Fertility issue in early stage endometrial cancer patients
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Abstract: Endometrial cancer (EC) is the most common cancer of the female reproductive system in developed countries. The incidence of EC has been increasing in young women. Approximately 4% of cases are aged <40 years. These young women may wish to delay therapy until after they have children. Common complaints in patients with EC include irregular vaginal bleeding, pelvic pain, and enlarged uterus. Imaging techniques such as transvaginal ultrasound (TVUS) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can be utilized in detecting EC. Although the recommended treatment of EC is hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy with or without systemic lymph node dissection, loss of reproductive function is the primary limiting factor of this surgical approach. Some studies have reported favorable results with high-dose oral progestins or levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system or hysteroscopic tumor resection followed by treatment with high-dose oral progestins. The most widely utilized medical treatment regimens are medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) 250–600 mg/day or megestrol acetate (MA) 160–480 mg/day. However, there is still a lack of evidence to establish the optimal dose and duration of progestin treatment. Patients with complete remission (CR) who wish to conceive must be encouraged, and referral to a fertility clinic should be offered as soon as possible. The key aspect of fertility-sparing management in women with EC appears to be the selection of appropriate candidates. Owing to the rarity of this condition, management may often be suboptimal. The aim of this review is to assess the current approaches to management of young women with EC who wish to preserve their fertility.
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Introduction

Endometrial cancer (EC) is one of the most common gynecological cancers worldwide (1,2). Although EC is more prevalent in postmenopausal women, 7.1% of patients are diagnosed at ages ranging from 20 to 44 years, and over 70% of them are nulliparous at the time of diagnosis (3,4). The majority of ECs are diagnosed at an early stage (80% in stage I), with a 5-year survival rate over 95%. Early detection of EC is possible since most of the patients present with vaginal bleeding (5,6).

EC has been stratified into two main types as Type I and Type II. Type I EC is the endometrioid type related to genetic mutations, obesity, polycystic ovarian syndrome, and hyperestrogenic state (7). On the other hand, Type II ECs have relatively poor prognosis as they are often associated with older age, advanced disease stage, higher tumor grade, non-endometrioid histopathological types such as serous, clear-cell, and undifferentiated carcinomas (8).

Patients with EC commonly have a high body mass index (BMI) associated with the hyperestrogenic state. This could be explained by the peripheral conversion of androgens...
to estrogen in adipose tissue via the aromatase enzyme. Besides, other conditions with the higher estrogenic state, such as nulliparity, estrogen-releasing tumors, early menarche/late menopause, and exposure to unopposed estrogen, also increase the risk of EC (4,9,10). EC is also related to systemic diseases such as hypertension and diabetes mellitus (10).

The incidence of EC has been increasing in women of reproductive age, who are candidates of fertility-sparing management (11). The key aspect of fertility-sparing management in women with EC is the selection of appropriate candidates (11) and optimal treatment as well as follow-up strategies are also crucial. However, management of EC is often suboptimal due to the rarity of this condition.

This review aims to assess the current approaches to management of young women with EC who wish to preserve their fertility.

**Diagnosis**

Common complaints in women with EC include irregular vaginal bleeding, pelvic pain, and enlarged uterus. Imaging techniques such as transvaginal ultrasound (TVUS) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may be utilized in detecting possible endometrial thickness, myometrial invasion, and exclusion of other pelvic pathologies to support the diagnosis.

MRI, which is primarily performed to detect myometrial invasion, may also be useful for revealing synchronous or metastatic adnexal/ovarian tumors and evaluating the ovaries. The incidence of synchronous ovarian malignancies in women with EC who are <40 years of age at the time of diagnosis has been reported as 4.5% (21 of 471 patients) (12).

According to the current knowledge, MRI seems to be slightly more sensitive than ultrasound to evaluate myometrial invasion, and lymph node involvement (13). Contrast-enhanced MRI is reportedly superior for detecting myometrial invasion compared to non-enhanced MRI and TVUS (14). However, MRI is expensive and not readily available in all centers. In a study, TVUS detected myometrial invasion at a rate comparable to that of MRI (15).

Another study investigated the utility of PET-CT scan to detect lymph node metastasis in the preoperative workup of EC (16). The low sensitivity (75%; 95% CI, 21.9–98.7) and low positive predictive value (50%; 95% CI, 13.9–86.1) of PET-CT scan in detecting lymph node metastasis in intermediate-and high-risk early-stage EC were reported as the obstacles to routine use of this tool in the preoperative workup of EC (16).

