Identification of \textit{CDK1} as a candidate marker in cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma by integrated bioinformatics analysis
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**Background:** Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (cSCC) is a relatively common cancer that accounts for nearly 50% of non-melanoma skin cancer cases. However, the genotypes that are linked with poor prognosis and/or high relapse rates and pathogenic mechanisms of cSCC are not fully understood. To address these points, three gene expression datasets were analyzed to identify candidate biomarker genes in cSCC.

**Methods:** The GSE117247, GSE32979, and GSE98767 datasets comprising a total of 32 cSCC samples and 31 normal skin tissue samples were obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus database. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified and underwent pathway enrichment analyses with the Gene Ontology and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG). A putative DEG protein–protein interaction (PPI) network was also established that included hub genes. The expression of CDK1, MAD2L1, BUB1 ans CDC20 were examined in the study.

**Results:** A total of 335 genes were identified, encompassing 219 found to be upregulated and 116 genes that were downregulated in cSCC, compared to normal tissue. Enriched functions of these DEGs were associated with Ephrin receptor signaling and cell division; cytosol, membrane, and extracellular exosomes; ATP-, poly(A) RNA-, and identical protein binding. We also established a PPI network comprising 332 nodes and identified KIF2C, CDC42, AURKA, MAD2L1, MYC, CDK1, FEN1, H2AFZ, BUB1, BUB1B, CKS2, CDC20, CCT2, ACTR2, ACTB, MAPK14, and HDAC1 as candidate hub genes. The expression of CDK1 are significantly higher in the cSCC tissues than that in normal skin.

**Conclusions:** The DEGs identified in this study are potential therapeutic targets and biomarkers for cSCC. CDK1 is a gene closely related to the occurrence and development of cSCC, which may play an important role. Bioinformatics analysis shows that it is involved in the important pathway of the pathogenesis of cSCC, and may be recognized and applied as a new biomarker in the future diagnosis and treatment of cSCC.
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Introduction
Cancer is a major threat to human health and a global economic burden. The economic impact associated with anti-cancer therapies can largely affect treatment access and continuity, increasing the likelihood of poor prognoses (1). Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (cSCC) is a relatively common cancer that accounts for nearly 50% of non-melanoma skin cancer cases (2). In addition to environmental exposure, a variety factors such as aging, human papilloma virus infection, immunosuppression, genetic factors and in particular, ultraviolet radiation are all associated with increased cSCC risk. At present the preferred treatment of cSCC is radical resection. Although most cSCC patients who undergo curative surgery exhibit favorable prognoses, a minority develop metastasis or recurrence that is potentially fatal. For patients with advanced cSCC, due to the lack of large-scale clinical trials, clinicians have to rely on the efficacy evidence of other tumor types (such as head and neck mucosal squamous cell carcinoma) to guide treatment plan. Systemic therapy, like platinum chemotherapy, were used in advanced cSCC patients population (3,4). Genetic alterations have shown to play a key role in the resistance to anticancer drugs in oncology patients, demonstrating an urgent need to identify key genes that can serve as therapeutic targets and biomarkers for monitoring the response to treatment (5). Recently, EGFR inhibitors, cemiplimab and pembrolizumab which aim at biomarkers were used for patients with advanced cSCC.

The development of microarray and high-throughput sequencing technologies has facilitated the identification of biomarkers related to tumor occurrence and progression (6-8). Unlike head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, relatively few marker genes have been identified in cSCC (9,10).

In recent research, Wei et al. (11) suggested that EGR3 had the potential to help in the diagnosis and predictive treatments of CSCC by bioinformatics, but the samples in the study are not from the same organization or the same GEO profiles. To address this deficiency, bioinformatic analysis was performed in our study for providing more reliable research evidence for the early diagnosis and prevention of cSCC the development and progression. In our study, we used the same tissue-derived specimens, and compare the different genes in the same GEO profile which helped to avoid partial bias. In addition, we validated the results of bioinformatics in order to enhance the reliability of the study.

We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-2945).

