Introduction

Metastatic breast cancer (MBC) is generally considered incurable. However, MBC which has limited number and sites of metastasis: oligometastatic breast cancer (OMBC) may have definitive remission with individualized, metastasis-directed ablative therapies and multidisciplinary management, such as surgery and radiotherapy. Earlier detection of primary metastatic breast cancer using accurate imaging technologies may allow the initiation of therapy for OMBC. In breast cancer, the lymph nodes, liver, bone, lung, and brain are common sites of metastasis. Additional studies are recommended if signs or symptoms indicate metastasis. The imaging modality should be selected for suspicious locations or original metastatic regions. The standard staging studies are ultrasonography for lymph node metastasis, abdominal and/or pelvic contrast-enhanced CT for liver metastasis, chest CT for pulmonary metastasis, and bone scan for bone metastasis. FDG-PET/CT may be helpful for bone or liver metastasis. MRI may be considered for liver, soft tissue, or brain metastasis.
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In the diagnosis of primary breast cancer, patients with node-positive breast cancer may have metastasis. In breast cancer, the lymph nodes, liver, bone, lung, and brain are common sites of metastasis. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines (version 3; 2019) recommend for additional studies only if directed by signs or symptoms for clinical stages T0–3, N1, M0 or T1–3, N0, M0 as workup. If there are elevated alkaline phosphatase levels, abnormal liver function tests, abdominal symptoms, or abnormal physical examination (PE) results in the abdomen or pelvis, abdominal and/or pelvic contrast-enhanced diagnostic computed tomography (CT) is recommended. If pulmonary symptoms are present, chest contrast-enhanced diagnostic CT will also be considered. Bone scan (BS) is indicated if there is localized bone pain or elevated alkaline phosphatase level.

**Positron emission tomography (PET)** using $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) may be helpful in situations where standard staging studies are equivocal or suspicious for bone or liver metastases. MRI may be considered for suspicions of liver, soft tissue, or brain metastases.

Occasionally, we recognize the clinical complete response (cCR) in the imaging of the effect measurement after MBC treatment. We may be able to aim to cure of MBC with no findings of metastasis or the findings of oligometastasis alone on the image with additional local therapy as SRT and/or surgery. The imaging modality should be selected for suspicious locations or original metastatic regions as described above.

**Locations of MBC**

**Lymph node metastasis**

Lymph nodes are the first regional site of metastasis in many cancer types, including breast cancer (5). In the primary diagnosis, axillary lymph nodes are assessed by ultrasonography (US) or other imaging studies, as necessary, and percutaneous fine needle aspiration or core needle biopsy of suspicious lymph nodes. US is inexpensive, ubiquitously available, and the method of choice for lymph node biopsy guidance. Breast lymphatic drainage generally starts from level I to III, and finally into the thorax (6). Metastases generally occur after a tumor has spread to the axillary lymph nodes. Metastases in the ipsilateral infraclavicular (N3a), internal mammary and axillary (N3b), and supraclavicular (N3c) lymph nodes are considered inoperable as locally advanced breast cancer (the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging system). We should confirm the diagnosis of N3 in selecting the methods of treatment to distinguish operable or inoperable lymph nodes. Even if the diagnosis of nodal staging N3 is confirmed, without other metastases or with a small number of metastatic tumors in one or two other parts of the body, it may be considered curable oligometastasis.

The advantages and disadvantages of lymph node imaging in primary breast cancer were reviewed (5). PE, mammography (MG), and US are commonly performed in the primary examination. In nodal diagnosis, PE has low sensitivity (SE) (30%) [specificity (SP), 94%], and MG has moderate SE (66.9%) (SP, 80.8%). In axillary lymph nodes, US has higher SE and is useful for fine needle aspiration.

