**Effect of NOP2 knockdown on colon cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion**
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**Background:** Proliferation-associated nucleolar protein p120 (NOP2) has been proven to be a promising tumor cell maker, but it has not been specifically studied in colon cancer. This study aims to investigate the role and action mechanism of NOP2 in colon cancer.

**Methods:** Fluorescence quantitative PCR and western blot assays were used to evaluate the expression of NOP2. NOP2 siRNA was transfected into HCT116, LOVO, and CCK-8 cells, and transwell assays were performed to evaluate the cell proliferation, migration, and invasion. Transcriptome sequencing of both the NOP2 knockdown and negative control (NC) groups was performed.

**Results:** NOP2 expression is significantly upregulated in colon cancer tissues and cells compared with that in the healthy controls. The proliferation, migration, and invasion of the colon cancer cells were significantly suppressed in the NOP2 knockdown group compared with those in the NC group (P<0.05). Transcriptome sequencing showed that ASMTL and C6orf52 were significantly downregulated, while MUC19, TXK, APOBEC2, and RBM44 were upregulated in both of the two NOP2 silenced colon cancer cells relative to those in the control. Gene Ontology (GO) analysis showed that NOP2 knockdown mainly induced differential expression of the genes involved in positive regulation of T cell-mediated cytotoxicity and thiamine metabolism. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes analysis showed that the gene pathways most significantly affected by NOP2 knockdown were Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, Type I diabetes mellitus, Taste transduction, and Systemic lupus erythematosus.

**Conclusions:** NOP2 promotes proliferation, migration, and invasion of colon cancer cells, and the underlying mechanisms may be related to TXK tyrosine kinase.
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**Introduction**

As the third most prevalent cancer type, colon cancer is also the fourth leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. More than 1.2 million people are diagnosed with colon cancer, and >600,000 die from the disease every year (1-3). Additionally, colon cancer is the fifth leading cause of death in China, constituting a major health problem (4). Advanced age, alcohol consumption, obesity, smoking, lack of physical activity, high consumption of red or processed meat, low calcium intake, and very low consumption of fruits and vegetables may contribute to colon cancer (5). In terms of genes, activation of proto-oncogenes and inactivation
of tumor suppressor genes may lead to colon cancer (6). Several oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes, such as Adenomatous polyposis coli, Tumor protein 53, and KRAS proto-oncogene GTPase have been identified as the key factors in tumor formation years ago (7-10). However, although we have an improved understanding of the development and progression of colon cancer, a cure is yet to be found.

Nucleolar protein p120 (NOP2) expression has been shown to be significantly upregulated in most types of human malignant tumor cells, such as breast cancer cells, but not in healthy, resting cells (11-13). NOP2 has been identified as a “telomerase RNA component” (TERC)-binding protein, and it can also bind to the “T-cell factor” (TCF)-binding element of the Cyclin D1 promoter, activating the transcription of Cyclin D1 (14). Although NOP2 could be implicated as a tumor cell maker, it has not been specifically studied in colon cancer.

In the study presented herein, we knocked down NOP2 in the human colon cancer cell lines, LOVO and HCT116, via siRNA transfection to determine the role of NOP2 in colon cancer. The effects of NOP2 silencing on the colon cancer cells were evaluated by CCK-8 and transwell assays as well as transcriptome sequencing.

**Methods**

**Cell culture**

Colon cancer cells (HCT116 and LOVO) were obtained from Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China). HCT116 cell lines were cultured in F12K medium (GIBCO, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China). Cells were grown at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

**Fluorescence quantitative PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from cultured cells using the TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The RNA concentration and quality were determined by OD260/OD280 and agarose gel electrophoresis. Total RNA (1 µg) was then reverse-transcribed with a commercial reverse transcription kit (ThermoFisher, Shanghai, China). The primers used for RT-PCR were as follows:

**NOP2** forward, 5'-TGTCTGAGCTGGTGGAGTTCTTAG-3′ and reverse, 5'-ACCCCACGATTGATTAGAGCC-3'; **GAPDH** forward, 5'-AGAAGGCTGGGCTCATT-3' and reverse, 5'-TGCTAAGCAGTTGGTG-3'.