Methods
Microarray analysis and identification of DEGs
The three microarray datasets of primary cSCC and normal tissues (GSE117247, GSE32979, and GSE98767) were obtained from the NCBI GEO database. The GSE117247 data was based on the Affymetrix Human Genome U95 v2 array (GPL8300 platform), derived from eight primary cSCC and nine normal skin samples. The GSE32979 microarray data were obtained using the Illumina Human-6 v2.0 expression bead chip (GPL6102 platform) from 15 cSCC and 13 normal skin samples. GSE98767 data were obtained using the Illumina Human HT-12 v4.0 expression bead chip (GPL10558 platform) from nine primary cSCC and nine normal tissue samples. The integration and analysis of all three datasets was performed with GEO2R, a web-based analysis tool [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r/?acc=GSE98767], and saved.. Genes differentially expressed between cSCC and normal tissues were screened according to a standard P value of <0.05, and fold change (FC) in gene expression >0 (logFC1 >0). The DEGs were categorised into Venn diagrams using a web-based application (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/). The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses
The online gene function annotation tool, Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID), (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/), was used to analyze the functions and pathway enrichment of candidate DEGs. The cut-off for calculating the degree of enrichment was P<0.05.

PPI networks, clustering, and identification of hub genes
Proteins corresponding to DEGs and a PPI network diagram were obtained with STRING (https://string-db.org), an online tool for identifying functional protein association networks. Candidate genes were evaluated with the Cytoscape (Version 3.7.2) software to analyze
interactions between genes. All analyzed genes and modules of PPI network underwent further screening with the MCODE plug-in [degree cut off =2, node score cut off =0.2, k-core =2, max. Depth = 100].

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with the paired-samples t test using Prism 6 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA). P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis

All samples (including normal tissues adjacent to carcinoma and cSCC tissues) obtained from the 20 cSCC patients were fixed in 10% formalin for 24 h at room temperature and embedded in paraffin. The paraffin-embedded tissues were serially cut into 5μm sections and heated in an oven at 60 °C for 2 h. The tissue sections were dewaxed, antigen-repaired according to high temperature and high pressure. After antigen repair, the sections were rinsed with PBS for 3 times, and incubated with Cdc2 p34 (200 μg/mL; 1:200; cat. no. sc-54; Santa Cruz), BUB1(B-3) (200 μg/mL; 1:200; cat. no. sc-365685; Santa Cruz), MAD2(C-10) (200 μg/mL; 1:200; cat. no. sc-374131; Santa Cruz) for 18 h at 4 ℃, respectively. The sections in incubation box was rinsed with PBS 3 times for 5 minutes. The excess PBS was removed, and the m-IgGκ BP-HRP (cat. no. sc-516102, Santa Cruz) was added before incubation at 37 ℃ for 30 min. And then the sections were rinsed with PBS for 3 times. DAB Color-substrate solution (cat. no. K5007; DAKO) was added for 3–5 min, followed by rinsing with running water for 5–10 min to stop the color development. Then, the tissue sections were counterstained with hematoxylin for 1 min and differentiated with 0.5% hydrochloric alcohol for 2 seconds. The sections were dehydrated and mounted for microscopic examination at room temperature. The signal was visualized with Light microscopy (Olympus BX43; Olympus Corporation) (magnification, ×200) was performed, and the presence of brown granules in the cytoplasm was considered a positive signal.

Results

Identification of DEGs in cSCC

Gene expression profiles of 32 cSCC and 31 normal skin tissue samples were compared in this study. GEO2R analysis yielded 2749, 8062, and 8472 identified DEGs in GSE117247, GSE32979, GSE98767 datasets, respectively. Among the 756 genes co-expressed across the three datasets, 219 were shown to be upregulated (logFC >0) and 116 were downregulated (logFC <0) in cSCC compared to normal tissue (Figure 1 and Table 1).

Analysis of GO terms for DEGs in cSCC

GO analysis of the DEGs was undertaken using P<0.05 as the cut off. Under the biological process (BP) domain, DEGs were mainly enriched in the Ephrin receptor signaling pathway and cell division. The top three processes under Cellular Components (CC) domain were cytosol, membrane, and extracellular exosome. Protein-, ATP-, poly(A) RNA-, and identical protein binding were the highest ranked processes under the Molecular function (MF) domain (Figure 2).