FDG-PET allows the identification of increased glucose metabolism, which is common in malignant tumors. In identifying advanced axillary disease and metastatic nodal spread outside the axillary lymph nodes, PET combined with CT (PET/CT) reportedly had SE of 64% and SP of 93% (pooled estimate, SE, 59–63%; SP, 90–95%) (5). PET/CT may be useful in identifying advanced axillary diseases and unexpected metastatic nodal spread outside the axillary lymph nodes, such as infraclavicular and supraclavicular and contralateral axillary lymph nodes, in patients with large primary tumors (>3 cm) who underwent other examinations, such as chest CT, liver US, and BS, to rule out distant metastasis (7). Nevertheless, PET/CT is not yet sufficiently sensitive in the detection of primary breast cancer or evaluation of axillary lymph nodes in early-stage breast cancer (stages I and II). Locally advanced breast cancer with a large tumor (T3 or greater) or advanced axillary disease (N2) without evidence of distant metastasis may be appropriate for PET/CT.

**Bone metastasis**

The skeleton is the most common site of metastasis in breast cancer. It is affected in approximately 50–70% of patients with relapse and is the sole site of disease in 28–44% (8, 9). It is important that the site of relapse is localized in the skeleton to diagnose oligometastasis (10). Imaging has always played an important role in the diagnosis of bone metastasis in breast cancer, and planar $^{99m}$Tc-diphosphonate BS remains widely used. CT and MRI are the common whole-body (WB) morphological imaging modalities. However, they are less sensitive in bone disease, which relies on cortical and trabecular destruction. Therefore, in bone metastases, CT is supplemented with
BS with its high SE but poor SP (10). The first choice for screening should be BS. Because this method shows bone mineral turnover and provides only direct information about tumor activity, it is less sensitive in detecting lytic and/or indolent metastatic lesions compared to PET/CT. FDG-PET shows increased metabolic activity in tumor cells and can detect both osteoblastic and osteolytic lesions at an earlier stage. It may play a complementary role in detecting bone metastasis with bone scintigraphy in patients with breast cancer with suspected bone metastasis and in bone tumor response assessment (11-13). The diagnostic accuracies of PET/CT may be superior to those of BS in patients with suspected bone metastases from breast cancer (12). If patients have any indication of bone metastasis, such as bone pain, elevated tumor marker level, abnormal radiology results, increased alkaline phosphatase level, and locally advanced breast cancer despite negative BS findings, PET/CT will be helpful in the detection of bone oligometastasis.

**Lung metastasis**

The incidence of lung metastasis can reach up to 40% in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) compared with only 20% in non-TNBC (14). The survival records of patients with lung metastasis revealed that hormone receptor-positive breast cancers had the best clinical outcome, while HER2+ cancers and TNBC had the worst prognoses (15). Relying on coregistered anatomical and metabolic PET/CT images, many inflammatory lesions and physiological FDG uptake can be more easily confirmed as negative, while small malignant lesions presenting with low FDG uptake, such as subcentimeter lung nodules or small sclerotic bone lesions, can be well characterized in CT (16-18). In one of the largest FDG-PET/CT retrospective studies, in patients with breast cancer suspected of recurrent metastasis who were followed for at least 6 months, the SE of FDG-PET in detecting metastases was 98.7%, but the SE in detecting metastatic lesions in the brain and lungs was slightly lower compared to that in conventional imaging (18,19). In the diagnosis of primary hormone receptor-positive breast cancer, patients with node-positive breast cancer with high risk of distant metastasis may be recommended to undergo chest CT.

**Liver metastasis**

Breast cancer with liver metastasis has a poor outcome if left untreated, with a survival period ranging from 4 to 8 months (20). However, if recurrences or distant metastases may be isolated to a few lesions with limited numbers, individualized, metastasis-directed ablative therapies, including surgery, transcatheter arterial chemoembolization, radiofrequency ablation, and stereotactic body radiotherapy, with systemic chemotherapeutic agents may improve outcomes. MRI is superior to US, CT and FDG-PET/CT in the correct depiction and characterization of liver lesions (21,22). The SE of FDG-PET/CT is limited to detect small liver metastasis (<1 cm diameter) because of liver motion and poor spatial resolution. Whole body imaging, such as WB-MRI or FDG-PET/CT, has the advantage of multiorgan evaluation (1). In a study on 51 patients, 30 of whom had a total of 282 distant metastases, PET/MRI offered better SE in liver and possibly bone metastases, while PET/CT remained the best imaging modality for pulmonary metastases (23). Moreover, FDG-PET/MRI classified tumor lesions as malignant or benign lesions better than FDG-PET/CT, an important consideration in recurrent disease (24). If the primary clinical aim is to detect or exclude liver metastases, MRI with liver-specific contrast agents may be helpful, especially in assessing small lesions. Furthermore, if WB-MRI or PET/MRI is available, it may be helpful (1).