The relative expression levels were evaluated by the 2^-ΔΔct method using GAPDH as the internal control for normalization of the values.

**siRNA transfection**

LOVO cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (CORNING, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China). Cells were grown at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

**Western blot analysis**

After analyzing the cells in RIPA buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), total protein concentration was measured using the BCA assay kit (Pierce Biotechnology, Inc., Rockford, IL, USA). The same quantity of total proteins from each sample was resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE and then electrophoretically transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride
(Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China) membranes at 80 V for 2 h. The membranes were blocked with TBST solution (0.1% Tween20) containing 5% skim milk and incubated with the primary antibodies anti-NOP2 (1:500; 10448-1-AP, Proteintech, Wuhan, China) and anti-GAPDH (1:1,000; 60004-1-lg, Proteintech, Wuhan, China) at 4 °C overnight. The membranes were washed with TBST and incubated with the HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:1,000, A0216, Beyotime, Shanghai, China) or goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:5,000, Ab721, Abcam) for 2 h. The membranes were then washed with 1% TBST for 3 times, and the protein bands were visualized using ECL (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and a ChemiDoc MP system.

**CCK-8 assay**

Cells were seeded in a 96-well plate (3599, CORNING, NY, USA) at a density of 2×10^3 per well. Each plate contained 6 replicates, and the marginal wells were added sterile water for the contrast. After culturing for 0, 24, 48, 72, or 96 h, CCK-8 (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) was added and OD_{450} of the culture was measured.

**Transwell assay**

Cells were washed with an appropriate amount of 1× PBS, and then an appropriate amount of 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA (25200072, GIBCO, CA, USA) was added. The culture vessel was gently shaken to submerge all the cells and returned to the incubator for 3 min. After the cells retracted and rounded up, complete medium at the same amount used for the culture was added to terminate the tryptic digestion. The plates were then centrifuged and the supernatant was removed. The cells were resuspended in serum-free RPMI-1640 medium (CORNING, NY, USA) and counted. Their concentration was adjusted to 2×10^5/mL. Then, 500 μL of the cell suspension was loaded into the upper chambers (CORNING, NY, USA) of the transwell device, and 700 μL complete medium with 10% FBS was added into the lower chamber. After 24 h of culture, the cells underneath the transwell membrane were stained and counted under a microscope by evaluating three random high-power fields. For the transwell and invasion experiments, 24-well plates with 8.0-µm transparent PET membrane (353097, BD Falcon, NY, USA), and Corning BioCoat™ Matrigel® invasion chambers with 8.0-µm PET membrane in 24-well plates were used, respectively.

**Transcriptome sequencing**

Total RNA was extracted from cultured cells using the TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Oligo-dT magnetic beads were used for mRNA isolation. The isolated mRNA was subjected to mRNA fragmentation in a fragmentation buffer by divalent cations and under high-temperature conditions. Single-strand cDNAs from the fragmented mRNAs were synthesized using reverse transcriptase and random hexamer primers. The mRNA strands of the heteroduplexes were digested by RNase H to synthesize the complementary strands of the cDNAs, thereby realizing cDNA second strand synthesis. The sticky ends of the double-stranded cDNAs are blunted by the addition of End Repair Mix. An Adenosine monophosphate was added to the 3’ ends of the double-stranded cDNAs for ligation of the cDNAs to the Y-shaped linker. The adaptor-ligated library was size-selected using Ampure Beads (Beckman, Brea, California, USA). The library was PCR-amplified for 15 cycles, and then purified using Ampure Beads (Beckman, Brea, CA, USA) and quantified with the Quant-iT™ PicoGreen® dsDNA Assay Kit (Life, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The sizes of the DNA fragments were determined using Agilent 2200 (Agilent, Santa Rosa, CA, USA). Sequencing was performed on the Illumina sequencing platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). All the raw data was converted to the Fastq format. The differentially expressed genes were analyzed by DEGSeq algorithm, and Gene Ontology (GO) and pathway analysis of significant differential genes were performed by Fisher.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 6. Significance of differences between two groups was determined using the Student’s t-test. Correlation between the NOP2 expression and characteristics of the colon cancer patients was evaluated by using the Chi-square test. P<0.05 was defined as the significance level.

