ERH overexpression is associated with decreased cell migration and invasion and a good prognosis in gastric cancer
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Background: The enhancer of rudimentary homolog (ERH) protein is implicated in transcriptional regulation, cell cycle progression, and malignancy. We previously conducted a proteomics analysis using gastric cancer (GC) tissues and identified ERH as a biomarker candidate. The aim of this study was to investigate whether ERH may be useful as a prognostic marker for GC.

Methods: Surgically resected GC tissue specimens were obtained from 327 patients who underwent gastrectomy at Gyeongsang National University Hospital. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was used to validate ERH as a prognostic marker in these tissues. SNU601 and MKN74 cells with siRNA-mediated knockdown of ERH expression and ERH-overexpressing SNU601 and MKN74 knock-in cells were used for analysis of ERH function.

Results: ERH was overexpressed in stomach cancer tissues compared with normal tissues according to proteomics analysis (n=29, P<0.01) of patient samples. Based on IHC, patients with tumors overexpressing ERH had lower T stage and lower TNM stage classifications, lower cancer recurrence rates and longer survival times than did patients with tumors showing low expression of ERH (P=0.04). In vitro, forced expression of ERH significantly decreased GC cell migration and invasion, and depletion of ERH triggered GC cell migration and invasion but had no effect on proliferation in vitro.

Conclusions: The findings from the present study show that ERH is associated with decreased cancer cell migration and invasion, suggesting that overexpression of ERH may serve as a marker of good prognosis for patients with GC.
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Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is the fourth most common malignancy and the third leading cause of cancer death worldwide (1). Surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy are the mainstays of curative treatment. Although advances have been made in these strategies, the 5-year survival rate of stage III stomach cancer is reported to be approximately 50% (2,3). For patients with metastatic GC, a combination of traditional cytotoxic chemotherapy regimens result in a median survival of 9–11 months. As intensive chemotherapy is associated with increased survival but also enhanced toxicity in patients with performance status often compromised by malignancy, treatments with increased efficacy and decreased toxicity are needed. For this reason, targeted therapy for treating GC has attracted increasing interest. Despite advances in the understanding of GC at the molecular level and the emergence of targeted therapy, predictive biomarkers for this disease are lacking (4).

Targeted therapy was started after the ToGA trial of trastuzumab [a monoclonal antibody against human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)] was undertaken for GC (5). Recently, pembrolizumab was added as a second-line therapy for patients with inoperable GC (6). We previously conducted a proteomics analysis of 152 human GC clinical specimens to identify candidate target proteins differentially expressed in gastric tumor tissues and compared their levels to those in healthy tissues. Of the 430 proteins, enhancer of rudimentary homolog (ERH) was identified (7). ERH is ubiquitously expressed in both adult and fetal tissues and localizes predominantly in the nucleus (8). ERH is reported to have several binding proteins, some of which are involved in transcriptional regulation, cell growth, or cell cycle progression (8-11). Based on these reports, ERH may be involved in various cellular functions. Several reports have examined ERH expression in malignancy, and ERH expression was found to be clearly upregulated in malignant breast cancer cells compared to benign breast cells in both primary human breast cancer and in cell models of breast cancer. As these results were similar to the results obtained for ovarian cancer, ERH overexpression (ERH-OE) may be generally involved in the initiation and/or progression of certain human malignancies (12).

However, few studies have reported an association of ERH with GC. The aim of this study was to investigate whether ERH may be used as a prognostic marker for GC.

Methods

Stomach tissue samples for tissue microarray (TMA)

We collected GC tissue specimens from 319 patients who underwent gastrectomy at Gyeongsang National University Hospital between January 1, 2004, and December 31, 2007. We retrospectively collected the medical information and clinico-pathologic data for the patients by a review of the electric medical chart and pathologic reports. We assessed mortality via examination of the medical chart after a recent visit, and we called patients who had not visited the hospital for 6 months. We censored patients who did not visit for more than 6 months and did not receive the telephone call. For survival analysis, we consulted the National Statistical Office of the Republic of Korea.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Gyeongsang National University Hospital (GNUHIRB 2009-54) and informed consent was taken from all the patients.

