Introduction

The morbidity of uterine leiomyomas is more than 70%, and 62% of women will enter menopause with leiomyomas (1,2). The treatment of uterine leiomyomas depends on the size, quantity, clinical symptoms, fertility requirements, and patients’ subjective wishes. Myomectomy or hysterectomy is the most commonly used method of traditional treatment, but for women who require conservative treatment or fertility requirements, the pursuit of the best treatment options is particularly important. At present, non-traditional surgical treatments, such as gonadotropin-
releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists/antagonists, selective progesterone receptor regulators, levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system (LNG-IUS), uterine artery embolization, and high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU), provide new treatment options for conservative treatment of uterine leiomyoma from different perspectives (3). The principle of treatment for perimenopausal women with uterine leiomyoma is the same as above, but when they are treated with menopausal hormone therapy (MHT), leiomyoma is an aspect to be considered in drug evaluation. The numerous acknowledged benefits of MHT have been widely recognized in the treatment of many immediate and delayed postmenopausal changes (4-6). MHT methods include estrogen alone, progesterone alone, and a combination of estrogen and progesterone. However, the administration of MHT in women with uterine leiomyomas is still debated (7-10). Some researchers have proposed that estroprogestin therapy could increase the incidence of uterine leiomyomas and produce a proliferative stimulus by increasing volume (11). Therefore, MHT is used cautiously in women with uterine leiomyomas, which influence the implementation of MHT and increase the follow-up times.

The issues posed above were considered in the development of a new, innovative, combination treatment, which replaces progesterone with selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) (12). SERMs are estrogen receptor (ER) ligands that display an unusual tissue-selective pharmacology. That is, they are antagonists in the breast, agonists in the bone, liver, and cardiovascular system, and mixed agonists/antagonists in the uterus (13). In 2010, Bolognese first proposed that SERMs are compatible with estrogen, which might be more beneficial to the effectiveness and safety of MHT (14). A new dosage form of hormone drugs emerged, which was a combination of estrogen and SERMs, called tissue-selective estrogen complexes (TSECs). Compared with the traditional MHT method combined with estrogen and progesterin, it can reduce menopausal syndrome and has a good safety in the breasts, ovaries, cardiovascular system, and venous thrombosis (15-17). However, for leiomyomas, TSEC still requires further study.

Raloxifene, a benzothiophene derivative, is the most widely studied of the SERMs. Most of the studies found a benefit profile with association of raloxifene and estrogen on women’s quality of life, satisfaction with the treatment, and vaginal dryness (18). Some studies have shown that the use of raloxifene combined with low-dose estrogen produced no increase in endometrial thickness (19-21).

Some studies have shown an increased endometrial thickness after 3 months of treatment. The mean values reported, however, did not exceed 5 mm, and most of the biopsy results revealed benign endometrial proliferation (22,23). Some studies suggest that raloxifene does not increase the volume of leiomyoma, and some believe that raloxifene does not promote the proliferation of leiomyoma cells, but others believe that the effect of raloxifene on leiomyoma is dose-dependent (24-28). As an MHT method, the safety of TSEC has gained the most attention for those with leiomyomas. However, the effect of SERMs combined with estrogen on the proliferation and apoptosis of cultured leiomyoma cells has not been reported.

In this study, we used raloxifene combined with 17-beta estradiol and compared it with traditional estrogen combined with progesterone and estrogen alone to observe the effects of different MHT methods on the proliferation and apoptosis of cultured leiomyoma cells in vitro.

**Methods**

**Hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining**

Leiomyoma tissues were obtained from 40–50-year-old patients undergoing hysterectomy due to myoma, with the patient's permission and signed informed consent before operation, who had received no hormonal therapy for at least six menstrual cycles before surgery. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi’an Jiaotong University (No. XJTU1AF2016LSK-14: the registration number of ethics board). This was an in vitro study, and part of the tissues was used for cell culture, while the other part was fixed in neutral formalin, dehydrated, then clarified, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5-μm thicknesses, and stained with HE (Shanghai Jianglai Biotechnology Co., Ltd. DH0052) for observation of general histology.

