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Abstract: Tumors grow progressively when they escape from immune surveillance. Cancer progression is mainly driven by the expansion of tumor cells, but tumor microenvironment and anti-tumor immunity may also play a role. Ionizing radiation therapy (RT), either alone or in combination with additional immune stimulators, can render cancer cells visible to the immune system. In addition to the direct effects of radiation, the ensuing immune response promotes the expression of inflammatory and immunostimulatory mediators, which act on neighboring, non-irradiated, cells. Bystander effects induced by radiation are characterized by biological responses, which are observed in non-irradiated cells that are in the vicinity of irradiated cells. Bystander effects are mediated via cell-to-cell gap junctions or through secreted, diffusible signaling molecules into the local milieu. After treatment with localized radiation, systemic effects in non-irradiated area (out-of-field) may also occur. These effects are named abscopal effects and appear to be immune mediated, particularly by adaptive immunity. It has been suggested that a high single dose of RT may induce an immune response that leads to the priming of antigen-specific dendritic cells (DCs). The targeted intraoperative radiotherapy (TARGIT) method, using INTRABEAM\textsuperset{®}, could reduce tumor recurrence, modifying the wound microenvironment, and eradicating residual tumor cells when applied immediately after surgery procedure.
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Introduction

Ionizing radiation (IR) is used as a main treatment for many types of localized solid tumors where radiation therapy (RT) is considered the primary non-surgical modality in the curative treatment of cancer (1,2). Although chemotherapy (CT) and traditional fractionated radiation have been described as immunosuppressive (2), recent data suggests that RT can modulate anti-tumor immune responses (3), modifying tumor and its microenvironment (4).

Radiotherapy and the immune system modulation

Besides the direct effects of radiation in reducing viable cancer cells, RT may induce modifications on the local microenvironment that can affect tumor development (5). Most tumor cells do not express major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II. As a consequence, they cannot directly activate the specific CD4+ T cell-mediated tumor immunity, which is essential for the development of adaptive immune responses. Tumor cells develop multiple and complex mechanisms to fully escape immune surveillance. These cells can produce immunosuppressive cytokines and the recruitment of inhibitory and regulatory cell types, decrease expression of antigens, lose expression of MHC class I molecules, have an aberrant antigen processing, cause anergy or deletion of T cells, and generate the dysfunction...
of dendritic cells (DCs) (5-8). The interaction of all these factors could lead to cancer cells escaping the immune system (6).

It has been shown that RT may contribute to making tumors visible to the immune system (9-14). After RT treatment, there is an increase pool of peptides for antigen presentation displayed by MHC-I molecules (6). The tumor-associated derived antigens (TAAs) released to the tumor periphery can be captured by DCs. These DCs become active via toll-like receptors (TLRs) recognition, in which endogenous danger signals emitted by dying tumor cells are identified. The activation of DCs is characterized by the upregulation of cell surface molecules involved in antigen presentation and costimulation (e.g., CD80, CD86) and the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Thus, activated DCs migrate to secondary lymphoid organs where TAAs will be presented to CD4+ Th cells in the context of MHC-II. Active, effector, T cells may recirculate through the body and generate a tumor-specific immune response in distant areas. By means of this mechanism, adaptive immune responses may help to eradicate metastasis of tumors that do not express MHC-II. CD4+ T cells may help to kill tumor cells by several mechanisms. One such is enabling the development of tumor specific CD8+ T cells which recognize tumor peptides by MHC-I (Figure 1). CD8+ T cells, particularly Th1 cells, secrete interferon (IFN)-γ, which induces MHC-I expression in tumor cells. IFN-γ may also collaborate to control tumor growth by inhibiting