TMA analysis & immunohistochemistry (IHC)

We obtained core tissue biopsy samples (2 mm diameter) from tumor paraffin blocks (donor blocks). These specimens were inserted into new paraffin blocks, and IHC staining was performed on 4-μm-thick sections. Briefly, the tissue sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated, and the slides were incubated for 60 minutes at room temperature with a specific antibody against ERH (rabbit polyclonal antibody, 1:25; Atlas Antibodies AB, Stockholm, Sweden). We used an UltraVision LP detection system (Lab Vision Corporation, Fremont, CA, USA) to detect expression. The scoring of ERH expression was performed by a pathologist (K. H. Ko) who was blinded to the clinicopathological data. The extent of the histochemical reaction in the nucleus was scored according to the percentage of ERH-positive cells as follows: 2+ (25–49%) and 3+ (50–74%) (13).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics.
version 24 (IBM SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data represent the mean ± SD. The statistical analyses of the mean values were performed via t-tests, and statistical analyses of frequency were performed with \( \chi^2 \) tests. The Kaplan-Meier method was applied for survival analysis. In all analyses, P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Cell culture

The human stomach adenocarcinoma cell lines MKN74 and SNU601 were purchased from Korea Cell Line Bank. The cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) medium with 10% heat inactivated FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and penicillin (100 U/mL, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) in a 5% CO\(_2\) atmosphere at 37 °C.

Western blot analysis

Cells were suspended in lysis (RIPA) buffer containing 1% protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), and lysates were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4 °C. The protein concentrations were quantified using a Bio-Rad protein assay kit (Bio-Rad, CA, USA). Then, a 30-μg sample of the extracted proteins was subjected to 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto PVDF membranes (iBlot 2PVDF Regular Stacks, Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk in TBST solution [10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, and 0.05% Tween 20] for 1 h at room temperature. The protein blots were incubated with primary antibodies (ERH 1:1,000, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) overnight at 4 °C. Detection was performed using enhanced chemiluminescence reagents (ECL, Thermo, Rockford, IL, USA). An anti-β-actin (1:1,000, Santa Cruz Biotech, Dallas, TX, USA) antibody was used to normalize the quantity of the loaded samples.

RNA interference experiments

Two different siRNA oligonucleotide duplexes targeting ERH genes (designated siERH-3 and siERH-4) were purchased from Dharmacon. The sequences were 5’-UCAGUCAGUUUUUFAUUU-3’ (siERH-3) and 5’-GAACUUAUGCUGACUACGA-3’ (siERH-4). Transient transfection of each siRNA duplex was performed using the Lipofectamine \(^\text{TM}\) 3000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) transfection reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Construction and transfection of ERH-expressing plasmids

Human ERH cDNA was purchased from OriGene (RC200367). Cells were transfected with ERH-expressing plasmids using Lipofectamine \(^\text{TM}\) 3000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. After 48 h, the cells were treated with neomycin for selection. ERH expression in neomycin-resistant clones was examined by immunoblotting. The pCMV6 empty vector was used as a control.
Proliferation assay

Cell viability was assayed using a 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay (Sigma). Briefly, MKN74 and SNU601 cells were seeded onto 24-well plates at 2×10^4 cells/well. These plates were then incubated in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. After incubation for 24, 48, 72 or 96 h, the MTT assay was performed by adding 200 μL of MTT solution (0.5 mg/mL) for 3 h to convert the MTT to formazan. The supernatant was removed, and 500 μL of DMSO was added to each well. The optical density (OD) of the solution at 570 nm was measured using a VersaMax ELISA microplate reader (Molecular Devices, US/Versamax). Each experiment was repeated three times independently.

Invasion and migration assays

The invasive ability of cancer cells was assessed using a Matrigel-based Transwell system. Briefly, the upper chambers of the Transwell system were coated with Matrigel (BD Bioscience #356234, San Jose, CA, USA) and incubated at 37 °C for 4 h or overnight. MKN74 and SNU601 cells were adjusted to 1×10^5 and seeded in medium without FBS onto the upper chamber of the Transwell. Following incubation for 48 or 72 h in a 5% atmosphere CO₂ incubator at 37 °C, the cells on the top surface of the membrane were removed by wiping with a cotton swab, and the cells on the underside were fixed in 4% formaldehyde fixing solution (with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS) and stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) solution. Quantification was performed by counting five randomly selected 10× magnification fields. Values for cell invasion are expressed as the mean number of cells per microscopic field over five fields per filter for triplicate experiments. The experiments were performed in triplicate at least three times independently.