Reduced relative telomere length (RTL) in circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) in serum has emerged as a noninvasive, simple and relatively inexpensive diagnostic tool that is promising in the early detection of EC (17). However, RTL in cfDNA is not specific for EC and it may be influenced by several factors. Large-scale studies are warranted to use cfDNA RTL in differentiating atypical endometrial hyperplasia (AEH) from EC in clinical practice (17).

The presence of glandular cells in the preoperative cervical smear of patients with EC was also evaluated, which was associated with higher local recurrence risk (18). Therefore, presence of glandular cells in the preoperative cervical smear might be considered as a risk factor for recurrence in patients with EC who undergo fertility-sparing treatment (18).

Endometrial biopsy is the first step for the diagnosis of malignant pathologies of the endometrium. Establishing the grade and stage of the tumor is both the most challenging and the most important aspect of fertility-sparing management in women with EC. The diagnosis should be based on adequate endometrial sampling performed via pipelle, dilation and curettage (D&C), or hysteroscopy (19-21).

**Treatment overview**

The recommended treatment of EC is hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy with or without systemic lymph node dissection, followed by peritoneal washing sampling. After the surgery, some patients may need adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy depending on the tumor size, grade, and definitive pathological diagnosis (4). Although the above mentioned standard radical treatment for EC is a practical approach in most patients, the loss of reproductive function is the primary limiting factor of this treatment modality, mainly in patients of reproductive age who wish to preserve their fertility. For this reason, a conservative approach may be feasible and safe for the initial treatment of EC in selected patients (1,22).

A fertility-sparing strategy may be feasible in patients aged <40 years and who strongly wish to preserve fertility. These candidates should be in a 'low-risk category' based on histologically confirmed well-differentiated endometrioid adenocarcinoma, tumor confined to endometrium with a diameter of <2.0 cm, without extraterine metastasis and
myometrial and/or lymphovascular space invasion (LVSI) (3,4).

However, previous studies have reported that patients with stage IA type I and Grade 2 EC may also be candidates for fertility-sparing treatment (11).

Endometrioid and non-endometrioid endometrial tumors are associated with genomic alterations but applying standard genomic evaluation to all women with EC is not recommended. In a histological evaluation, lower estrogen receptor/progesterone receptor expression levels were observed in EC patients with loss of mismatch proteins, which is why they could not be considered as appropriate candidates for progesterone therapy (23). On the other hand, patients at risk of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer/Lynch Syndrome, which is caused by mutations in DNA mismatch repair genes, should be referred to genetic consultation. Conservative treatment should be avoided in the presence of such genetic defects (3).

The primary aim of fertility-sparing treatment is achieving complete remission (CR), which is defined as the absence of disease in the subsequent endometrial biopsy. Partial response is defined as the downgrading of EC to complex atypical endometrial hyperplasia (AEH). The patients without response are those with no improvement in terms of histopathology, whereas disease progression is defined as the presence of a higher grade of cancer in the final pathology result (4).

Fertility-sparing treatments

Medicinal treatment

Progestins

Up to now, medical treatment has been widely recommended to patients with EC who are willing to preserve their fertility. For that purpose, the earlier trials of conservative management were based on oral progestin treatment (24). Two types of oral progestins, namely medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) and megestrol acetate (MA), have been used with reported complete remission rates varying from 62% to 90.7% (4,24-28).

To evaluate the efficacy and safety of oral progestin treatment in patients with early-stage EC, Qin et al. performed a meta-analysis covering a total of 445 patients from 25 articles. The progestins used in these studies were MPA (16/25) and MA (16/25), at doses of 10–1,500 and 40–400 mg/day, respectively. The patients had a CR rate of 82.4%, a recurrence rate (RR) of 25.0%, a pregnancy rate (PR) of 28.8%, and a live birth rate (LBR) of 19.6%. Only two deaths were reported during the follow-up period (25).

In another study, Yamagami et al. reported patients with EC who received fertility-preserving treatment. One hundred and sixty-two of these patients received initial treatment with MPA, and 82 of them received repeated MPA treatment due to recurrent disease. Oral MPA administration varied between doses of 400 to 600 mg/day until pathologically confirmed tumor disappearance. CR rate was 90.7% in the initial treatment group, and 98.1% in the repeated treatment group. The 5-year recurrence-free survival (RFS) rate was 33.2% for the initial treatment group, and 11.2% for the recurrent treatment group. The study reported encouraging results for patients with EC recurrence after initial hormonal therapy (26).