**Immunohistochemistry**

After dewaxing, the slides were blocked with 10% normal goat serum (Gibco Life Technologies, USA) for 30 minutes before being incubated with primary antibodies at room temperature. These primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4 °C: alpha smooth muscle actin (α-Actin, 1:1,000, Abcam Cat# ab69512, RRID:AB_2222725) antibody and estrogen receptor alpha (ER-α, 1:1,000,
Abcam Cat# ab3743, RRID:AB_304042) antibody. Goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:7,000, Abcam Cat# ab6721, RRID:AB_955447) was incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Then, the slides were colored using a DAB (3,3′-diaminobenzidine) Kit (ZLI-9017, ZSGB-BIO, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Images were acquired using Olympus BX51 confocal microscopes.

Primary culture of uterine leiomyoma cells

Leiomyoma tissues were digested and immediately transferred into phosphate-buffered saline (PBS: NaCl 8 g, KH₂PO₄ 0.20 g, Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O 3.49 g, KCl 0.20 g, double steamed water 1,000 mL, pH 7.2–7.4) containing 100 U/mL of penicillin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., USA) and 100 μg/mL of streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., USA). Ophthalmic scissors were used to cut the samples into approximately 1–3 mm³ pieces. These pieces were digested with 0.1% collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) at 37 °C for 4 h. Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., USA) supplemented with 10% bovine serum albumin (Gibco Life Technologies, USA) was used to terminate the digestions. After digestion, the cells were washed three times with PBS, and dispersed cells were collected. The cell density was adjusted to 1–5×10⁴ cells/mL, then seeded in a 25-mL cell culture flask and incubated at 37 °C in a cell culture (Nuaire NU-5800, USA) incubator with 5% CO₂ and saturated humidity. When the cells had adhered, the medium was replaced at 24–48 h. When a confluent monolayer of cells appeared, the cells were digested with 0.25 mg/mL trypsin (Gibco Life Technologies, USA) for subculture. All cells were free of bacterial, yeast, fungal, and mycoplasma contaminations.

Cellular immunocytochemistry

When cells adhered to 50–60%, the culture was terminated. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min and permeabilized with Triton X-100 (0.3%, ZSGB-BIO, China) for 10 min. Uterine leiomyoma cells were suspended in 10% normal goat serum (Gibco Life Technologies, USA) for 10 min, then incubated with the primary antibodies: α-Actin (1:1,000, Abcam Cat# ab69512, RRID:AB_2222725), ER-α (1:1,000, Abcam Cat# ab3743, RRID:AB_304042), and ER-β (1:1,000, Abcam Cat# ab3576, RRID:AB_303922) overnight at 4 °C. After another three washes with PBS, the cells were incubated with secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) reagent (Shanghai Leuven Biotechnology Co., Ltd., China) was used to counterstain the nuclei. The result of the staining was observed with an inverted fluorescence microscope system (OLYMPUS, X53, Olympus Corporation, Japan).

Study design

This study was divided into four groups according to different combinations of drugs: 10⁻⁷ M 17-beta estradiol (Abbott Healthcare Products B.V.) +10⁻⁹ M raloxifene (Dalian Mei Lun Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) as the TSEC group, 10⁻⁷ M 17-beta estradiol + 3.3×10⁻⁸ M medroxyprogesterone acetate (Beijing Yimin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.) as the E+P group, 10⁻⁷ M 17-beta estradiol alone as the E group, and a control group (13,29,30). After different treatment, the proliferation and apoptosis of primary cultured uterine leiomyoma cells were analyzed by methylthiazolyldiphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) and western blot.

MTT assay

Cell viability was assessed using an MTT assay (Sigma, USA). Uterine leiomyoma cells were seeded into 96 well plates and incubated for 24, 48, and 72 h in culture media, respectively. A total of 20 μL of MTT (5 mg/mL) was then added to each well, the cells were incubated for a further 4 h at 37 °C, and 150 μL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., USA) was added to each
well and incubated at 37 °C for 20 min. The optical density (OD) was measured at 490 nm.

Western blot

Western-blot was used to evaluate the expression of PCNA and Bcl-2 proteins in all groups. Total protein was isolated using radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) lysis buffer (Beijing Solarbio Science and Technology Co., LTD., China) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Hangzhou Reagent Company, China) on ice, and the concentration of the protein was detected using a BCA protein assay kit (Xi’an Heart Biotech Corp., China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Gel preparation referenced the sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) kit (Xi’an Heart Biotech Corp., China) manufacturer’s instructions. Protein samples were handled with SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore, USA). PVDF membranes were incubated with these primary antibodies overnight: proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA, 1:1,000, Abcam Cat# ab18197, RRID:AB_444313) monoclonal antibody, B-cell lymphoma-2 (Bcl-2, 1:1,000, Abcam Cat# ab79204, RRID:AB_1603636) monoclonal antibody and beta-actin (1:2,000, Bioss Cat# bs-0061R, RRID:AB_10855480). Then, the PVDF membranes were incubated with goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:7,000, Abcam Cat# ab6721, RRID:AB_955447) for 2 h at room temperature. After another three washes, the proteins bound with the antibodies were visualized with an enhanced electrochemiluminescence (ECL) system (BIO-RAD GelDoc 2000, USA).