**Figure 1** Mechanism of removal tumor cells. In presence of appropriate “danger signals”, immature DCs become active and mature. DCs migrate to secondary lymphoid organs where the antigens, released by dying cells, are captured in the periphery, processed into peptides and presented to CD4+ Th cells in the context of MHC-II. Once activated, effector T cells may help to generate an effective immune response through the activation of cytotoxic CD8+ T cells that can eradicate tumor cells by recognizing peptides presented in the context of MHC-I. Abbreviations: DC, dendritic cell; HLA: human leukocyte antigen; MHC: major histocompatibility complex; RT: radiation therapy; TCR: T cell receptor; Th: T helper; TLR: Toll-like receptor.
angiogenesis. In addition, tumors treated with RT increase the IFN-γ-production, which in turn upregulates MHC-I expression. Despite the central role of CD4+ T cells on anti-tumor adaptive immunity, exogenous antigens such as TAAs, may be presented by DCs via cross-priming to CD8+ T cells in the context of MHC-I; this process could take place without a previous CD4+ T cell helping. This would support the hypothesis that radiation may enhance the tumor immunogenicity by promoting cross-priming and stimulating the effector phase of the anti-tumor immune response (1,10,15). In addition, RT can induce the secretion of a wide range of cytokines and other mediators by RT-targeted tumor cells and surrounding cells (such as endothelial cells of tumor stroma and infiltrating tumor cells).

**Tumor cells and tumor microenvironment**

The factors involved in non-targeted effects are likely to be multiple, and include cell-to-cell gap junctions, reactive oxygen species (ROS), reactive nitrogen species [e.g., nitric oxide synthase (iNOS)], cytokines and chemokines (16).

It is well known that IR has direct effects on DNA damage altering the phenotype of tumor cells (targeted effects) (4,17). Besides this, the effects of RT may also be detected in non-irradiated cells that are in the vicinity of irradiated cells. This phenomenon is called “bystander effect”, and it has been observed in a wide range of cell types and for several biological end points (DNA damage, genomic instability, oncogenic transformation and cell death) (18,19). Multiple studies have shown that IR also induces an effect on cells that are at a distance from the primary tumor. Since the 1950’s, it had been observed that tissues that were outside the irradiation area, responded as if they were being irradiated, however the cause was unknown. Over the last decade, it has been postulated that the effects induced on tumors treated with local irradiation are immune-mediated, and T-cells are required for distant effects. The effect in non-irradiated tissues located outside the radiation field is termed “abscopal effect” (3,9,15,17,20,21).

**Non-targeted effects: local (bystander effects)**

Radiation-induced bystander effect is a universal mode of intercellular communication and distant cell signaling that is not restricted to radiobiological processes (20). This phenomenon has been observed in numerous cell types (e.g., lymphocytes, endothelial cells, fibroblasts and tumor cells) and tissue models, as well as in vivo (animal models). These non-targeted effects in non-irradiated cells are mediated via cell-to-cell gap junctions and through mediators released from irradiated cells, especially cytokines and chemokines (22,23). At cellular levels, bystander effects include genomic instability and signaling effects that can lead to either cell activation or cell death, particularly by apoptosis (20,24). A common hallmark of bystander effects is that there is no clear dose-response relationship. The clinical response to RT improves with increased radiation doses, but reaches a plateau at relatively low doses (2,23,25). Bystander effects predominate with low-to-moderate doses of radiation, and little to no further increase is observed at higher doses of radiation (2). Moreover, it has been suggested that epigenetic changes mediated by microRNAs may act in the variability of bystander responses (19).

During the stress response induced by localized radiation, the cellular effects induced by this phenomenon can contribute to a type of cell death that is immunogenic, and involves changes in the cell surface composition and release soluble immunogenic signals to initiate an effective immune response (5,26). These non-targeted effects could be considered as the whole immunological response of tumor and normal tissues to RT-induced stress. Additionally, bystander effects have been documented in response to non-IR and CT, supporting the concept that it is a stress-related and generalized response strategy (2). Despite the fact that the mechanisms behind this phenomenon are still under discussion, it is considered that oxidative and inflammatory response may play a central role (27). More evidences suggest that bystander effects induced by radiation are, at least in part, immune mediated (19). Although bystander responses become dominant at low-to-moderate doses, it could have a significant role even after high doses are applied. A study from Fernandez-Palomo et al. (28), provided data about the presence of bystander effects in rats after high radiosurgical doses of synchrotron radiation. The authors suggested a difference between the bystander effects produced in tumor free-tissue and the tumor, the latter effect being higher. It is conceivable that bystander routes in vivo could be more complex than in cell cultures (28). Therefore, in vivo models allow a better way to represent non-targeted effects as the whole immunological mechanism of tumor and normal tissue, which could include both bystander and abscopal effects (2,28).

