Introduction

Cancer incidence and mortality are rapidly growing worldwide. The reasons are complex but it reflects both aging and growth of population, as well as changes in the prevalence and distribution of the main risk factors for cancer, several of which are associated with socioeconomic development (1). Worldwide, there were about 2.1 million newly diagnosed breast cancer cases in 2018, accounting...
for almost 1 in 4 cancer cases among women. Breast cancer is the most diagnosed and also the leading cause of cancer deaths in over 100 countries worldwide (2).

The incidence of breast cancer rises dramatically with age, which is the single most important risk factor for developing cancer (1). As a consequence of the combination of increasing life expectancy and early breast cancer screening programs, we are witnessing a rapid rise in both developed and developing countries of breast cancer in the elderly. For example, in the USA over 40% of patients diagnosed with early breast cancer are aged 65 years or older (3). In the UK, of the 48,417 cases of breast cancer, 45% (approximately 21,000) of the patients were aged ≥65 years (3). Definitions of ‘elderly’ or ‘older’ patients vary between 65 years and older in North America to 70 years or older in Europe (4,5). By 2030, the proportion of older adults (65 years and older) is projected to almost double from 14% to 20% of the total US population (i.e., one in every five persons or 70 million people) (3). Providing high level care for an increasing number of older breast cancer patients is of critical importance and will require health care providers and support staff who are trained in evaluating and treating this patient population (3).

The treatment of breast cancer combines surgery, systemic therapy, and radiotherapy.

The most common regimen for the complementary radiotherapy treatment of breast cancer after conservative (6,7) or radical surgery has been the conventional fractionation, consisting of 45–50 Gy in 25 fractions of 1.8 or 2 Gy/day, 5 days a week, for 33 days (8,9). Studies show that the incidence of local recurrence is significantly lower in the group of patients treated with radiotherapy, about an 8–10% with respect to the non-irradiated ones in which the local recurrence reached 25–35%, independently of other associated factors (9,10).

Elderly patients are frequently associated with concomitant diseases, cognitive impairment, socio-familial problems, or functional limitation (10). Patients often live far from the hospital and have difficulty in attending the radiotherapy sessions. Therefore, according to established practice it is not always feasible for elderly patients to come for a conventional daily radiotherapy treatment (11). This may lead to individuals avoiding complementary radiotherapy treatment after conservative surgery, or unnecessary abuse of radical mastectomy at the initial stages, which results in an under treatment or over treatment in a large number of cases. Many studies showed a clear under treatment in older patients due to difficulty in access and local recurrence (12,13). Thus, the International Society for Geriatric Oncology guidelines strongly recommend the use of post mastectomy radiotherapy in the same conditions just as in younger population (14). Therefore, it is advisable to plan a more convenient treatment regimen for the elderly patients while a similar local control result can be achieved. Aside improvement in the quality of life, short treatments can also relieve the pressure on medical resources and the problem of access to radiotherapy (15).

One of the current challenges is the definition of irradiation schedules that are more comfortable for patients and easier to integrate with systemic therapies. In any case, there is, no clear consensus on what should be used on a regular basis (16,17). This study therefore aimed to assess for a short radiotherapy regime with similar toxicity and outcome as the conventional radiotherapy in elderly breast cancer patients in the Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria. This if found, will increase access to radiotherapy facilities, and reduce logistics impediment in an environment with gross deficiency of radiotherapy facilities.

We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-1335).

Methods

This descriptive retrospective study used data from the records of all the elderly breast cancer patients who had mastectomy and were treated with hypofractionated radiotherapy in the Department of Radiotherapy and Oncology, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital (UDUTH), Sokoto, Nigeria from January 2015 to February 2019. Patient’s demographics, disease site(s), disease stage, histological characteristics, treatment toxicities and outcome data during 2-year follow ups were also retrieved. Patients with incomplete information in their records were dropped out of the study.

Radiotherapy treatment was delivered at a dose of 45 Gy in 18 daily fractions over 3.5 weeks using 6 MV photons. Radiobiologically, assuming α/β ratio of 3.5 for breast cancer, our total dose equivalent in 2 Gy (EQD2) fraction is 49.09 Gy (≈50 Gy).