**Results**

**NOP2 expression was significantly upregulated in colon cancer cells**

Fluorescence quantitative PCR was used to investigate the expression of NOP2 in colon cancer tissues and cell
As shown in Figure 1A,B, mRNA and protein levels of NOP2 were significantly upregulated in colon tumor samples compared with those in the healthy controls. Similarly, NOP2 was upregulated in the colon cancer cell lines examined relative to the non-transformed cells (Figure 1C,D). Moreover, expression of NOP2 significantly showed a positive correlation with lymphatic metastasis and high TNM stage (Table 2).

**NOP2 was efficiently silenced in colon cancer cell lines**

After siRNA infection, we used fluorescence quantitative PCR and western blot analysis to examine the expression of NOP2 in both HCT116 and LOVO cell lines. Fluorescence quantitative PCR results in Figure 2A,B showed that all the three siRNAs efficiently knocked down NOP2 in both HCT116 and LOVO cell lines. Western blot analysis demonstrated that NOP2 protein levels were also reduced (Figure 2C). The results indicated that the most effective siRNA among the three tested siRNAs was si2215, and thus the subsequent experiments were performed with this siRNA.

**Silencing NOP2 inhibited colon cancer cell proliferation, invasion, and migration**

The effect of NOP2 silencing on colon cancer cells was explored by CCK-8 and Transwell assays. As indicated in Figure 3A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H, the proliferation (P<0.05, P<0.05), invasion (P<0.0005, P<0.05), and migration (P<0.005, P<0.05) of the colon cancer cells (HCT116 and LOCO, respectively) were significantly suppressed in the NOP2 knockdown groups compared with those in the negative control (NC) groups.

**Differentially expressed genes between the NOP2 knockdown and NC groups**

As shown in Figure 4A,B, 88 and 94 genes were significantly down- and upregulated, respectively, in LOVO cells upon NOP2 knockdown, relative to those in the control group (|log2 Fold Change| >1, FDR <0.05). In the NOP2-knockdown HCT116 cells, 95 and 175 genes were down- and upregulated, respectively, relative to those in the control group (|log2 Fold Change| >1, FDR <0.05).
Among all these genes, ASMTL and C6orf52 were significantly downregulated in both the cell lines with NOP2 knockdown, while MUC19, TXK, APOBEC2, and RBM44 were significantly upregulated.

**GO and pathway analysis of the NOP2-knockdown colon cancer cell lines**

To study the function of NOP2 in colon cancer and the molecular mechanisms involved, GO and pathway analysis were performed for differentially expressed genes induced by NOP2 knockdown. The roles of NOP1 were investigated by GO analysis within three different domains (BP, CC, and MF). All the terms that showed significant differences, ranked by $-\log_{10}(P)$ value, are displayed in Figure 5A (HCT116) and 5B (LOVO). Top terms for HCT116 cell line included positive regulation of T cell-mediated cytotoxicity, negative regulation of interleukin-6 biosynthetic process, and multicellular organismal development. For the LOVO cell line, top terms included collagen catabolic process, thiamine metabolism, and negative regulation of cytolysis. The top 20 significantly enriched KEGG pathways, ranked by $-\log_{10}(P)$ value, are displayed in Figure 5C (LOVO) and 5D (HCT116). The identified pathways in both the cell lines were Cytokine-

