased from Sigma, unless indicated otherwise.

**Cell culture**

TAM-R cell lines derived from MCF-7 cells for breast cancer were kind gifts from Dr. Wei Yue (University of Virginia, VA, USA). Cultured medium IMEM with 5% FBS was applied for TAM-R cell cultivation (37 °C, 5% CO2). For every 2 to 3 days, the cultured cells were passaged. TAM-R cells were cultured in TAM at a concentration of 10^{-7} mol/L to maintain its drug resistance characteristics until one week before experiment.

**Determination of cell number**

TAM-R cells were seeded in six-well plates at a density of 3×10^5–6×10^4 per well in IMEM supplemented with 5% FBS. Two days later, the medium was aspirated and ART or TAM at various concentrations or control were added to the wells. Treatments from day 1 were renewed on day 3 by aspirating medium from wells and replacing with fresh medium and treatments. After culture, TAM-R
cells were washed twice with saline. Sequentially, 2 mL of HEPES-MgCl₂ solution (0.01 mol/L HEPES and 1.5 mmol/L MgCl₂) and 0.2 mL of ZAP solution [0.13 mol/L ethylhexadecyldimethylammonium bromide in 3% glacial acetic acid (v/v)] were added to prepare nuclei. Cells count was performed by a model Z1 Coulter counter.

**Determination of apoptotic cell death**

Apoptosis was assessed using the Cell Death Detection ELISA kit (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were seeded into 12-well plates at density of 8×10⁴ per well. On day 2, testing compounds were added, and cells were cultured for desired periods of time. After cultivation, the cell was then incubated with 0.5 mL lysis buffer at room temperature for 30 min followed by centrifugation at 210 g for 10 min at 4°C for the preparation of cell lysates. A set of parallel plates treated with the same treatment was prepared for cell counting. The results were expressed by absorbance at 405 nm after normalization of cell number.

**Western blots**

TAM-R cells were plated in 60 mm dishes containing 5% FBS IMEM. When cells were about 80% of confluence, they were treated with testing compound for 3 days in the same culture medium. The cultured cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) followed by 5 min incubation on ice with 0.5 mL lysis buffer [20 mmol/L Tris (pH 7.5), 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1 mmol/L EDTA, 1 mmol/L EGTA, 1 mmol/L sodium orthovanadate, 2.5 mmol/L sodium pyrophosphate, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mmol/L β-glycerophosphate, 1 μg/mL leupeptin and aprotinin, and 1 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)]. Then, the cells were sonicated with pulse, and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. Before analysis, cell lysates were stored at −80°C. The total protein content of the lysate was determined with a standard Bradford assay. The extracted 50 μg of protein were separated on 10% Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) polyacrylamide gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was probed with primary antibodies (Cell Signaling) dissolved in Tris buffered saline (TBS) with 5% bovine serum albumin, and incubated with infra-red dye conjugated secondary antibody. Odyssey imaging scanner was used to visualized and quantified protein bands.

**Autophagy detection**

TAM-R cells were grown on cover slip in 6-well plates in IMEM-5% FBS. When the cells were attached, fresh medium with treatment agents were added and incubated for 24 h. Autophagy was detected using CytoID Autophagy Detection Kit from Enzo Life Sciences Inc. (Farmingdale, NY) following the manufacturer's instructions. Olympus IX81 microscope and SlideBook software were utilized for images taking.

**Statistic analysis**

All reported data were presented as means ± SE. Statistical comparisons were conducted with two-tailed Student’s t tests. P value <0.05 was considered as statistical significance.

**Results**

**ART inhibits TAM-R cells growth**

TAM-R cells were treated with ART at different concentrations for 4 days before evaluation. Results from cell growth assay showed that ART inhibited the cell viability in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1A). Upon treatment with different concentrations, a higher dose of ART was expected to have a stronger effect in the inhibition of TAM-R cells growth. We also explored whether ART could re-sensitize TAM-R cells to TAM therapy. Experiments were conducted between TAM-R cell with and without ART treatment at different TAM concentrations (Figure 1B). TAM concentration is in log scale so there is no data point of ART alone which is 50.9% of the control. The addition of TAM did not further reduce cell number in the presence of ART, suggesting that ART did not increase TAM sensitivity (Figure 1C).

**ART promotes apoptosis in TAM-R cells**

Although statistical significance was absent, treatment of TAM-R cells with 3 μM ART, 10⁻⁷ M TAM plus 3 μM ART, and 10⁻⁶ M TAM plus 3 μM ART for 72 hours still evidently enhanced apoptosis according to the apoptosis ELISA assay (Figure 1D). To further confirm the apoptosis activity induced by ART, we assessed the levels of several key proteins related to the process of apoptosis using western blotting. Anti-apoptotic proteins Bcl-2, Survivin, and XIAP, and pro-apoptotic proteins p53 and caspase-7 were assessed. As shown in Figure 2, the combination of high-dose TAM...
with ART treatment significantly reduced Bcl-2, Survivin, and XIAP levels compared with $10^{-6}$ M TAM treatment alone. Also, higher dose of ART appeared to have better effect in inhibiting these anti-apoptotic proteins. ART increased the protein expression of caspase-7, which is an executioner protein of apoptosis. The combination used of 3 μM ART plus $10^{-6}$ M TAM showed to have the strongest effect on caspase-7. For p53, another pro-apoptosis protein positively regulated the process of apoptosis, no significant elevation was observed. On the contrary, significant reduction were observed in treatment applying 1 μM ART combined with $10^{-6}$ M TAM.