The treatment was delivered to the chest wall by two opposed tangential fields. Supraclavicular area and axilla were treated with a direct field using same fractionation schedule. Several weekly clinical consultation and assessment reports during radiotherapy were retrieved from...
the patients’ case notes. Radiotherapy treatment toxicities were evaluated according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Effect (CTCAE) scale. After treatment, the patients’ 3-monthly follow-up records for the first year, and 6-monthly follow-up records for the second year were evaluated. Treatment outcome and toxicities were sorted out and documented.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). Institutional ethical clearance was sought from the Ethical Committee of UDUTH, Sokoto, Nigeria (UDUTH/HREC/2020/No. 975). Permission to conduct the study was obtained from the management of the hospital. Individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived due to the retrospective nature of the study.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25 software (SPSS, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive methods were used to present the patients’ demographic data and disease characteristics using charts and frequency distribution tables. The disease free survival was calculated from the date of first presentation in the clinic with histological diagnosis of breast cancer to the first clinical evidence of cancer recurrence or death. The 2-year overall survival was calculated as those that were alive at 2-year follow up for those that came for the follow up or those we called and we got confirmation that they were alive at 2 years. Follow up beyond 2 years was very poor with up to 54% of the patients defaulting, hence the choice of 2-year-survival as end point in this study. Survival rates were calculated using Kaplan-Meier estimates.

Results

Patient's characteristics

Eighty-three elderly breast cancer cases that fulfilled the inclusion criteria were recruited into the study. The ages of the patients ranged from 60–80 years with a mean age of 66.08±4.8 years. All the patients had mastectomy. Fifty-eight (69.9%) of the 83 patients had the disease on the right breast while 25 (30.1%) had it on the left. The comorbidities observed among the patients were hypertensive heart diseases 18 (21.7%), diabetes mellitus 9 (10.8%) and osteoarticular diseases 38 (45.8%).

The histological characteristics of the breast cancer cases are as presented in Table 1. The entire population of patients presented at either stage II or III with 27 (32.5%) and 56 (67.5%) patients respectively. Only 4 (4.8%) out of all the patients had Her2neu over expression. One (1.2%) presented with triple negative (ER-ve, PR-ve and Her2neu-ve) disease. Four (4.8%) of the patients were referred to the center without immunohistochemistry report. Most patients 67 (80.7%); 41 (58.6%) were on hormone therapy 70 (84.3%); 41 (58.6%) were on hormone therapy 70 (84.3%).

Table 1 Histological characteristics of the breast cancer cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormone receptor status (HR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR positive (ER+ and/or PR+)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>81.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR negative</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2neu overexpression</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple negative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBR grading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>63.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR, hormonal receptor; ER, estrogen receptor; PgR, progesterone receptor; SBR, Scarff-Bloom-Richardson grading.

Treatement modalities

All the patients enrolled in this study had mastectomy as a form of surgery. Thirty-two (38.6%) of them had upfront mastectomy, while 51 (61.4%) had neoadjuvant chemotherapy before surgery. Among the 51 (61.4%) patients that had neoadjuvant chemotherapy, 34 (66.7%) received TAC (taxane, adriamycin and cyclophosphamide) three courses, followed by adjuvant chemotherapy with another 3 courses of the same regime. Nine (17.6%) AC-T (adriamycin, cyclophosphamide, taxanes) protocol, 7 (13.7%) FAC (5-flouracil, adriamycin cyclophosphamide) and 1 (2.0%) EC (epirubicin, cyclophosphamide). Among those on hormone therapy 70 (84.3%); 41 (58.6%) were on...
aromatase inhibitor, 24 (34.3%) on tamoxifen and 5 (7.1%) were not on any hormone therapy. Table 2 shows the radiotherapy modalities used among the study population. Lymph nodes irradiation was done in 71 patients (85.5%); 40 (56.3%) to the axilla, 31 (43.7%) to the supraclavicular region.

**Toxicities**

Table 3 shows the toxicity rates during treatment and follow up. Skin erythema was observed in almost all the patients 78 (94%) that received radiotherapy. Half of the patients 42 (50.6%) had dry skin desquamation but no wet desquamation was recorded. Grade 1 nausea and vomiting was recorded in 37 (44.6%) patients, while only 16 (19.2%) had grade 2 nausea and vomiting.

**Disease recurrence**

After a median follow-up of 24 months duration, 3 local recurrences occurred; 2 (2.4%) patients developed chest wall recurrence and 1 patient (1.2%) had axillary nodal recurrence. The 2-year overall local recurrence rate was 3.6%. Only 1 (1.2%) patient had lung metastasis. Two-year distant metastasis rate was 1.2%.

