# DNA-PK targeting virotherapy, a promising approach

# Koji Tsuboi

Proton Medical Research Center, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1, Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8575, Japan *Corresponding to:* Koji Tsuboi, MD, PhD. Proton Medical Research Center, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1, Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8575, Japan. Tel: +81-29-853-7589; Fax: +81-29-853-7102. Email: tsuboi-k@md.tsukuba.ac.jp.



Submitted Apr 15, 2012. Accepted for publication May 02, 2012. DOI: 10.3978/j.issn.2218-676X.2012.05.03 Scan to your mobile device or view this article at: http://tcr.thepbpc.org/article/view/367/717

Inhibition of DNA double strand break repair definitely increases radiosensitivity, which is a promising strategy to enhance therapeutic effects of radiotherapy to cancers. For this purpose, targeting the repair enzymes, especially DNA-PK, have been reported using compounds such as caffeine, wortmannin, antisense oligodeoxynucleotides, NU7026, IC87361, NU7441 (1-5). Those innovative drug developments and pre-clinical studies are promoting the implementation of DNA-PK inhibiting drugs as radiosensitizers. However, in order to prove their clinical efficacy, we have to overcome the obstacle of their lack of selectivity to cancer cells as well as to confirm their safety to normal tissues. In contrast, targeting DNA-PK has also been attempted by specific gene knockdown using siRNA or shRNA (6,7). In order to deliver particular genes to cancer cells only, the development of oncolytic viruses has been accelerated since the milestone report of Martuza published in 1991 (8). The greatest advantage of this strategy is its selectivity to cancer cells. Although it has now become a major and attractive trend of selective gene delivery method, clinical results have been disappointing so far even though its safety and pre-clinical results were shown to be promising. In this issue of TCR, Takashi Kon et al. (9) report that the oncolvtic adenovirus which has an insert of antisense DNA-PK shRNA can significantly enhance radiosensitivity by inhibition of DNA-PK activity in colon cancer cells. An innovative finding of their work is that the delivery of oncolytic virus targeting DNA-PK was significantly improved when it was co-transfected with a different oncolytic virus which is targeted to telomerase. They mention that co-infection of two viruses would allow both vectors to selectively replicate in telomerase-positive cancer cells. Although the further precise mechanism of this

phenomenon remains to be clarified, this pre-clinical study clearly demonstrates that efficient delivery and specific gene knockdown can be achieved simultaneously with their method. Their work may also indicate that a strategy of unifying oncolytic virotherapy with conventional therapies such as radiotherapy is more practical than conducting virotherapy alone to eradicate cancers.

### **Acknowledgments**

Funding: None.

## Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Translational Cancer Research*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

*Conflicts of Interest:* The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.3978/j.issn.2218-676X.2012.05.03). KT serves as an unpaid editorial board member of *Translational Cancer Research*. The author has no other conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International

#### Translational Cancer Research, Vol 1, No 1 June 2012

License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the noncommercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

# References

- Block WD, Merkle D, Meek K, et al. Selective inhibition of the DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK) by the radiosensitizing agent caffeine. Nucleic Acids Res 2004;32:1967-72.
- Sak A, Stuschke M, Wurm R, et al. Selective inactivation of DNA-dependent protein kinase with antisense oligodeoxynucleotides: consequences for the rejoining of radiation-induced DNA double-strand breaks and radiosensitivity of human cancer cell lines. Cancer Res 2002;62:6621-4.
- 3. Willmore E, de Caux S, Sunter NJ, et al. A novel DNAdependent protein kinase inhibitor, NU7026, potentiates the cytotoxicity of topoisomerase II poisons used in the treatment of leukemia. Blood 2004;103:4659-65.

**Cite this article as:** Tsuboi K. DNA-PK targeting virotherapy, a promising approach. Transl Cancer Res 2012;1(1):2-3. DOI: 10.3978/j.issn.2218-676X.2012.05.03

- 4. Shinohara ET, Geng L, Tan J, et al. DNA-dependent protein kinase is a molecular target for the development of noncytotoxic radiation-sensitizing drugs. Cancer Res 2005;65:4987-92.
- Zhao Y, Thomas HD, Batey MA, et al. Preclinical evaluation of a potent novel DNA-dependent protein kinase inhibitor NU7441. Cancer Res 2006;66:5354-62.
- 6. Peng Y, Zhang Q, Nagasawa H, et al. Silencing expression of the catalytic subunit of DNA-dependent protein kinase by small interfering RNA sensitizes human cells for radiation-induced chromosome damage, cell killing, and mutation. Cancer Res 2002;62:6400-4.
- Zhuang W, Li B, Long L, et al. Knockdown of the DNAdependent protein kinase catalytic subunit radiosensitizes glioma-initiating cells by inducing autophagy. Brain Res 2011;1371:7-15.
- 8. Martuza RL, Malick A, Markert JM, et al. Experimental therapy of human glioma by means of a genetically engineered virus mutant. Science 1991;252:854-6.
- Kon T, Zhang X, Huang Q, et al. Oncolytic virusmediated tumor radiosensitization in mice through DNA-PKcs-specific shRNA. Transl Cancer Res 2012;1:6-14.