**ART inhibits protective autophagy in TAM-R cells**

Accumulating evidences suggested that autophagy was deemed to induce antiestrogen resistance in breast cancer cells (26). Therefore, we also investigated if autophagy would reduce in TAM-R cells in the interference of ART treatment. Endogenous LC3 can be used to measure the induction of autophagy. It is suggested that as the first mammalian protein, LC3 is specifically recruited to autophagosome membranes and involved in the formation of autophagosome. Beclin-1 is one of the critical markers responsible for both autophagosome formation and autolysosome fusion. Western blotting analysis revealed that ART did not reduce the protein levels of LC3 and Beclin-1, suggesting that ART could not inhibit autophagy in TAM-R cells (Figure 3). AMPK, a highly conserved Ser/Thr protein kinase balancing energy homeostasis and metabolic stress and positively mediating autophagy, was also tested. Results showed that high-dose (3 μM) ART caused increased pAMPK, indicating that ART might conversely induce autophagy (Figure 3).

The above data suggested that ART had no influence on autophagy when combined with TAM. This impression was confirmed by fluorescent microscopy of autophagy (Figure 4). In this experiment, two positive controls were included: rapamycin, an autophagy inducer, and chloroquine, an autophagy inhibitor acting at
autophagosomal. As shown in Figure 4, rapamycin increased the number of green punctate, autophagosomes. Green punctate were more and coarser in chloroquine-treated cells because autophagy flux was blocked. There was a moderate increase in the number of green punctate in the cells treated with TAM or Art alone. No significant change was seen when TAM and ART was combined.

Discussion

ART, approved by the US Food and Drug Administration, is hereto the most potent antimalarial drug available (27). It is known for its safe and well-tolerated characteristics as it can selectively kill the cancer cells without harming the normal cells, and even can be applied in the fetus during the first trimester of pregnancy (28). In the year 1996, ART had already been suggested to possess ability in inducing apoptosis in human KG-1a leukemic cells (14). Since then, numerous trials on ART were conducted and revealed that ART could induce apoptosis in various cell types through different mechanisms (29,30).

Apoptosis is a form of programmed cell death that occurs in multicellular organisms. Many pathways can trigger apoptosis. To investigate the mechanism of apoptosis...
induced by ART in TAM-R cells, we evaluated several anti- and pro-apoptotic proteins through western blot analysis. Bcl-2, Survivin, and XIAP proteins were shown to be significant decreased when TAM-R cells were treated with ART plus TAM, while caspase-7 was elevated significantly. Bcl-2 is an antiapoptotic protein that exhibits oncogenic potential through its ability to regulate the intrinsic apoptotic pathway. In breast cancer, evidences suggested that increased Bcl-2 expression was associated with the estrogen receptor, increased histological grade and distant metastases (31), and correlated with chemotherapeutic and radiation resistance (31,32). Our study detected decreased Bcl-2 expression in the use of ATR with TAM, suggesting that ART might possess ability to promote apoptosis in TAM-R cells.

The mechanism of apoptosis is executed with a cascade of sequential activation of initiator and effector caspases. Caspase-7, as one of the effector caspases, is an executioner protein of apoptosis. The activation of caspase-7 is considered a universal event associated with apoptosis induced by multiple stimuli. Inhibitor of apoptosis protein (IAPs) play evolutionary roles in the regulation of programmed cell death and apoptosis. Among the member of IAPs, XIAP has the most potent anti-apoptotic in cells, as it can directly inhibit the subset of cell death proteases (33). It was proven by previous studies that XIAP was an effective inhibitor of apoptotic stimuli that depended on caspase-7 (34). Results from our study showed that ART with TAM treatment reduced XIAP while significantly increased the expression of caspase-7, indicating that ART might trigger caspase-dependent apoptosis in TAM-R cells. The change in the Survivin levels further proved the above result. Survivin, like XIAP, is another member of the IAPs family of antiapoptotic protein. Survivin binds specifically to the terminal effector caspase-7 to protect cells from apoptosis (35). In our study, ART reduced Survivin levels which further proved that ART was a potent to inducer of apoptosis in TAM-R cells.