**Survival and death rates**

The 2-year overall survival pattern of the patients is presented in Figure 1. Eighty (96.4%) were disease free at 24 months. Three deaths were recorded. The mean survival was 102.7 weeks (23.6 months) (95% CI, 101–104) and the overall survival rate was 90.0%.

**Discussion**

Elderly breast cancer patients experienced difficulties in accessing radiotherapy due to logistic and concomitant comorbidities. This problem is more grievous in Africa especially Nigeria where there are few radiotherapy machines, with 1 machine per 19,000,000 population as against the International Atomic Energy Agency recommendation of 1 mega voltage machine per 250,000 population (18-21).

The mean age of the study population was 66.08±4.8, with an age range of 60–80 years. A study conducted in France reported a similar finding with a mean age of 69 years and an age range of 53–86 years. Also a study in Genoa, Italy reported a median age of 81 years with a somewhat similar age range of 59–91 years (22,23). A study conducted in North America by Khan et al., also reported a median age of 54 years (24).

A 10-year multi-center study in Nigeria reported that 62% of patients treated with post mastectomy radiotherapy were treated with various hypofractionation regimens in order to improve access and get more patients treated with radiotherapy. The most common hypofractionation regimen practiced in the country was 45 Gy in 18 fractions over 3.5 weeks (21).

Other hypofractionation schedules used in the country includes; 45 Gy in 15 fractions and 50 Gy in 20 fractions. The study also showed that most (61.8%) of breast cancer patients in Nigeria were irradiated using hypofractionation schedule. Out of the 8 radiotherapy centers in the country, Lagos University Teaching Hospital in South western Nigeria and University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital Enugu, South eastern Nigeria practiced hypofractionation (45 Gy in 18 fractions) in all the patient treated within the

### Table 2 Radiotherapy modalities used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiotherapy site</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chest wall</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNRT (n=71)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axillary nodes</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supraclavicular nodes</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>43.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Shows toxicities recorded according to Common Terminology Criteria for Solid Tumor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>78 (94%)</td>
<td>42 (50.6%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea/vomiting</td>
<td>37 (44.6%)</td>
<td>16 (19.2%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
study period (21). In EKO hospital, a private radiotherapy center also in Lagos treated 13.5% of their patients using hypofractionation (50 Gy in 20 fractions). University College Hospital Ibadan in South Western Nigeria, treated 82.6% of their patients using same hypofractionation schedule. Other centers in the country used conventional fractionation in majority if not all of their patients: Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital in North western Nigeria 98.3%, National hospital Abuja 98.7%, Usman Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital Sokoto 99.6% and all the patients treated in University of Benin Teaching Hospital Benin, South-South, Nigeria (21). In Nigeria, there is presently no national consensus guideline adopted for radiotherapy treatment. However, the Association of Radiation and Clinical Oncologist of Nigeria are currently working on one. The practice of radiotherapy fractionation described above cut across both the young and elderly breast cancer patients with no special consideration given to the elderly because of their peculiarity (21). Canadian trial used 42.5 Gy in 16 fractions over 22 days with favorable outcome (25). The START A (Standardization of Breast Radiotherapy) from the UK trial has shown that 41.6 Gy/13 fractions or 39 Gy/13 fractions are similar to the control regimen of 50 Gy/25 fractions in terms of local-regional tumor control and late normal tissue effects, a result consistent with the results of START trial B which has shown that a radiation schedule of 40 Gy/15 fractions offers equivalent results to the standard schedule of 50 Gy/25 fractions (25-28).

To further simplify treatment in elderly breast cancer patients, a similar schedule of 42 or 45 Gy in 14 or 15 fractions over 4–5 weeks both after breast conserving surgery and mastectomy was used and presently, French guideline adapted the regimen for post mastectomy women (29). In Thailand Asia, 42.5 Gy in 16 fractions over 22 days was used with favorable outcome (30).