KEGG pathway enrichment analysis

Signaling pathways associated with the DEGs were examined, with P<0.05 as the cut off. Identified candidate pathways, linked to DEGs were shown to be involved in various BPs, including infection (epithelial cell signaling in Helicobacter pylori infection, pathogenic escherichia coli infection, hepatitis C), cell proliferation and death (cell cycle, oocyte meiosis), immunity (Gamma R-mediated phagocytosis), and metabolism (lipolysis regulation in adipocytes) (Table 2). The data collectively suggests that the main pathways involved in the development of cSCC are
Table 1 Genes differentially expressed between cSCC and normal tissue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEGs</th>
<th>Gene names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Down-regulated genes</strong></td>
<td>ABR, MAOA, GNA11, ARAP1, KRT15, ITSN1, DCT, KCTD2, CRTAP, ABCA6, LGLAL3, LPCAT4, KIAA0895, MAN2B2, MBP, CD19, CTIF, ESRRG, CNGA1, CHKB, MAPRE3, GCHFR, ATG9A, CAMK2G, LSS, ID4, IQGAP1, GSTM3, ACVR1B, AMT, AHNAK2, CDK10, ITPR3, GHR, DNM1, ALDH6A1, Dixdc1, Arhgap12, DAPPH2, Cryab, Gsn, Hoxa10, Slit3, ITPR2, Gosr1, Ezh1, Cic, Htt, Fam172A, Mon2, Armcx6, Arhgef10, Atg14, B3gat3, Ahdc1, Mtf1, Gabbr1, Fyn, Bard1, Cbkx7, Cybsr3, Ica1, Lamb2, Nbas, Fapb7, Arhgef7, Man2a2, Mau2, Ddx42, Fbln1, Bmp4, Esd, Lamas, Tspoap1, EcM2, Lyrm9, Actr1b, Sfl2, Pab1, Fam189a2, Cadm1, Dnajb12, Hoxc6, DkFzp586i1420, Ercc2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Up-regulated genes</strong></td>
<td>ATP5f1, Lman1, Lmnb2, Fam98a, Foxm1, Cd1k, Gclm, Abcf2, Gstp1, Kif1f4, Mad2l1, Eif4a3, Got2, Manf, Eif4e2, C1qbp, Casp1, Gch1, Melk, Gpx2, Ndc80, Ap2s1, Myc, Ccna2, Isca1, Alas1, Crlf3, Ampd3, Cks2, Bcr, Ccnb2, Mphosphp6, Hist1h2ac, Ddx21, Azin1, Cbx3, Nck1, Pudp, Cstb, Gtf2e2, Ccnf, Hdac1, Cnih1, Blzf1, Eif3i, Casp4, Dylnt3, Csnk2a1, Tymp, Ablim1, Actr2, Atox1, Bid, Coxs5, Atpevod1, Gna13, Fgrerb2, Abgc1, Lypla1, Napg, Hmxo2, Atpevob, Akirin2, Kif11, Cct2, Arf4, Med8, Dsc2, Mid1, Dbf4, Aarchy, Mapk14, Arpp19, Mpz1l, Norp1, Cap1, Cdc42, Ereg, Cdc20, Gart, Dnajb6, H2afz, Ccnt2, Bub1, Dfn5, Hnrrnpc, Dusp5, Mrp3l, Manp6, Eif5, Tpgs2, Dph2, Fen1, Hprt1, Hifa1, Actb, Cacybp, Csrp2, Cux1, Aim1, Hdgif, Atpe1b3, E2f3, Gars, H2afy, Hsod17b2, Cstf1, Baki, Pum3, Kpn2a, Mrps12, Acot7, Kif2a, Gla, Arpc3, Apecob5, Aurka, Apob1, C6orf62, Fam189b, Ifi16, Cebpd, Ddx39a, Ercc1, Dusp14, Eif2f2, Arfggfp2, Ube2k, Arpc1a, Hist1h2be, Fgfbp1, Cd3, E2f4, Copb2, Ggh, Hmxo1, Ctns, Gadd45a, Gtfs2a, Cope, Fscn1, Cfl1, Gbp1, Etsf1, Acrv1, Eif4ebp1, Gspt1, Ggct, Hist1h2bk, Dlgap5, Eno1, Gosr2, Adam17, Eif4h, Ctsa, Apepx2, Dnm1l, Cdc42ep1, Kiaa0101, Bcl10, Cda, Ephpb2, Ckap4, Afgf1, Hmgb3, Homer3, Gk, Asc1c3, Eph2a, Cypif1, Dynlt1, Gn5, Mthfd2, Csk, Morf4l2, Bzw1, Kynu, Etv4, Ccl20, Dnaja1, Kif2c, Cybs61d2, Adaman1, Gna15, Clca2, Ak2, Ilf3, Csnk1a1, Cncn, Dctn6, Bdh1, Cc24, Cstf2, Dnmt1, Capr1in1, Homer1, Hk2, Ncobp1, Adk, Ca2, Grn, Fgrf1op, Ftsj1, Flad1, Dsg3, Bub1b, Fmo2, Alg3, Exog, Atpe12a, Gipc1, Atpev6d1, G2f4g2, Atpea2, Cask, Atpev6o1e1, Amd1, Ctsc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cSCC, Cutaneous Squamous Cell Carcinoma; DEGs, Differentially Expressed Genes.

those associated with metabolism.