Statistical analysis

Each experiment was performed more than three times, and the results were analyzed using SPSS software version 18.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The statistical methods were general linear one-way ANOVA. P<0.05 had statistical significance.

Results

Histological identification of uterine leiomyoma

The uterine leiomyoma tissue slides were stained with HE, and results showed spindle smooth muscle cells under a microscope (Figure 1A). Immunohistochemical staining showed that the expression of alpha-actin (Figure 1B) and ER (Figure 1C) was positive in uterine leiomyoma cells.

Cell identification of uterine leiomyoma

Primary cultured uterine leiomyoma cells showed spindle shape after adherence (Figure 2A,B,C,D). Alpha-actin was highly expressed in exercise cells of eukaryotes, and the expression in the cytoplasm was more than that in the cytoskeleton. Alpha-actin was highly expressed in the cytoplasm of uterine leiomyoma cells (Figure 2E). Immunocytochemical staining with anti-alpha-actin monoclonal antibody showed that the cells had a brown-yellow filamentous structure in the cytoplasm, which was the location of actin and confirmed to be smooth muscle cells (Figure 2F). The positive cells were actin-expressing cells. The positive cells/total cells were the positive rate, and it was 94%±2.5%. The expression of ER-alpha in leiomyoma cells was in the cytoplasm. Immunofluorescence
Figure 2 Cell identification of uterine leiomyoma. (A) Adherence of leiomyoma cells after 24 h culture (100x). (B) Leiomyoma cells were cultured to 48 h (100x). (C) Leiomyoma cells were cultured to 72 h (100x). (D) Leiomyoma cells protruded longer and contacted each other (200x). (E) Alpha-Actin staining of uterine leiomyoma cells (200x). (F) Immunofluorescence staining of alpha-Actin in uterine leiomyoma cells (200x). (G) Immunofluorescence staining of ER-alpha in uterine leiomyoma cells (200x). (H) Immunofluorescence staining of ER-beta in uterine leiomyoma cells (200x).
staining with ER-alpha polyclonal antibody showed red fluorescence in the cytoplasm, indicating that ER-alpha was positive in these cells (Figure 2G). The expression of ER-beta in leiomyoma cells was localized in the cell membrane. Immunofluorescence staining of primary cultured cells with ER-beta polyclonal antibody showed red fluorescence on the cell membrane, indicating that ER-beta was positive in the primary cultured cells (Figure 2H).

Effect of TSEC on the proliferation of uterine leiomyoma cells

TSEC, E+P, E, and blank control groups were cultured for 24, 48, and 72 h respectively, and the OD was measured separately (Table 1). Results showed that: (I) there was a significant difference at 24 h between the TSEC and control groups (P=0.022). With this exception, there was a significant difference between the other groups (P<0.001). (II) There was a significant difference at 48 h between the TSEC and control groups (P=0.014). With this exception, there was a significant difference between the other groups (P<0.001). (III) There was no significant difference at 72 h between the TSEC and control groups (P=0.13). However, there was a significant difference between the other groups (P<0.001) (Figure 3). (IV) Western blot analysis of PCNA (molecular weight 30 kD) and internal reference beta-actin (molecular weight 43 kD) proteins are shown in Figure 4A. Repeated tests were conducted on three occasions, showing identical results. The expression of PCNA protein in the TSEC group was lower than that in the E+P (1.04±0.03 vs. 1.23±0.01) and E (1.04±0.03 vs. 1.15±0.01) groups, and the differences were statistically significant (P<0.05). However, there was no significant difference between the TSEC and blank control groups (1.04±0.03 vs. 1.02±0.05, P=0.63) (Figure 4B).