In this study, tolerance to hypofractionated schedule was good as most of the toxicities recorded were either grade 1 or grade 2 and therefore acceptable. Skin erythema was recorded in almost all the patient 78 (94%). Half of the patients 42 (50.6%) had dry skin desquamation but no wet desquamation was recorded. This is in consonant with TomoBreast trial comparing conventional versus hypofractionated radiotherapy which reported a reduction in Skin toxicity of grade ≥1 at 2 years of 60% in conventional vs. 30% in in hypofractionated arm (31). Another long term study conducted in 18 centers in United Kingdom called Fast trial assessed breast changes comparing conventional radiotherapy to two shorter radiotherapy regimens (30 Gy in 5 weekly fractions and 28.5 Gy in 5 weekly fractions). The result of the study indicated that 1 weekly hypofractionation had similar tissue effect compared with conventional radiotherapy at 2 and 10 years follow up (32). Grade 1 nausea and vomiting was recorded in 37 (44.6%) patients, while only 16 (19.2%) had grade 2 nausea and vomiting. This nausea and vomiting was probably from the medications they were on as in most of them the complaint started before radiotherapy. Previous literature checks did not report any case of nausea and vomiting as side effect in their studies.

Cardiac and pulmonary toxicities were not recorded in any patient that received the treatment. This is in line with what Doré et al. reported in Europe in a study of 205 elderly breast cancer patients with no presentation of pulmonary or cardiac toxicities (23). TomoBreast trial also aligned with our findings where they reported that heart function showed no significant difference for left ventricular ejection fraction at 2 years, (Conventional 4.8% vs. Tomotherapy 4.6%). Pulmonary function tests at 2 years showed grade ≥1 decline of FEV1 in 21% of conventional arm, vs. 15% of hypofractionated arm (30). Contrary to our finding, START trials reported up to 1.4% symptomatic pulmonary fibrosis, 2% ischemic heart disease and 1.3–1.5% rib fracture (26-28). However, we intend to continue following up the patient for any pulmonary, cardiac or other complications that may arise. Two patients presented to the clinic with lymphedema even before radiotherapy and hence, not included among
Lymph nodes irradiation was done in 71 patients (85.5%); 40 (56.3%) to the axilla, 31 (43.7%) to the supraclavicular region without any significant increase in toxicities. Dore et al. in their study reported lymph nodes irradiation in 4, 11 and 31 percent for axillary, internal mammary chain and supraclavicular region respectively without any increase in toxicities (23). TomoBreast trial also treated lymph nodes basins in those patients with node positive disease with no any increase in toxicities (31). Earlier studies like START A, B and Canadian studies were silent on lymph node irradiation (25-27).

Guenzi et al., in a study on hypofractionation from Kawasaki Medical School in Japan, concluded that shorter fractionation of radiotherapy following Breast conserving surgery has acceptable acute morbidity and can obtain a reasonably good cosmetic outcome (22). Other studies, three of which were clinical trials reported acceptable side effects in both breast conserving surgery and mastectomy with hypofractionation schedules (22,25,26).

Contrary to our findings, Stoll et al. from Melbourne reported an unacceptable neuropathy rate of 73% in 1966 using 4 MeV photon to a total of 63 Gy (33). A study in Sweden among breast cancer patients treated with CO-60 machine to a total dose of 44 Gy in 11 fractions also reported a poor result with a large number presenting with fibrosis and neuropathy similar to the earlier study. Although, both studies used high doses of 114 Gy and 76 Gy using equivalent total dose of 2 Gy (EQD2) for Australian and Swedish study respectively (34).

After a median follow-up period of 24 months duration, 3 local recurrences occurred; 2 (2.4%) patients developed chest wall recurrence and 1 patient (1.2%) had axillary nodal recurrence. The 2-year overall local recurrence rate was 3.6%. Only 1 (1.2%) patient had lung metastasis (2-year distant recurrence rate was 1.2%). Previous studies from START A, START B and Cutuli et al. reported similar good outcomes with local recurrence rates of 3.2%, 2% and 3.7% respectively (5,25,27). A study in Thailand with a follow-up period of 39 months also reported a good local control rate of 86% in elderly breast cancer women that were treated with hypofractionation regime (30). Lee et al. also reported in their study that elderly women treated with PMRT had significantly lower LRR compared with women treated without PMRT (16% vs. 28%, \( P=0.03 \)) (35).

The mean survival in this study was 102.7 weeks (23.6 months) (95% CI, 101–104) and the overall 2-year survival rates was 90.0%. At 24 months follow up, 3 deaths were recorded. Dore et al. and Cutuli et al. in a study with a long follow up of ≥5 years reported a similar good survival with death rates of 37% and 33% respectively due to breast cancer (5,23). Lee et al. also reported a good survival outcome in elderly women that received post mastectomy radiotherapy (35).

Conclusions

This study shows that hypofractionated radiotherapy is well tolerated in elderly breast cancer patients with a favorable outcome. This therefore seems to be an alternative to the long conventional fractionation schedules in breast cancer women.
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