Results

Patients with TMA sample ERH-OE had decreased tumor invasion, TNM stage classification, and cancer recurrence rates

Immunohistochemical staining was performed to analyze ERH expression in 319 GC tissue samples as TMA blocks. The subjects comprised 208 male and 111 female patients with an average age of 62.1±11.1 years. The mean tumor size was 4.2±2.6 cm, and the mean number of lymph nodes (LNs) metastasized was 2.2. The tumor TNM stage classifications were as follows: stage I, 60.2% (n=192); stage II, 17.9% (n=57); and stage III, 21.9% (n=70). The mean follow-up period was 55.5 months, and GC recurred in 18.5% (n=59) of the patients.

The staining intensity used to determine the level of ERH expression in the cytoplasm of cancer cells differed for each tissue. Of the 319 GC tissue samples, 11.9% of the samples [38] scored 2+, and 88.1% [281] scored 3+. Samples with scores of 2+ and 3+ were considered to have ERH protein underexpression (ERH-UE) and overexpression, respectively (Figure 1).

The results of the immunohistochemical analysis were compared between the patients with ERH-OE (score 3+) and ERH-UE (score 2+). There was no difference between the two groups in terms of WHO classification, LN metastasis, or cancer-related death (P>0.05). However, the rate of advanced GC (AGC) in the ERH-UE group was significantly higher than that in the ERH-OE group (73.7% vs. 43.1%; P<0.01). In terms of TNM stage, the proportion of high stages in the ERH-UE group was significantly higher than that in the ERH-OE group (stage I, II, and III–IV: 28.9% vs. 64.4%, 42.1% vs. 14.6% and 28.9% vs. 21%, respectively, P<0.01). In addition, the cancer recurrence rate was higher in the ERH-UE group than in the ERH-OE group (31.6% vs. 16.7%, P=0.04) (Table 1).

Based on analysis of the cumulative survival rate between the two groups, patients in the ERH-OE group (85.8 months, 95% CI: 82.7–88.9 months) had longer cumulative survival times than did those in the ERH-UE group (76.7 months, 95% CI: 58.7–76.7 months), and the difference was significant (log-rank test, P=0.04) (Figure 2).

ERH-OE suppressed GC cell migration and invasion but had no effect on cell proliferation in vitro

The effect of ERH-OE on the proliferation, migration
and invasion of cancer cells was evaluated in SNU601 and MKN74 cells. Before the assay, ERH-OE in the cells from two SNU601 cell groups (oeERH-1 & 2) and MKN74 cell groups (oeERH-1 & 2) was confirmed by immunoblotting with an antibody against ERH (Figure 3A). We did not find differences in the proliferation rates between the ERH-overexpressing SNU601 and MKN74 cells and the respective control cells (Figure 3B). However, we found that the migration and invasion rates of both groups of ERH-overexpressing cell lines were lower than those of the control cells (Figure 3C,D).

Depletion of ERH expression triggered GC cell migration and invasion but had no effect on proliferation in vitro

The function of ERH in the proliferation, migration and invasion of GC cells was evaluated using ERH-specific siRNAs (siERH-1 & 2) to inhibit ERH expression in SNU601 and MKN74 cells, respectively. The specificity of the 2 different ERH siRNAs was first confirmed (Figure 4A). The proliferation rates of the ERH-depleted SNU601 and MKN74 cells were not significantly different from those of the control cells (Figure 4B), though the migration rate of the ERH-depleted SNU601 and MKN74 cells was increased compared to that of the respective control cells (Figure 4C). ERH depletion had similar effects on cell invasion rates, whereby the invasion rates for the ERH-depleted SNU601 and MKN74 cells were significantly increased compared to those of control cells (Figure 4D). These results suggest that ERH expression reduces the invasion of GC cells.