Greenwald et al. performed a large-scale propensity score-matched study and compared the mortality outcomes of patients who had hormonal treatment and those who received surgical treatment due to early-stage EC. The study comprised of 6,339 patients, 161 of whom received progestins such as medroxyprogesterone (MP), MPA and MA and 6,178 patients who underwent primary surgery. After an average follow-up of 15 years, the mortality rate did not differ between the progestin group and the primary surgery group (14.1% vs. 9.3%, respectively) (29).

According to the current literature, the most commonly used regimens are MPA 250–600 mg/day or MA 160–480 mg/day (30,31). However, there is still a lack of evidence to establish the optimal dose and duration of progestin treatment. The variations in treatment regimens may be explained by age, obesity, tumor grade, and the absence or presence of progesterone receptors (1,4).

Levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine device

Apart from oral therapy, progesterone treatment may also be administered using a temporary levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine device (LNG-IUD) (32). In terms of avoiding the systemic adverse effects of high-dose oral progestins, LNG-IUDs, which release an initial dose of 20 mcg/day progesterin locally are considered to have less systemic side effects than oral preparations (32).

In a systemic analysis of 189 patients diagnosed with AEH, a precursor of EC, Chen et al. reported that LNG-IUD treatment provided a higher regression rate than oral progestogens (90% vs. 69%, respectively) (33). In another retrospective study by Pal et al. (7-24), LNG-IUD treatment was associated with a success rate of 80% at six months in AEH patients (n=15), 67% in grade 1 EC.
(n=9) patients and of 75% in grade 2 EC (n=8). The use of LNG-IUD as the first-line treatment for AEH has also been recommended in the Royal College of Obstetrics and Gynaecology guideline (24-33).

As will be seen in the next sections, LNG-IUD is mostly used for maintenance therapy after hysteroscopic resection (HR) in early-stage EC patients who opt for fertility-sparing treatment.

Combined therapies
The idea of using combination strategies rather than progestin treatment alone emerged to achieve better results and reduce the side effects of oral hormonal therapies. Qin et al. evaluated the side effects of long-term MPA and MA treatment, and concluded that weight gain, liver dysfunction, and abnormal blood coagulation tests were the most common side effects that restrict young women’s compliance to treatment. For this reason, LNG-IUD, GnRH agonist (GnRHa), and aromatase inhibitors (AIs) have been used as adjuvant medications (32,34).

In a study by Chae et al., 118 patients with EC received combined therapy with concurrent oral MPA treatment and LNG-IUD. Seventy-one (60.17%) patients achieved CR, and the median duration of hormonal therapy was 11.9 months (range, 4–49) (35). Kim et al. conducted a prospective phase II study in 44 patients with grade 1 EC. They treated the patients with a combination of oral MPA (500 mg/day) and LNG-IUD. After six months of follow-up, 35 patients had completed the study protocol and the CR rate at six months was 37.1% (13/35). Partial response was reported as 25.7% (9/35), and none of the patients had progressive disease (36).

In regard to the idea of metformin, which increases the expression of the progesterone receptor and sensitizes progestin-resistant EC cells to progestin-induced apoptosis (37,38), Yang et al. conducted a study in 150 patients diagnosed with AEH or well-differentiated EC. The study population was randomized into two groups. The first group comprised of patients receiving oral MA 160 mg/day (n=74) and the second group received oral MA 160 mg/day + oral metformin 500 mg three times a day (n=76). They observed a higher CR rate in EC patients in the MA+metformin group compared to the MA-only group at 16 weeks of follow-up (34.3% vs. 20.7%, respectively). The CR rate was significantly higher in AEH patients after treatment (39.6% vs. 20.4%). As a fertility-sparing treatment, MA+metformin treatment seems to be a promising option in terms of a higher CR rate than MA alone in both patients with AEH and EC (37).

The other combined treatment options are GnRHa and AIs (39-42). GnRHa, which acts by downregulating gonadotropins, has been used to treat EC in patients who wish to preserve their fertility (39). GnRHa is commonly used in combination with other medications such as progestins and AIs. AIs act by inhibiting the peripheral conversion of androgens in adipose tissue, thereby reducing estrogen levels. The use of AIs is considered to be beneficial, especially in EC patients with higher BMI (40).