Some of the key pathways and mechanisms implicated in the bystander response are still being elucidated. Bystander effects have been found either in tumor and normal
cells, but not all cell types produce bystander signals and respond in the same way. In addition, cancer cell killing induced by radiation does not distinguish between cells more susceptible to the immune system versus cells that are indeed more resistant (6,15,29). Some biomarkers such as inflammatory factors, genomic instability, ROS and cytokines might be related to the bystander effects. More information is needed to identify the mediators and mechanisms implicated in the bystander effects. In addition it was suggested that the effect of some of these mediators may be beneficial or harmful for tumor development (29).

**Microenvironment**

RT modifies the phenotype of tumor cells, but it also has a significant impact in the local microenvironment. Non-targeted effects generated in response to radiation exposure are mediated by immune signaling-related mechanisms, affecting surrounding non-irradiated cells (4,5). In most of cancers, both in the tumor and its microenvironment, there is a balance between immune cells that mediate tissue destruction and immune cells that work to prevent that destruction (30). In addition to neoplastic cells, the microenvironment of solid tumors modified by radiation results in an increased vascular permeability, local inflammation, and altered cytokine production. Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) play a central role in the connection between inflammation and cancer. TAMs exert a variety of functions, including tumor progression, angiogenesis, matrix deposition, production of immunosuppressive cytokines, and repression of adaptive immunity which ultimately have an important impact on disease progression (5,30).

**Cytokines and chemokines**

It has been demonstrated that the immune system is an active participant in cancer initiation, progression and pathogenesis, exerting both pro- and anti-tumorigenic activities (5). RT has a significant effect on the modulation of immune responses, and this effect is largely due to the production of cytokines and chemokines both by the tumor cells and by the tumor stroma (13,22). Cytokines can be either immune stimulating, pro-inflammatory, such as interleukin (IL)-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, or IL-1, or immune suppressive, anti-inflammatory (e.g., IL-10).

Many cell types of the immune system produce cytokines and chemokines, including macrophages, lymphocytes and granulocytes. Nevertheless, other cells, not necessarily from the immune system, such as endothelial cells and fibroblasts, may also produce a vast array of cytokines and chemokines. Some cytokines and chemokines can not only display anti-tumor direct effects but can also influence chemotaxis and tumor infiltration by leukocytes, as well as suppress or stimulate the immune system activity. In addition several cytokines and chemokines can regulate the neovascularization process by inducing [e.g., monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) and IL-8] or inhibiting angiogenesis [e.g., IFN-γ-inducible protein 10 (IP-10) and monokine-induced by IFN-γ (MIG)] (31). In any event, depending on several factors (e.g., cytokine concentrations, presence of other modulating factors, microenvironment, and stages of cancer progression), a particular cytokine or chemokine may stimulate different responses and may mediate both acute and late tissue responses to IR (32,33). These molecules are able to act outside the tumor burden as well as systemic level (17,34,35).

IFN-γ, mainly produced by T helper (Th) 1, natural killer (NK), and natural killer T (NKT) cells is a potent pro-inflammatory cytokine, critical in tumor immunity. IFN-γ acts on macrophages and helps to eliminate pathogens. Initially, the IFN-γ amount released on the tumor area induces local chemokines production, which helps to recruit more cells of the innate immune system in the tumor. After RT, IFN-γ produced within the tumor microenvironment, also leads to infiltration of T-cells (9). IFN-γ enhances the cytotoxicity of macrophages and the maturation of DCs. It is thought that under radiation conditions, several IFN-γ-dependent mechanisms could enhance cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) trafficking, which facilitates the recognition of tumor cells through the upregulation of antigen presentation and MHC-I expression. The key role of IFN-γ in the anti-tumor response is highlighted by the fact that one of the mechanisms that allow tumors to escape from elimination by the immune response is the development of IFN-γ insensitivity. In fact, some human tumors naturally develop mutations in genes coding for IFN-γ signaling proteins as a mechanism to evade immunosurveillance (8,31,36-38). The IL-12/IFN-γ axis has been extensively reported to be implicated in tumor surveillance mechanisms. In fact, inborn errors of the IL-12/IFN-γ circuit may also predispose to both viral and non-viral-induced cancers in mouse models (39). Different strains of IFN-γ deficient mice differ in their susceptibility to spontaneous tumor development, and mutations in p53 increase the spectrum of tumors observed in IFN-γ insensitive mice (8,40). In the last years, several patients with deficiencies in components of the IL-12-IL-23/IFN-γ circuit where reported to suffer from cancers at young ages. These results are reminiscent of those observed in mice.
Moreover, the secretion of TGF-β may be inhibited by IFN-γ. Several cancer cells, infiltrating fibroblasts, DCs and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), can produce TGF-β. The balance between TGF-β and IL-6 plays an important role in the development of Th17 and regulatory T (Treg) cells. Treg cells are a distinct T cell subpopulation that is involved in mediating immunological self-tolerance and homeostasis (44). An overactive Treg cell function may contribute to the suppression of tumor immunity. In an anti-inflammatory milieu, with low or absence of IL-6 levels, TGF-β would promote the expansion of Treg population against Th17 cells (45). Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) are a population of immature myeloid cells and a source of TGF-β production, which promotes immune tolerance and contribute to angiogenesis and vasculogenesis, stimulating tumor invasion and metastasis (5,21).