---

**Table 2** The correlation between the NOP2 expression and characteristics of the colon cancer patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>NOP2 expression</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphatic metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I and II</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III and IV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOP2, nucleolar protein p120.
Figure 3  Silencing NOP2 inhibited colon cancer cell proliferation, invasion, and migration. N=6, * P<0.05, ** P<0.01 (calculated by t-test). (A,B,C) Transwell invasion and migration results for LOVO cell line. Invasion and migration of the NOP2-siRNA group were significantly inhibited compared with those of the NC group. The results were derived from three random microscope fields. (D,E,F) Transwell invasion and migration results for HCT116 cell line. Invasion and migration of the NOP2-siRNA group were significantly inhibited compared with those of the NC group. The results were derived from three random microscope fields. (G) CCK-8 analysis of HCT116 cell line showed that the cell proliferation of the NOP2-siRNA group was significantly inhibited compared with that of the NC group. Samples were taken after (0, 24, 48, 72, and 96) hours. (H) CCK-8 analysis of LOVO cell line showed that the cell proliferation of the NOP2-siRNA group was significantly inhibited compared with that of the NC group. Samples were taken after (0, 24, 48, 72, and 96) hours. NOP2, nucleolar protein p120; NC, negative control.
Discussion

Colon cancer is one of the leading causes of cancer-related death, and the incidence of colon cancer has recently been increasing (15,16). There are more than one million new colon cancer cases and approximately 700,000 people die from colon cancer each year (17). The etiology of colon cancer is multifactorial and has remained poorly defined (18). NOP2, as an important regulator of the cell cycle, has been shown to be associated with a non-canonical mechanism by which telomerase promotes cell proliferation in breast cancer cells (14). However, the role of NOP2 in colon cancer remains unclear. We were stimulated to investigate this role by the current lack of clarity. Our results demonstrated that silencing NOP2 suppressed colon cancer cell proliferation, invasion, and migration. Furthermore, we also found that the levels of several genes were significantly different between the experimental and control groups.

NOP2 is a nucleolar antigen expressed in proliferating cells and many human tumors (19). Translational repression of NOP2 has been shown to suppress breast cancer proliferation (20). NOP2 also plays an important role in the proliferation of gliomas, and the ribozyme against NOP2 mRNA can suppress glioma cell growth (21). Similar conclusions have also been drawn in the prostate (22) and lung cancer (23). Our results here showed that NOP2 was significantly overexpressed in neoplastic cells, in line with the results of a previous study (24). To further understand the role of NOP2 in colon cancer, we transfected colon cancer cells with NOP2-siRNAs and found that, in addition to cell proliferation, NOP2 also had a role in cell migration and invasion. We demonstrated that silencing NOP2 significantly suppressed colon cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion.

Furthermore, we performed transcriptome sequencing to explore the action mechanism of NOP2. We thereby found that TXK tyrosine kinase was significantly upregulated in the NOP2 knockdown groups. As a member of the Tec family of tyrosine kinases, TXK is the most commonly found growth factor receptor in many tumors and has a key signal-transducing role (25,26). It has been shown to have anti-cancer/immunomodulatory effects (27). Accordingly, our results show a plausible action mechanism of NOP2 in colon cancer, requiring further research.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that lack of NOP2 significantly downregulates ASMTL and C6orf52, while upregulating MUC19, TXK, APOBEC2, and RBM44. Reducing NOP2 levels significantly suppresses colon cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion. This effect may be related to differential expression of other genes, such as TXK, and this correlation needs to be elaborated in future research.
Figure 5 GO and pathway analysis results. (A) Top 20 results of the GO enrichment analysis for significantly differentially expressed genes between the NOP2-siRNA groups and NC groups in the HCT116 cell line; (B) top 20 results of the GO enrichment analysis for significantly differentially expressed genes between the NOP2-siRNA groups and NC groups in LOVO cell line; (C) top 20 results of the KEGG pathway enrichment analysis for significantly differentially expressed genes between the NOP2-siRNA groups and NC groups in LOVO cell line; (D) top 20 results of the KEGG pathway enrichment analysis for significantly differentially expressed genes between the NOP2-siRNA groups and NC groups in the HCT116 cell line. GO, gene ontology; NOP2, nucleolar protein p120; NC, negative control.
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