In a study involving 29 patients diagnosed with EC or AEH, 18 patients received combination therapy with intramuscular injections of GnRHa every four weeks and an LNG-IUD (Mirena® Bayer Health Care Pharmaceutical Inc, Wayne, NY, USA) was inserted. Eleven patients were treated with the combination of intramuscular GnRHa injections every four weeks in addition to oral letrozole 2.5 mg daily. They reported that 88.2% (15/17) of the patients with EC had CR, and only one of them had EC recurrence (32).

Thangavelu et al. reported a randomized pilot study in 16 women with EC treated with AI (anastrozole arm) versus placebo 14 days before definitive surgery. They concluded that a significant decrease was observed in the glandular expression of estrogen and androgen receptors, and ki-67, a proliferation marker, in the anastrozole arm compared to placebo (40).

In another study, six obese EC patients receiving either intramuscular injections of GnRHa 3.75 mg every four weeks or oral AI 2.5 mg/day were evaluated. According to the study results, the CR rate was 100% and time to CR was 3–6 months with no recurrence after a median follow-up of 4 years. The most commonly reported side effects were menopause-like symptoms. The PR and LBR was 50.0% and 75.0%, respectively (41).

Fertility-sparing surgical treatment
Although there are promising results with medical treatment in EC patients, the absence of a standard treatment regimen and the aim of achieving fewer RRs have led to the necessity of new treatment approaches (3). Recently, in addition to better disease-free outcomes, improved PR has been reported in EC survivors after fertility-sparing combined therapy consisting of HR and hormonal treatment (43,44).

HR is useful in the treatment of grade I EC patients, and also effective in patients with highly differentiated EC willing to retain fertility (3). HR for the treatment of EC involves resection of the tumor with a small layer of the...
myometrium immediately beneath the lesion (two-step technique) and resection of the endometrium adjacent to cancer in addition to the two-step technique (three-step technique) (3).

To evaluate the efficacy of hysteroscopic evaluation and lesion resection combined with progestin therapy, Yang et al. retrospectively reported a total of 120 patients, 80 of whom were diagnosed with AEH and 40 had early-stage EC. All patients received oral progestin until achieving CR after HR, and 148 (97.4%) patients achieved CR while 3 patients with AEH and 1 with EC had disease progression. The mean treatment duration to achieve CR was 6.7±0.3 months (range, 1–18 months). After achieving CR, 60 patients attempted to conceive. They reported 45.0% (15/60) PR and 25.0% (15/60) LBR, while 6.7% experienced spontaneous abortion (45).

Falcone et al. reported their 15-year experience of fertility-sparing treatment in patients with early EC who underwent HR and received progestin therapy with MA or LNG-IUD for at least six months. At the 3rd month of progestin therapy, 25 (89.3%) patients had CR, two (7.1%) had persistent disease, whereas one (3.6%) patient presented with progressive disease and required definitive surgery. At 6 months, only one patient underwent definitive surgery, while the others had CR. The median duration of CR was 94.5 months (range, 8–175 months) for the study population. Of the patients with CR, 57.7% attempted to conceive, and 93.3% PR and 86.6% LBR (44).

A meta-analysis by Zhang et al., which included fifty-four studies evaluating fertility-sparing therapies in young women with EC and AEH showed that HR followed by progestins achieved a significantly higher pooled regression (98.06% vs. 77.20%) and LBR (52.57% vs. 33.38%) and a lower RR compared to oral progestins alone (4.79% vs. 32.17%). Furthermore, pooled LBR (52.57% vs. 18.09%) with HR followed by progestins was significantly higher than that with LNG-IUD alone. However, no statistical difference was observed in RR (4.79% vs. 3.90%) (46).

Tock et al. reported 18 women with grade 1 EC or endometrial intraepithelial neoplasia (EIN). All patients received GnRHα treatment for three months after HR. The patients underwent follow-up at 3-month intervals. After a median follow-up of 40.7 months, CR was observed in 12 patients (66.7%), however 3 of them later had recurrence (25%) (47).

Overall, combination treatment with medical therapy and HR seems to reduce RR with higher LBR compared to that of hormonal therapy alone.

Follow-up
The first year of post-treatment follow-up should include serial TVUS examinations, a diagnostic hysteroscopy, and endometrial sampling with three-month intervals (48). Patients with a partial response following six months of treatment may be offered continuation of treatment for another 3 to 6 months. It has been reported that 80% of patients show complete remission after 12 months. Therefore, women who do not achieve complete remission by 12 months may be considered non-responders and should be recommended surgery (49). Patients with CR who wish to conceive should be encouraged, and referral to a fertility clinic should be offered as soon as possible, especially if they have increased risk for EC such as obesity, thick endometrium, older age, and history of recurrence (50).

