Extrapancreatic solid pseudopapillary neoplasm: report of a unique case of primary posterior mediastinum origin and review of the literature
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Abstract: Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm (SPN) is a rare and low malignant potential neoplasm that traditionally occurs in pancreas. Herein, we report a mediastinal SPN in a 62-year-old woman. Clinically, the patient was asymptomatic. A mass in posterior mediastinum was detected by chest computerized tomographic (CT) scan during her annual checkup. The CT scan revealed a 30 mm solid nodule with well-defined outline in right posterior mediastinum. Histologically, the tumor was comprised of solid cellular nests as well as sheets of cells with an epithelioid appearance, and some pseudopapillary areas could also be identified. Immunohistochemically, the tumor cells were positive for β-catenin (nuclear and cytoplasmic), cyclin D1, CD56, CD10, CD99 (paranuclear dot-like), SOX11 (weak) and TFE3, while negative for cytokeratin (AE1/AE3), E-cadherin, WT-1, synaptophysin, chromogranin and progesterone receptor. SPNs can occur in aberrant locations and this is the first one reported in mediastinum, pathologists should learn about the rare case for a better differential diagnosis. The patient underwent a video-assisted thoracoscope tumorectomy. She has been followed up for 5 months with no recurrence or metastasis.
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Introduction

Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm (SPN) is an uncommon and low grade malignant tumor that traditionally occurs in pancreas. SPN accounts for 0.3% to 2.7% of all pancreatic exocrine tumors (1). SPNs have been seen in men and women aged 2 to 85 years but are most frequently associated with younger women aged 20 to 30 years, with a slight predilection for the pancreatic head and tail (2,3). Extrapancreatic SPN is extremely rare. The first extrapancreatic SPN was reported by Ishikawa et al. in 1990 (4). To our knowledge, only approximately 50 cases of extrapancreatic SPNs have been reported so far in the English literature (5). Testis/paratesticular area and ovary are the most common location of extrapancreatic SPN (6,7), followed by retroperitoneum, mesentery, omentum, and so on. SPN in mediastinum has not been reported in the literature. Herein, we report an additional extrapancreatic SPN in a 62-year-old woman, and this is the first case of mediastinal SPN.

Case presentation

A 62-year-old asymptomatic female was admitted to The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University because of a mass in posterior mediastinum detected incidentally by chest computerized tomographic (CT) scan during her annual checkup. The CT scan revealed a 30 mm solid nodule with well-defined outline in right posterior mediastinum (Figure 1). The later abdominal CT scan showed no mass in the pancreas.

A video-assisted thoracoscope tumorectomy was performed. Grossly, the tumor showed a 3.0×2.4×2.1 cm...
well-defined reddish-brown nodule with multiple foci of hemorrhage on the cut surface. Microscopic examination of the tumor revealed an apparently well-defined but nonencapsulated neoplasm. The tumor was composed of solid cellular sheets and nests of cells with an epithelioid appearance (Figure 2A). Some pseudopapillary areas could also be identified in the tumor (Figure 2B). The tumor cells were interrupted by some delicate fibrous septa. Capillary network and blood sinus-like structures could be found in the tumor. The tumor cells had round to oval nuclei with finely dispersed chromatin. The cytoplasm was rich and lightly eosinophilic. In some foci, the tumor cells had vacuolated cytoplasm (Figure 2C). Mitotic figures and necrosis were not seen. The resection margins are free of tumor.

Immunohistochemical staining was performed to confirm the diagnosis. The tumor cells showed nuclear and cytoplasmic staining for β-catenin and S-100 protein, nuclear staining for cyclin D1 and TFE3, focal nuclear staining for SOX-11, membranous staining for CD56 and CD10, paranuclear dot-like staining for CD99, cytoplasmic staining for vimentin and membranous and cytoplasmic staining for CD34 (Figure 3). They were negative for cytokeratin (AE1/AE3), E-cadherin, WT-1, synaptophysin, chromogranin, neuron specific endolase (NSE), CD31, ERG protein, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), signal transducer and activators of transcription 6 (STAT-6), smooth muscle actin (SMA), α-inhibin, epithelial membrane antigen (EMA), and progesterone receptor. Ki-67 stained
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**Figure 1** Chest CT of the patient. The CT scan revealed a 30 mm solid nodule with well-defined outline in the right posterior mediastinum.
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**Figure 2** Histopathological features (H&E). (A) Solid cellular nests and sheets of cells with an epithelioid appearance (400× magnification); (B) pseudopapillary structures (400× magnification); (C) the tumor cells containing round to oval nuclei with finely dispersed chromatin. Some tumor cells had vacuolated cytoplasm (400× magnification).
1% to 2% of the tumor cells.