### Table 1

Comparison of the clinicopathological features of the ERH under expression and overexpression groups according to IHC of tissues from 319 GC patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinicopathological features</th>
<th>Level of ERH expression</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under expression (2+)</td>
<td>Overexpression (3+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO classification</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD/MD/PD/SRC/others</td>
<td>8/12/12/5/1</td>
<td>57/99/85/26/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor invasion, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGC (T1)</td>
<td>10 (26.3)</td>
<td>160 (56.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGC (T2–4)</td>
<td>28 (73.7)</td>
<td>121 (43.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LN metastasis, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>22 (57.9)</td>
<td>190 (67.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastasis (≥1)</td>
<td>16 (42.1)</td>
<td>91 (32.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>11 (28.9)</td>
<td>181 (64.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>16 (42.1)</td>
<td>41 (14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III–IV</td>
<td>11 (28.9)</td>
<td>59 (21.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer related death, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>29 (76.3)</td>
<td>242 (86.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>96 (23.7)</td>
<td>39 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>26 (68.4)</td>
<td>234 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12 (31.6)</td>
<td>47 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ERH, enhancer of rudimentary homolog; IHC, immunohistochemistry; GC, gastric cancer; WD, well differentiated; MD, moderately differentiated; PD, poorly differentiated; SRC, signet ring cell carcinoma; EGC, early gastric cancer; AGC, advanced gastric cancer; LN, lymph node.
Discussion

In our previous proteomics study, ERH was found to be upregulated in GC tissues compared to healthy tissues. According to IHC analysis, patients whose tumors overexpressed ERH had lower T stage and lower TNM stage classifications, lower cancer recurrence rates and longer survival times than did patients whose tumors expressed ERH. In vitro, forced expression of ERH caused a significant decrease in GC cell migration and invasion, whereas ERH depletion induced a significant increase in GC cell migration.

The standard adjuvant chemotherapy for GC is S-1 and Xeloda with oxaliplatin, with the only positive result in the ACTS-GC and CLASSIC trials of GC chemotherapy (14,15). The first targeted agent against GC was trastuzumab, a monoclonal antibody that targets HER2 to induce antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (5). Trastuzumab conferred a survival benefit in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer, and this benefit was also found in patients with GC in the ToGA trial (16). However, GC analysis revealed HER2 overexpression in 7–34% of the tumors. Additionally, the survival gain was approximately 2.7 months compared with 11.3 months for chemotherapy alone and 13.8 months for chemotherapy with trastuzumab. Recently, pembrolizumab, a humanized antibody that targets the programmed cell death protein 1 receptor of lymphocytes (PD-L1), has been actively studied for targeted therapy (17). Pembrolizumab was approved for medical use in the USA in 2014, and it received FDA approval in 2017 for use in any unresectable or metastatic solid tumor with certain genetic anomalies (mismatch repair deficiency or microsatellite instability). Currently, pembrolizumab is used as the first-line treatment for inoperable or metastatic melanoma and metastatic non-small cell lung cancer. It is also used as a second-line treatment for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, after platinum-based chemotherapy, for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients with classic refractory Hodgkin’s lymphoma and for locally recurrent advanced or metastatic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (18-21). A clinical trial is underway to identify chemotherapy agents against PD-L1 by comparing the following treatments: pembrolizumab alone vs. paclitaxel with pembrolizumab vs. chemotherapy alone (6,22). Although the results will be available in several years, we currently need improved targeted agents.

The enhancer of the rudimentary gene in Drosophila melanogaster encodes an enhancer of the rudimentary protein, a small protein of 104 amino acids (23,24). ERH is a highly conserved protein found in plants, animals, and protists. ERH is encoded by a constitutive housekeeping gene, is required for the maintenance of basic cellular functions and is expressed in all cells of an organism under normal and pathophysiological conditions. ERH might play a role in the regulation of pyrimidine metabolism. Flies with hypomorphic mutations in ERH were reported to be viable, but homozygous deletion of this gene severely reduced viability (25). ERH may be involved in various cellular functions. Indeed, ERH might play a role in transcriptional elongation and interact with the RNA Pol II C-terminal domain phosphatase FCP1 and the transcription factor SPT5, which regulates RNA Pol II elongation (10,26). In particular, ERH plays an essential role in the progression of mitosis by promoting mitotic chromosome alignment (9). Depletion of ERH compromises kinetochore-microtubule attachment, a process that is essential for chromosome alignment at the metaphase plate (9). RNAi-mediated ERH knockdown leads to G2/M arrest and chromosome congression defects (27). Moreover, ERH binds to the Sm complex and is required for the mRNA splicing of the mitotic motor protein CENP-E. ERH affects carcinogenesis in many ways. This protein is reported to be an important survival factor in pancreatic, breast, and ovarian cell lines (28). Additionally, functional evidence supporting a role for ERH in mRNA splicing was obtained

Figure 2 Underexpressed ERH is associated with a shorter survival time. The ERH-OE group showed a longer cumulative survival time compared with the ERH-UE group according to the results of Kaplan-Meier survival analysis. ERH, enhancer of rudimentary homolog; ERH-OE, ERH overexpression; ERH-UE, ERH underexpression.
from an analysis of the mRNA of centromere-associated protein E. ERH is also reported to interact with the tumor suppressor protein H19 against a tumor suppressor (HOTS) (29).