**PPI network and modular analyses**

An integrated analysis of the 335 candidate genes was performed using the STRING database, generating a PPI network diagram which excluded 332 nodes and 1,307 edges. Pathway analyses using GO and KEGG (Figure 3) revealed that the DEGs were mainly enriched in pathways related to Epithelial cell signaling in H. pylori infection and the cell cycle. Analysis of the DEGs with Cytoscape software and the MCODE plug-in was performed. The top two functional modules are shown in Figure 4. Module 1 exhibited 20 nodes and 185 edges, whilst Module 2 showed 55 nodes and 391 edges. GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses of the DEGs in both modules displayed 20 upregulated and no downregulated genes in Module 1 (Figure 4A). However, Module 2 (Figure 4B), contained 47 upregulated genes, and 8 that were downregulated. The genes in both modules were mainly related to cell cycle functions (Table 3).

We also analyzed the top 30 hub genes with the Betweenness, MCC, MNC, Degree, EPC, BottleNeck, EcCentricity, Closeness, Radiality, and stress methods. The 17 hub genes identified by these nine statistical methods were Kif2c, Cdc42, Aurka, Mad2l1, Myc, Cd1k, Fen1, H2afz, Bub1, Bub1b, Cks2, Cdc20, Cct2, Actr2, Actb, Mapk14, and HDAC1. These DEGs are critically involved in the pathogenesis and development of cSCC.

**Expression of CDK1 in cSCC**

Based on the above results, CDK1 expression in cSCC was analyzed in tumor and normal tissues via IHC analysis. The results are shown in Figure 5A,B, where cSCC tissue is indicated by the presence of positively stained brown nuclei. CDK1 expression was higher in cSCC compared to normal skin tissue (Figure 5C,D), consistent with results obtained by integrating the GEO profiles. These results suggested that CDK1 may serve as a new biomarker for the diagnosis and prognosis of cSCC. The expression of MAD2L1 and BUB1
Figure 2 GO enrichment of DEGs. Detailed explanation: Go enrichment of DEGs in 3 functional groups: molecular function (blue), cellular components (orange) or biological processes (grey). GO, Gene Ontology; DEGs, differentially expressed genes.

Table 2 Functional enrichment analysis of signaling pathways associated with differentially expressed genes in cSCC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>Gene count</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell cycle</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.29E-03</td>
<td>MAD2L1, BUB1, BUB1B, CDC20, CDK1, E2F3, CCNB2, HDAC1, DBF4, CCNA2, GADD45A, MYC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial cell signaling in Helicobacter pylori infection</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.97E-03</td>
<td>CDC42, ATP6V0E1, ADAM10, MAPK14, ADAM17, ATP6V0D1, CSK, ATP6V1D, ATP6V0B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oocyte meiosis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.29E-02</td>
<td>CDK1, MAD2L1, CCNB2, CAMK2G, BUB1, CDC20, AURKA, ITPR3, ITPR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fc gamma R-mediated phagocytosis</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.29E-02</td>
<td>ARPC1A, CDC42, DNM1L, ARPC3, FCGR2B, GSN, CFL1, DNM1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogenic Escherichia coli infection</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.30E-02</td>
<td>ARPC1A, ACTB, CDC42, ARPC3, FYN, NCK1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cSCC, cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma.

protein in cSCC showed no significant difference compared with that in normal tissues.

Discussion

In this study, we used integrated bioinformatics analysis to screen 756 genes in three GEO expression profiles, including 219 that were shown to be upregulated and 116 that were downregulated in cSCC, compared to normal tissue. In the BP domain of the GO analysis, most DEGs were enriched in Ephrin receptor signaling and cell division, which have been implicated in angiogenesis, stem
Figure 3 KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs. Detailed explanation: the DEGs were mainly enriched in Epithelial cell signaling in Helicobacter pylori infection and Cell cycle pathways. KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; DEGs, differentially expressed genes.

Figure 4 Hub genes in the PPI network. Detailed explanation: Hub genes in Module 1 (A) and Module 2 (B). Specific PPIs are represented as lines. PPI, protein-protein interaction.

Cell differentiation, and cancer. Cytosol and protein binding were enriched in the CC and MF domains, respectively. KEGG analysis also revealed Epithelial cell signaling in H. pylori infection, cell cycle, and oocyte meiosis as the highest ranking pathways associated with the DEGs. In the PPI network, two co-expression clusters analyzed with Cytoscape MCODE were found to comprise 20 and 55 genes, respectively. Cell division was the most significant BP in Modules 1 and 2.