DNA sequencing chromatogram demonstrates a TCT (serine)→TGT (cysteine) mutation in codon 37 in exon 3 of the β-catenin (CTNNB1) gene (Figure 4).

The patient only underwent tumor resection, not chemotherapy or radiotherapy. The patient has been followed up for 5 months with no recurrence or metastasis.

**Discussion**

SPN is a mysterious entity, whose cell of origin has yet to be revealed. Two basic hypotheses for the SPN origin has been proposed: (I) pancreatic progenitor cells and (II) genital ridge-related cells (8,9). In some extrapancreatic SPNs, ectopic pancreatic tissue can be observed (4,10,11). Like intrapancreatic SPNs, these cases might origin from the pancreatic progenitor cells in the ectopic pancreatic tissue. In most cases, like the current one, there are no ectopic pancreatic tissue identified. The hypothesis of genital ridge-related cells might explain why the SPNs are lacking of ectopic pancreatic tissue. Ectopic genital ridge-related stem cells might be the origin of our case.
Figure 4 DNA sequencing chromatogram demonstrates a TCT (serine)→TGT (cysteine) mutation in codon 37 in exon 3 of the β-catenin (CTNNB1) gene.

Mediastinal pancreatic ectopia is very rare, and all of those cases reported were in the anterior mediastinum (12). In the current case, the tumor is located in posterior mediastinum, where the pancreatic ectopia has not been reported. Therefore, the second hypothesis is more suitable for our case.

Any single feature of SPNs is rather nondescript, overlapping with other tumors, such as neuroendocrine tumors. Nevertheless, the constellation of histologic features is characteristic, especially when one or more of the following features are present: myxohyaline cores in the pseudopapillae, eosinophilic hyaline globules, vacuolated cells, and foamy cells (13). In our case, above features are inconspicuous, except only a few vacuolated cells. Because of the rarity of extrapancreatic SPNs, a panel of immunohistochemical stain should be made for diagnosis and differential diagnosis. The tumor is usually negative for chromogranin and E-cadherin. A high frequency of positivity for progesterone receptor, α1-antitrypsin, CD10, and CD56 has been reported, however, these markers are not very specific (1). The only distinctive immunohistochemical marker is aberrant nuclear staining for β-catenin, which results from mutation in the β-catenin (CTNNB1) gene (14). Although nuclear staining can occasionally occur in some mimickers (such as some aggressive gastrointestinal or pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors) (15), this aberrant staining can provide a strong support for the diagnosis of SPN based on the histologic features. CD99 was recommended as a useful marker for differentiating SPTs from other tumors by its unique paranuclear dot-like staining pattern (16,17). CD99 is a 32 kD membranocyttoplasmic glycoprotein encoded by the MIC2 gene located on the pseudoautosomal regions of both X chromosome and Y chromosome (18). CD99 is characteristically expressed in Ewing’s sarcomas/PNETs and lymphoblastic lymphoma/leukemia as strong membranous staining. CD99 paranuclear dot-like staining strongly supports SPT, although this pattern can occur in some colonic adenomas and adenocarcinomas (19). Recently, some researchers found that SOX11 and TFE3 could be an additional diagnostic marker of SPN in differential diagnosis (20-22). All SPNs showed immunoreaction for SOX11 (20,21), and most cases of SPNs were positive for TFE3 (20-22).

Genetically, almost all SPNs show mutations in the β-catenin (CTNNB1) gene (14,23). Because of the weak expression of SOX11 and the aberrant location in our case, DNA sequencing is necessary for the diagnosis. Our case shows a mutation in exon 3 of the β-catenin (CTNNB1) gene, which supports the diagnosis of SPN. The role of β-catenin in SPN tumorigenesis has been confirmed in vivo murine models of pancreas (24). In this model, conditional activation of β-catenin in pancreas tissue can yield tumors with histomorphology identical to SPN in humans. β-catenin (CTNNB1) mutation can affect Wnt signaling pathways as well as self-renewal capability of stem cells, which drives tumorigenesis (25).

In conclusion, we report a rare case of extrapancreatic SPN, and this is the first case of mediastinal SPN. The accurate diagnosis was a challenge for pathologists because of its abnormal location. Extrapancreatic SPN is a tumor with low malignant potential, but some cases can show metastasis and cause death especially in male (26). Complete surgical resection is the preferred treatment for SPN.
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