**Brain metastasis**

An estimated 10–30% of patients with breast cancer will eventually have brain metastases (25). Breast cancer is the second most common cause of brain metastasis, after lung cancer. Brain metastasis usually occurs late in the clinical history of breast cancer and often in the presence of extracranial metastasis (26). According to a recent Austrian study, the central nervous system is the sole site of metastatic disease in approximately 17% of patients (27). In patients with early-stage breast cancer, the cumulative incidence rates of brain metastasis are highest in those with HER2+ cancers and TNBCs and lowest in ER-positive disease (28,29). Current oncological guidelines recommend that brain imaging should not be routinely performed in asymptomatic patients (European Society of Medical Oncology). When patients have suspicious neurological symptoms, brain contrast-enhanced MRI may be helpful in detecting brain metastases (NCCN). No data showing that effective screening prolongs overall survival and prevents serious symptoms are available; therefore, the detection of early brain involvement due to metastasis relies on aggressive monitoring of relevant symptoms (30). However,
early confirmation of brain metastasis as oligometastasis is critical in conducting an intervention and minimizing irreversible damage to the nervous system. When patients with breast cancer have some neurological symptoms, especially in HER2+ cancer or TNBC, brain contrast-enhanced MRI may be recommended.

**Breast cancer subtypes and sites of distant metastasis**

The pathological subtypes of breast cancer have different metastatic behaviors with respect to the sites of distant metastasis (31).

This knowledge helps us to determine the appropriate strategy for screening and follow-up. The incidence of bone metastasis in HR+/HER2+ subtype was up to 5.1%. Further, patients with HR−/HER2+ subtype had a higher risk of brain metastasis (OR =1.978) compared to those with HR+/HER2− subtype. Additionally, liver metastasis was more frequently observed in the HER2+ subtypes compared with HER2− subtypes. Patients with TNBC primarily presented with lung metastasis, but the risk of lung metastasis was not significantly different in all subtypes.

**Limitations**

There are some risks of false positive findings, and these results cause overdiagnosis and unnecessary treatment. An Australian study showed that staging CT of the abdomen and pelvis is associated with high incidence of false positive rates, 15%, especially in early breast cancer and asymptomatic synchronous metastasis (32). MRI has a high diagnostic accuracy in the evaluation of hepatic lesions but has a risk for false positive results (33). The maximum standardized uptake value in FDG-PET is used to assess the extent of radiotracer uptake, with the cutoff value of 2.0 commonly used to differentiate benign and malignant tumors (34). However, the ability of PET to differentiate malignant tumors from benign lesions is limited (35-37). Several studies have reported false positive of benign lesions, including hibernoma, fibrous dysplasia, neurofibroma, schwannoma, desmoids, and sarcoidosis, as malignant by PET based on high radiotracer uptake (36,38,39). They reported that the false-positive rate of malignancy, increased 18F-FDG uptake in benign lesions, was up to 15–25% (39,40). There are limitations in the accuracy of diagnostic tools in the detection and assessment of malignant lesions.

It shows the importance of a complete workup of suspected metastasis in patients with a primary or previous history of malignancy.

**Conclusions**

Recently, the incidence of oligometastasis has increased based on improved imaging and diagnostic modalities, but these techniques are insufficient. The false positive results by imaging cause overdiagnosis and unnecessary treatment. Patients who were diagnosed with stage IV may lose the chance of undergoing standard therapy to treat primary breast cancer. A workup for oligometastasis should include needle or open biopsy to confirm metastasis and rule out benign lesions or other malignancies.
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