Most cancers are associated with genetic mutations involved in cell cycle regulation or aberrant activities of cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK), cyclins, and CDK
Table 3 GO and pathway enrichment analysis of module 1 and 2 genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Module 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0051301 (Biological process)</td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.7×10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0000776 (Cellular component)</td>
<td>Kinetochore</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.3×10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0019901 (Molecular function)</td>
<td>Protein kinase binding</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.8×10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsa:04110 (KEGG pathway)</td>
<td>Cell cycle</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.0×10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0051301 (Biological process)</td>
<td>Cell division</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.7×10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0005829 (Cellular component)</td>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.2×10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0005515 (Molecular function)</td>
<td>Protein binding</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5.0×10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsa:04110 (KEGG pathway)</td>
<td>Cell cycle</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.2×10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GO, Gene Ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

Figure 5 CDK1 expression in skin and cSCC. Immunohistochemical staining of CDK1 in cSCC tissues (A,B) and normal samples (C,D). cSCC, cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma.

inhibitors (8-10), which are normally required in regulating cell growth. CDK1 encodes a Ser/Thr kinase that plays an essential part in the eukaryotic cell cycle and was widely found to be overexpressed in oral SCC (13), liver cell carcinoma (14), epithelial ovarian cancer (15), and breast cancer (15). In contrast, gene silencing of CDK1 has exhibited therapeutic potential in epithelial ovarian cancer (16), breast cancer (17), and malignant pleural mesothelioma (18).

Mitotic arrest defect 2 ligand 1 (MAD2L1) encodes a cell cycle checkpoint protein that modulates anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) to ensure the correct arrangement of chromosomes on the metaphase plate during cell division (19). MAD2L1 expression has
recently been linked to tumor stage and prognosis (20). Additionally, high expression of MAD2L1 has been observed in breast cancer (21), gastric cancer (22), and other malignancies.

Mutation of Cell Division Cycle protein (CDC)20 leads to aberrant mitotic arrest and chromosome segregation (23). The activation of APC/C-CDC20 enhances the sensitivity of cancer cells to radiotherapy and chemotherapy (24,25). CDC20 overexpression was recently reported in gastric cancer, colorectal cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, cervical cancer, and bladder cancer. Moreover, CD20 overexpression was highly correlated with poor prognoses (26-29). Conversely, downregulation of CDC20 slowed growth and colony formation in small and non-small cell lung carcinoma cells (30).

The budding uninhibited by benzimidazoles 1 homolog beta (BUB1B) gene encodes a kinase that is associated with cell cycle checkpoints and chromosome segregation (31). BUB1B binds to CDC20 during the G2 phase of mitosis to inhibit APC/C activity and cell cycle progression (32). The upregulated BUB1B level was found to be highly correlated with unfavorable prognosis in glioblastoma (33) and hepatocellular carcinoma (34). Furthermore, its overexpression is thought to enhance the resistance of glioblastoma to radiotherapy (35).

To date, few studies have undertaken detailed investigations into the precise role of CDK1 in the development and progression of cSCC. Our findings demonstrate that CDK1 was highly expressed in cSCC, providing a sound rationale for future studies in rapidly establishing the role and mechanism of CDK1 in cSCC. The development of cSCC is complex, involving many histopathological and molecular changes. Therefore, the characterization of cSCC will require additional investigations beyond genetic profiling. However, the analysis of gene expression may serve as a predictive tool in tumor development and progression, and may aid in identifying effective therapies in cSCC.

In summary, our findings have led to a better understanding of the mechanism, potential MFs and characteristics of cSCC via gene expression profiling and bioinformatics analysis. These candidate genes can serve as biomarkers for the diagnosis, treatment and evaluation of cSCC.

Conclusions

It is worth noting that the samples analyzed in this study originated from highly heterogeneous patient populations, which may have affected the gene expression profiles. Nonetheless, 335 candidate DEGs were obtained by GEO datasets and bioinformatics analysis. A total of 17 hub genes were found to be mainly related to the epithelial signal pathway, cell cycle and oocyte meiosis in cSCC and the high expression of CDK1 in cSCC samples was verified. Most importantly, these findings can improve our understanding of the causes and processes of cSCC. The identification of potential genes and pathways in cSCC could aid in identifying effective targeted therapies and contribute to its clinical management.
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