Weng et al. found that ERH depletion led to a greater suppression of viability in KRAS mutant cell lines than in WT KRAS cell lines (30). ERH mRNA expression has been associated with short-term survival among colorectal cancer patients with KRAS mutations, with no effect in patients with WT KRAS. Similarly, high ERH expression decreased the survival of patients with lung tumors carrying KRAS mutations, but no such influence was found for patients
with WT KRAS or an EGFR mutation. Furthermore, Weng et al. reported that ERH regulates the DNA damage response in hepatocellular carcinoma and that increased levels of ERH were present in tumor tissues compared with nontumor tissues (31).

The ERH gene also regulates migration and invasion in urothelial carcinoma of the bladder (32). Pang et al. suggested that knocking out ERH inhibits migration and invasion through MYC in bladder urothelial carcinoma T24 cells. In addition, a recent study of ovarian cancer revealed the potential of ERH as a marker for a poor prognosis (33). Inhibition of ERH expression slows proliferation, promotes apoptosis and inhibits metastasis and invasion by regulating epithelial-mesenchymal transition.

Figure 4 Depletion of ERH expression in 2 SNU601 cell groups (siERH-1 & 2) and 2 MKN74 cell groups (siERH-1 & 2) was confirmed using Western blot analysis (A); MTT assays were used to evaluate proliferation in SNU601 and MKN74 cell lines (B); cell migration was evaluated using a wound-healing assay (C); invasive ability was evaluated using a Transwell assay (D). Data are presented as the mean ± SD for triplicate independent experiments, and the error bars indicate SD. ERH, enhancer of rudimentary homolog; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide.
in ovarian cancer cells. These studies showed that patients with low ERH expression had a good prognosis, but we found that those with high ERH expression had better survival and lower levels of migration and invasion than did those with low ERH expression, which could be explained by stomach cancer- or tissue-specific phenomena. In fact, there are many cases in which one transcription factor plays opposite roles. Another theory is related to our finding from the proteomics study of 9.1-fold greater ERH expression in GC tumor tissues than in normal gastric tissues. Additionally, the percentage of cancers overexpressing ERH that had a TMA score of 3 was 88%, and only 11% of the cancers had a TMA score of 2. All of the tumors with low ERH expression had a score of 2. Therefore, in general, the proportion of tumors expressing ERH is not low, even in the group with ERH-UE.

One of the limitations of the present study was that the group with a score of 2 (ERH-UE, 11%) was too small to compare with the group with a score of 3 (ERH-OE, 88%); in these two groups, the sample size representing advanced-stage cancer, which was used in IHC analysis, was small compared to the sample size representing early-stage cancer. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, this is the largest proteomics and immunohistochemical study evaluating ERH expression in human GC tissues. Regardless, further studies on the mechanism of ERH related to prognosis through a stable knockout cell line and in vivo experiments are needed. In conclusion, patients with ERH-OE in their GC tissues have a better prognosis than do those with ERH-UE. The ERH-OE group had better survival than the ERH-UE group. Therefore, ERH may be a potential biomarker for good prognosis in patients with GC, though further studies are needed to confirm these results.

**Acknowledgments**

**Funding:** This work was supported by biomedical research institute fund (GNUHBRIF-2020-0006) from the Gyeongsang National University Hospital.

**Footnote**

**Reporting Checklist:** The authors have completed the REMARK reporting checklist. Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-1498

**Data Sharing Statement:** Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-1498

**References**


5. Bang YJ, Van Cutsem E, Feyereislova A, et al. Trastuzumab in combination with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for treatment of HER2-positive advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer...


Cite this article as: Park JH, Park M, Park SY, Lee YJ, Hong SC, Jung EF, Ju YT, Jeong CY, Kim JY, Ko GH, Hah YS, Jeong SH. ERH overexpression is associated with decreased cell migration and invasion and a good prognosis in gastric cancer. Transl Cancer Res 2020. doi: 10.21037/tcr-20-1498