Prognostic factors of treatment response
In regard to the different response rates of different treatment modalities in patients with EC who wish to preserve their fertility, some prognostic factors have been predicted. For that purpose, Koskas et al. conducted a systematic review including 370 patients from 24 studies and reported that factors such as age, gravidity status, obesity, history of infertility, or hormonal therapy were not associated with an increased risk of recurrence (51). Moreover, pregnancy was associated with a lower EC RR compared to that in patients who did not conceive. Park et al. reported a 20.5% (9/44) RR for EC in the patients those who conceived and 36.6% (26/71) in the non-pregnant group. Multivariate analysis also showed a significant association between RFS (OR 0.25; 95% CI, 0.11 to 0.56; P=0.001) and pregnancy (52). The study by Chae et al. also observed that EC recurrence was lower in the conceived group than in the non-pregnant group, and the time to recurrence was significantly higher in the pregnant group than that in the non-pregnant group. These results may be due to prolonged exposure to endogenous progesterone during pregnancy, which affects the endometrial proliferation (35). However, in another retrospective study by Chen et al., they found a relation between EC recurrence and older age (≥35 years), obesity (body mass index ≥30 kg/m²), time to achieving CR, and infertility after conservative treatment (53).

Yin et al. evaluated the variables that may be related to EC recurrence in 127 patients. Fifty-three patients were in the recurrence group and 74 were in the control group. After adjusting the model for interfering factors,
menstruation cycle, progestin type, and regular maintenance treatment after CR were defined as the main risk factors of recurrence (54).

Travaglino et al. conducted a review to assess the predictive role of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) expression in 376 patients treated with progestin due to EC and AEH. The study concluded that PTEN loss was not significantly associated with the outcome of therapy in the overall analysis (55).

According to the results of the studies mentioned above, older age (≥35 years), obesity (body mass index ≥30 kg/m²), and infertility after conservative treatment seem to be related to recurrence due to the high exposure to estrogen. However, there is a lack of evidence to confirm these associations.

Treatment after recurrence
The primary concern with fertility-sparing treatment is the recurrence and progression of EC and related mortality. The reported RR following CR varies from 24% to 40% in 4–66 months (23,56). However, the mortality rate associated with conservative treatment of early-stage EC is still quite low (57).

Wang et al. reported their 15-year experience of prolonged conservative treatment in patients with recurrent EC. The study included 41 patients with recurrent EC with a median disease-free period of 16 months (range, 5–55 months). Twenty-three of the patients were diagnosed with recurrence as EC, and 18 of them had AEH or EIN. Twenty-six patients received repeated conservative treatment. The CR rate for these patients was 82.6%, which was lower than the 94.7% CR rate of initial fertility-preserving treatment. The CR rate of AEH/EIN patients was higher than that of EC patients, with 92.9% vs. 66.7%. Among the patients who achieved CR, 19 tried to conceive, and 3 conceived and delivered successfully. Four cases required definitive surgery due to the failed response to repeated treatment (58).

There is only limited data and no adopted strategy regarding the optimal management of patients with early-stage EC recurrence after conservative treatment (26,59–61). A recent study recommended that the total duration of treatment may be extended to 3–36 months in case of recurrent disease (62,63). The European Society for Gynecological Oncology guidelines indicate that high-dose progestin therapy can be re-administered in patients with disease recurrence after CR. Still, this recommendation should be preserved only for nulliparous women (64).

After completion of fertility, hysterectomy and salpingo-oophorectomy should be recommended (65). The preservation of ovaries may be considered taking into account the patient’s age and genetic risk factors, and this option should be discussed with the patient.

For patients with recurrent EC after primary conservative treatment, repeated fertility-preserving treatment can still provide a promising response, and patients have possibilities of completing childbirth.

Management after completion of conservative treatment

Women who wish to conceive
Following fertility-sparing treatment, reproductive management should be planned as soon as possible. Patients with CR and no history of infertility may try natural conception, although the PR is expected to be low because of the thin endometrium. To tackle this problem, assisted reproductive technologies (ARTs) should be considered to increase the chance of fertility (66–68). The reported PR in the literature after hormonal treatment is between 35–80%, and LBR ranges from 40.9% to 80% (3,4,69–71) (Figure 1).