On the other hand, TNF-α is a cytokine secreted during the initial phase of tumor response, and it has a strong inflammatory and pro-apoptotic activity (3,13). Many cell types may produce TNF-α molecules. This cytokine can inhibit tumor angiogenesis working together with RT. However, as TGF-β cytokine, TNF-α may have dual effects on tumor development: at low concentrations, TNF-α promotes tumor angiogenesis, tumor cell survival and metastasis, but at high levels it could have anti-tumorigenic effects (21).

The expression of certain cytokines, such as TNF-α, IL-1 and IL-6 has been shown to be increased after irradiation, and may be involved in non-targeted effects. In such a case, inflammation combined with RT could be beneficial (3). IL-6 is a pro-inflammatory cytokine, and it has been implicated in several types of cancer. It seems to be related with tumor grade and stage. In fact, IL-6 is an effector signal that activates nuclear factor (NF)-κB which is critical for cancer progression. It has been shown that IL-6 produced by the primary tumor, can act as a growth factor in the primary tumor, and also at distant metastatic sites (9,46).

IL-1β is an additional pro-inflammatory cytokine that induces proliferation or apoptosis depending on stimulus type and target cell stage. A natural competitive inhibitor of IL-1, the IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra), may regulate the inflammatory response by blocking the IL-1 receptor activation (13).

IL-10, an anti-inflammatory cytokine, is involved in the suppression of immune responses. Different tumor cells, including gastric carcinoma, melanoma and squamous cell carcinoma and other cells that take part in tumor microenvironment are an important source of IL-10 (21).
As discussed above, RT can modulate the cytokine production by tumor cells (20). Yamamoto et al. (47) observed that after irradiation, TILs had increased the production of several cytokines (IFN-γ, TGF-β, TNF-α and IL-12). On the contrary, the cytokine production of cells from oral squamous cell carcinoma, had largely decreased after RT. These observations suggest that radiation might modulate cytokine production in situ, and therefore, increasing the anti-tumor immune response (46).

Chemokines promote cell trafficking, particularly of leukocytes, but they also participate in local cellular activation and survival. Chemokines can also direct migration of non-immune cells, and can play a major role in invasion by cancer cells (23,24,48). Radiotherapy modulates chemokines, which in turn regulate the tumor microenvironment and its relation with the host immune system (48). IP-10 and MIG are known to exert potent antiangiogenic activities (14). These chemokines recruit T cells to sites of inflammation, providing protective anti-tumor responses. In fact, in humans, it has been shown that tumors with low T cells infiltration are associated with higher expression of angiogenic factors (14,31). Local inflammation induced by radiation enhances the permeability of local vasculature and it also increases the expression of the intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), E-selectin and vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1) on endothelial cells, facilitating tumor infiltration by immune cells (10,22,31,48,49).