For ER+ breast cancer patients, TAM remains the first-line adjuvant therapy. However, the acquisition of TAM resistance still is the biggest obstacle lie in the way of successful treatment. Numerous mechanisms are responsible for acquiring resistance toward TAM therapy, and autophagy is considered to be one of the mechanisms induced by ART in TAM-R cells, we evaluated several anti- and pro-apoptotic proteins through western blot analysis. Bcl-2, Survivin, and XIAP proteins were shown to be significant decreased when TAM-R cells were treated with ART plus TAM, while caspase-7 was elevated significantly. Bcl-2 is an antiapoptotic protein that exhibits oncogenic potential through its ability to regulate the intrinsic apoptotic pathway. In breast cancer, evidences suggested that increased Bcl-2 expression was associated with the estrogen receptor, increased histological grade and distant metastases (31), and correlated with chemotherapeutic and radiation resistance (31,32). Our study detected decreased Bcl-2 expression in the use of ATR with TAM, suggesting that ART might possess ability to promote apoptosis in TAM-R cells.

The mechanism of apoptosis is executed with a cascade of sequential activation of initiator and effector caspases. Caspase-7, as one of the effector caspases, is an executioner protein of apoptosis. The activation of caspase-7 is considered a universal event associated with apoptosis induced by multiple stimuli. Inhibitor of apoptosis protein (IAPs) play evolutionary roles in the regulation of programmed cell death and apoptosis. Among the member of IAPs, XIAP has the most potent anti-apoptotic in cells, as it can directly inhibit the subset of cell death proteases (33). It was proven by previous studies that XIAP was an effective inhibitor of apoptotic stimuli that depended on caspase-7 (34). Results from our study showed that ART with TAM treatment reduced XIAP while significantly increased the expression of caspase-7, indicating that ART might trigger caspase-dependent apoptosis in TAM-R cells. The change in the Survivin levels further proved the above result. Survivin, like XIAP, is another member of the IAPs family of antiapoptotic protein. Survivin binds specifically to the terminal effector caspase-7 to protect cells from apoptosis (35). In our study, ART reduced Survivin levels which further proved that ART was a potent to inducer of apoptosis in TAM-R cells.
Autophagy is an intracellular degradation process that is important in normal development and cellular response to metabolic stress. It can act as both tumor suppressor and protector. While the tumor-suppressive functions of autophagy mostly manifest at tumor initiation (36), the protective effect of autophagy becomes more apparent when tumor cells cope with microenvironmental stress (37). In environmental stimuli (high temperature, starvation, and low oxygen) or intracellular stresses (damaged organelles, accumulation of mutant proteins, and microbial invasion), autophagy is activated which results in survival of cancer cells in these severe environment (38). Previous investigations revealed that protective and pro-survival autophagy occurred in TAM-R cells (8,39). An amount of studies had been successfully repressed autophagy in TAM-R cells by regulating certain proteins or micro RNA (40-42). In our study, we tried to explore whether the use of ART would inhibit autophagy and re-sensitize TAM-R cells to TAM therapy. LC3 is a key protein responsible for the major steps of autophagy. It is mainly localized in the nucleus, however, it functions primarily in the cytoplasm where the autophagosomes and autolysosomes arise (43), and determines autophagosome size and membrane curvature (39). Western blotting analysis showed that both low-dose and high-dose ART alone or combined with TAM did not significantly alter the LC-3 expressions in TAM-R cells, indicating that ART might have no effect on autophagy. The result of Beclin-1 further approve this negligible effect of ART on autophagy. Beclin-1, as the first identified autophagic gene in mammalian, can stimulate the formation of autophagosomes. Evidence showed that Beclin-1 involved in every major step in the autophagy pathway, from autophagosome formation to autophagosome/endosome maturation (44,45). Western blotting analysis showed that ART treatment slightly reduced the expressions of Beclin-1, however, no significant difference was reached compared with controls, which
denied the effect of ART in inhibiting protective autophagy. Results comparing the protein levels between ART plus TAM groups with TAM groups suggested that ART might not possess the ability to re-sensitize TAM-R to TAM. The measurement of pAMPK expressions further proved that ART might conversely induce autophagy.

Previous studies reported that the anticancer effects of ART were expressed through an apoptosis or autophagy pathway (19,25). Our study focused on whether ART could induce apoptosis and inhibit autophagy in TAM-R cells, and if TAM-R cells could re-sensitize to TAM therapy through the intervention of ART. Increased apoptosis activity was detected when TAM-R cells were treated with ART and TAM in combination. However, little was found in ART on autophagy of TAM-R cells. The existed limitation of our experiment might be the reason of undesirable results. As ART inhibits the cell viability in a time- and dose-dependent manner, we only investigated the effects of 1μM and 3μM ART on TAM-R cells, which might be insufficient to draw definite conclusion. Moreover, different sources of drug, antibody and assay equipment might also bring about inconsistent results.

Conclusions

The intervention of ART could not inhibit protective autophagy in TAM-R, however, possessed potential in inducing apoptosis. In addition, co-administration of 1 and 3 μM of ART with 10^{-6} M TAM might not be enough to re-sensitize TAM-R cells to TAM therapy.
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