Kim et al. reported 22 patients who underwent IVF cycles after progestin treatment for stage IA EC. The mean age of the study group was 34 years (range, 26–41 years). Forty-nine embryo transfers were performed after two months of last progestin treatment. Clinical PR per transfer was 26.5%, implantation rate was 16.7%, and LBR was 14.3%. The cumulative clinical PR was 50% (11/22), resulting in 6 live births (27.3%) within three cycles of embryo transfer. This study showed an acceptable cumulative PR after the IVF procedure in patients with early-stage EC who were treated conservatively (72).

In a multicenter study evaluating pregnancy outcomes of progestin therapy, 33.3% (9/27) of the patients conceived, and 18.5% (5/27) of them delivered successfully after conservative treatment. The mean time to pregnancy was 12.2 months (range, 4–25 months). The reported PR varied from 12.5% to 50%, and delivery rates were between 9.1% to 30% (66,73,74).

There are only limited data about the factors associated with PR after conservative hormonal treatment in patients with early EC (23,32). Osamu et al. reported factors affecting PR in 98 patients who received MPA treatment for well-differentiated EC or AEH. They concluded that
Figure 1 Algorithm of the fertility-sparing management in women with early stage endometrial cancer patients.
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recurrence before conception, endometrial thickness during ovulation, and the patient’s age were associated with lower PR (75).

It has been suggested that tumor grade is the only factor related to a successful pregnancy. Tumor grade and PR are thought to be associated due to the increased level of plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1, which is observed in grade 2/3 EC (76,77). Plasminogen activator inhibitor inhibits the fibrinolytic system and acts in thrombus formation associated with implantation failure. To elucidate a major concern of fertility-preserving surgery, the relationship between recurrence and pregnancy, Yin et al. reported that the rate of successful pregnancy was 62.5% in patients who achieved CR with initial treatment, and 20.5% in the recurrence group after CR of the primary disease (54).

Another problem with fertility-preserving treatment is the repetition of D&C and hysteroscopies that may adversely impact embryo implantation due to destruction of the basal layer of the endometrium, intrauterine adhesions, and fibrosis (78). However, Chae et al. reported no significant difference between the pregnant group (4, range 2–7) and the non-pregnant group (4, range 2–7) considering the number of D&Cs. Although there is insufficient data, utilization of anti-adhesive materials after D&C may prevent adhesion formation by recovering the basal layer of the endometrium in the early healing phase (35).

Another study reported that no intrauterine adhesions were observed after HR, and these cases had high PR and LBR. These findings suggest that HR may have no significant adverse effects on pregnancy outcomes (3).

Secondary effects of fertility-preserving treatment are associated with obstetrical outcomes. ART seems not to affect the prognosis of EC (29,79). However, perinatal complications, including preterm birth, preeclampsia, multiple pregnancies, and the need for the cesarean section should be considered in patients who underwent ART (80).

**Women without pregnancy desire**

The patients who achieve CR and do not wish to preserve fertility should be recommended administration of oral low-dose progesterone considering the clinical practice guidelines issued by the European Society for Medical Oncology (30). However, there is still a lack of evidence on a specific dose regimen and administration period. LNG-IUDs may be considered as an alternative treatment to oral hormonal medications.

**Sexual functioning and psychological well-being of women affected by EC**

In a cross-sectional study, 470 cervical and ovarian cancer survivors between the ages of 18–40 years were evaluated. No differences were observed between the fertility-sparing group and the definitive surgery group regarding sexual functioning and quality of life scores (81). There is a lack of adequate data about the quality of life and sexual functioning in patients with EC who wish to preserve their fertility (82). Although these patients undergo hysteroscopy and biopsy procedures, without any surgical procedures that may impair their sexual functioning, a cancer diagnosis itself, and certain non-surgical treatments may cause sexual problems, depression, anxiety, and loss of self-esteem (83,84).

**Conclusions**

In younger patients with stage IA EC who are willing to become pregnant, fertility-preserving treatment appears to be feasible and useful, however, relevant evidence remains limited. Adequate evaluation and an accurate diagnosis are mandatory to predict the appropriate candidates for this treatment modality. There are various treatment options such as oral progestin therapy, LNG-IUDs, HR, GnRHα therapy, and AIs alone or in combination. Combination treatments with HR following medical therapy may improve the RR. However, further research is needed to confirm their effectiveness, the optimal dosage, route of administration, and duration of treatment. After achieving CR, women who wish to conceive should be encouraged to attempt conception as soon as possible. ARTs may offer a shorter time to conception compared to spontaneous conception. Regular oncology follow-up should be offered with intervals of 6 months. Individualization of the treatment is crucial as each patient has different characteristics such as age, BMI, tumor grade, and treatment response.
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