Effect of TSEC on apoptosis of uterine leiomyoma cells

Western blot analysis of Bcl-2 (molecular weight 26 kD) and internal reference beta-actin (molecular weight 43 kD) is shown in Figure 4C. Repeated tests were conducted on three occasions, showing identical results. The expression of Bcl-2 protein in TSEC was lower than that in the E+P (1.04±0.03 vs. 1.23±0.04) and E (1.04±0.03 vs. 1.15±0.01) groups, and the differences were statistically significant (P<0.05). However, there was no significant difference between the TSEC and blank control groups (1.04±0.03 vs. 1.02±0.05, P=0.63) (Table 1). Results showed that: (I) there was a significant difference at 24 h between the TSEC and control groups (P=0.022). With this exception, there was a significant difference between the other groups (P<0.001). (II) There was a significant difference at 48 h between the TSEC and control groups (P=0.014). With this exception, there was a significant difference between the other groups (P<0.001). (III) There was no significant difference at 72 h between the TSEC and control groups (P=0.13). However, there was a significant difference between the other groups (P<0.001) (Figure 3). (IV) Western blot analysis of PCNA (molecular weight 30 kD) and internal reference beta-actin (molecular weight 43 kD) proteins are shown in Figure 4A. Repeated tests were conducted on three occasions, showing identical results. The expression of PCNA protein in the TSEC group was lower than that in the E+P (1.04±0.03 vs. 1.23±0.04) and E (1.04±0.03 vs. 1.15±0.01) groups, and the differences were statistically significant (P<0.05). However, there was no significant difference between the TSEC and blank control groups (1.04±0.03 vs. 1.02±0.05, P=0.63) (Figure 4B).
Discussion

Bolognese et al. first proposed a combination of SERMs and estrogen in 2010, which was considered to be more beneficial to the effectiveness and safety of MHT (14). Compared with traditional estrogen and progesterone, TSEC replaces progesterone components with SERMs, avoiding the risk of breast cancer caused by progesterone and maintaining the beneficial effects of estrogen. At the same time, SERMs have the characteristic of tissue-selective expression (31). These features enable SERMs to alleviate menopausal syndrome (such as hot flashes) and prevent bone loss in menopausal women, while having good safety for breast, endometrium, ovary, cardiovascular and other aspects. The effect of SERMs on the volume of uterine leiomyoma has been studied extensively (2,24,32). These data showed that SERMs can inhibit the growth of leiomyoma and even reduce the volume by regulating the proliferation and apoptosis of leiomyoma cells. The randomized controlled trial (RCT) results of Jirecek et al. showed that SERMs reduced the size of fibroids in 25 perimenopausal women over a three-month period compared with the control group. Palomba et al.'s clinical trial showed that SERMs had no significant effect on the volume growth of uterine myometrium and leiomyoma, and there was no significant difference between SERMs and the control group. It should be considered whether TSEC, a complex formed by SERMs with one or more estrogens, as compared with SERMs alone, has the same biological effects on leiomyoma. In this study, we used raloxifene \(10^{-9} \text{M}\) combined with 17-beta estradiol \(10^{-7} \text{M}\). Clinical trials have shown that when the serum concentration of raloxifene was \(10^{-9} \text{M}\), the oral dose fluctuated approximately 30–150 mg/d (33). Another study used \(10^{-9} \text{M}\) to calculate the oral dose of 180 mg/d (34). Liu et al. showed that low concentration \(10^{-9} \text{M}\) of raloxifene might inhibit the growth of leiomyoma cells, whereas high concentrations \(10^{-8} \text{M}, 10^{-7} \text{M}\) of raloxifene might promote their...
growth (13). In the three groups treated with drugs, 17-beta estradiol was all $10^{-7}$ M (13).

Uterine leiomyoma is an estrogen-dependent tumor. The high expression of estrogen receptor in uterine leiomyoma is one of its pathological mechanisms. In our study, the proliferation ability of TSEC was lower than that of the E+P and E groups. However, there was no significant difference between the blank control group, suggesting that SERMs combined with estrogen might not promote the proliferation of leiomyoma, but not completely inhibit estrogen. The mechanism may be related to the effect of SERMs on leiomyoma: studies have confirmed that SERMs do not promote growth or reduce the volume of perimenopausal uterine leiomyoma by reducing estrogen level (24,32,35). SERMs can express estrogen receptor inhibitor in leiomyoma cells. In this research, the TSEC group used SERM and estrogen at the same time, but the added estrogen dose cannot play the role of proliferation in uterine myoma cells. So, this combination can not only inhibit the proliferation of myoma cells, but also achieve the effect of MHT. At the same time, leiomyoma cells in the E+P group proliferated actively, with the exception that estrogen promoted the growth of cells, and progesterone also played an important role. Progesterone can promote mitosis and stimulate the growth of leiomyoma (36). The results of clinical studies showed that compared with oral MHT, the use of estrogen and oral progesterone was more likely to increase the volume of leiomyoma (8). The results of this research show that TSEC may have better safety than E+P in the selection of MHT for perimenopausal uterine leiomyoma patients. In addition, the leiomyoma cells treated by estrogen alone showed active proliferation, while the leiomyoma cells treated by SERMs and estrogen together did not have the effect of promoting proliferation, which may be related to the regulatory effect of SERMs on estrogen receptor. It is speculated that SERMs can express estrogen receptor inhibitors in uterine leiomyoma. Even when estrogen was added, the receptors were inhibited, and estrogen can not play a role, which eventually leads to blocked cell proliferation. Other studies have shown that SERMs have a strong inhibitory effect on ER-alpha, but only a weak inhibitory effect on ER-beta (27). In this experiment, estrogen in the TSEC group might induce the growth of leiomyoma cells through interaction with ER-beta. However, quantitative expression of specific receptors requires further study. There was significant difference in the expression of PCNA between the E and blank control group, reflecting the estrogen dependence of leiomyoma cells. Although TSEC cannot completely inhibit the proliferation of leiomyoma cells, it is similar to the blank control, which provides a basis for the safety of raloxifene combined with 17-beta estradiol. While uterine leiomyoma is estrogen dependent, in the presence of estrogen, TSEC may have better safety for perimenopausal patients with leiomyoma.

Bcl-2 belongs to the apoptosis suppressor gene. Its high expression indicates that the apoptosis ability is weakened. Palomba et al. showed that SERMs could promote the apoptosis of uterine leiomyoma cells compared with the control group (25). Jin et al. showed that SERMs had no significant effect on apoptosis compared with the control group (37). This study showed that SERMs combined with 17-beta estradiol had lower apoptosis than the E+P and E group. That might be related to the concentration of raloxifene used in this experiment. Palomba et al.’s experiments showed that SERMs could reduce the volume of leiomyoma by inhibiting proliferation and promoting apoptosis (38). It has been suggested that SERMs can promote apoptosis, but the effect of SERMs on leiomyoma cells is dose-dependent. Low concentration SERMs inhibit the expression of Bcl-2 (37). The concentration of drugs used in this experiment was the cell concentration converted by conventional treatment dose. SERMs combined with 17-beta estradiol had similar apoptosis effect as the blank control group. This may be due to the fact that SERMs can only inhibit the expression of estrogen receptor, while uterine leiomyomas are estrogen- and progesterone-dependent tumors, and progesterone may have a greater impact on the volume of leiomyomas. Inhibition of the expression of estrogen receptor alone does not completely inhibit the mitosis of leiomyoma cells, resulting in the effect that leiomyomas do not grow but also cannot reduce. In view of the fact that uterine leiomyoma is an estrogen-dependent tumor, progesterone can promote the proliferation of leiomyoma cells, while SERMs can promote apoptosis, so TSEC may have better safety than E+P or E alone for perimenopausal patients with leiomyoma.

There are some shortcomings in this experiment. The expression of ER-alpha and ER-beta in leiomyoma cells was not quantitatively analyzed after drug treatment, to intuitively understand the imbalance of estrogen receptor expression in the pathogenesis of uterine leiomyoma, which provides guidelines for follow-up drug intervention. No concentration gradient test was conducted to determine whether SERMs inhibited estrogen receptors in a concentration-dependent manner, and the minimum
effective concentration of the drug could not be determined. In further research, we plan to study the effect of TSEC on the expression of estrogen receptor to understand the mechanism of TSEC on uterine leiomyoma cells and whether it can reduce cell proliferation through competitive inhibition of receptors or regulate the coupling protein GPR30 downstream of estrogen receptor. In addition, we will design the concentration gradient test of the two drugs in TSEC, continue to find the lowest effective dose, and further improve the safety of the drug on the premise of effectiveness.

Compared with estrogen and progesterone or estrogen alone, SERM combined with estrogen may have better safety in MHT of perimenopausal women with uterine leiomyoma.
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