**Non-targeted effects: systemic (abscopal effects)**

The term “abscopal” derives from the Latin prefix, (“Ab”: means, ‘away from’ and “scopus”: means ‘target’) was first proposed by Mole in 1953. This phenomenon can be described as a tumor response that occurs in non-irradiated cells at a distance from the irradiated site (50) [for a summary of the main characteristics of non-targeted effects (bystander and abscopal effects) induced by RT, see Table 1]. Multiple mechanisms have been proposed to cause the abscopal effects (10,15), such as the systemic secretion of specific cytokines and chemokines, a systemic immune response against local tumor antigens released, or local inflammation that can lead to a distant effect (35). In any case, the hypothesis that the abscopal effect is immune-mediated is becoming stronger. If the dose of radiation is sufficient to generate cell death, this can lead to the induction of the adaptive immune response (Figure 2). RT itself directly elicits an innate immune recognition of tumor, by releasing, “danger signals”. Thus, these signals are capable of increasing an immune-mediated cell death that promotes the uptake of circulating tumor antigens by DCs via cross-priming, and ultimately leads to activating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Bystander effects</th>
<th>Abscopal effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affected area</strong></td>
<td>Local tumor microenvironment</td>
<td>At a distant from the irradiated field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cells affected by non-targeted effects</td>
<td>Non-irradiated cells that are neighbor from irradiated</td>
<td>Non-irradiated cells that are at a distant from the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cells</td>
<td>irradiated field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesized biological mechanisms that cause</td>
<td>At least in part, immune-mediated-cell-to-cell gap</td>
<td>Immune-mediated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-targeted effects</td>
<td>junctions or through secreted, diffusible signaling</td>
<td>-Adaptive immunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>molecules</td>
<td>-Cytokine signaling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Cytokine signaling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Free radicals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Inflammatory mediators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose of radiation</td>
<td>Predominates at low-dose radiation</td>
<td>Predominates at high-dose radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-linear dose-response relationship</td>
<td>Dose-dependent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors that influence non-targeted response</td>
<td>Chronic inflammation</td>
<td>Chronic inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epigenetic factors</td>
<td>Intrinsic radiosensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-existing of micrometastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse effects</td>
<td>Genomic instability in normal tissues</td>
<td>Genomic instability in normal tissues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oncogenic transformation</td>
<td>Oncogenic transformation Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>malignancies in normal tissues (out-of-field)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2 Radiation therapy can render cancer cells visible to the immune system. Radiation therapy at local levels kills tumor cells, releasing cell components (such as antigens or peptides) that contribute to render cancer cells visible to the immune system. Activated DCs loaded with tumor derived-antigens migrate to secondary lymphoid organs where they will be presented to CD4+ Th cells in the context of MHC-II. Activated CD4+ T cells can generate a tumor-specific immune response and recirculate throughout the body, detecting any tumor cells, that is not being necessarily restricted to the primary tumor. Abbreviations: DC, dendritic cell; HLA: human leukocyte antigen; MHC: major histocompatibility complex; RT: radiation therapy; TCR: T cell receptor; Th: T helper.

Treatment (5,15). This would explain why radiation, administered as a single treatment, only results in a few clinically significant abscopal effects (51). Camphausen et al. (35), implicated p53 as a key mediator of the radiation-induced abscopal effect and suggested that it could not be tumor-specific. They found that, high-dose fractions of radiation administered in the normal leg of immunocompetent mice resulted in a reduction of a syngeneic tumor (lung carcinoma or fibrosarcoma) implanted in the dorsal midline. On the contrary, they did not observe an abscopal anti-tumor response in p53 knockout mice or in mice with p53 pharmacologically inhibited. The data provided so far, indicates that radiation can elicit complex responses on tissues, and these responses may have systemic effects, which also depend of immune stimulation and the tumor microenvironment composition (25). However, irradiation of primary tumors may enhance or suppress primary tumor growth and secondary malignancies (52). It has been reported an epidemiological study that evaluated the risk of secondary cancer in patients with a history of prostate...
cancer radiation. The authors observed an increase in rates of secondary tumors in distant sites, such as the lung. These findings suggest that the abscopal effect induced by radiation could be involved in the clinical outcome of patients treated with local RT. Besides this, there are also documented cases of abscopal effects in normal tissues. Therefore, it is becoming clear that the abscopal effect may be both beneficial for controlling tumor growth and for damaging tissue toxicity (34). The contribution of RT into inducing abscopal anti-tumor immune effects will depend on the ability to alter the pre-existing conditions (immunosuppressive and tolerogenic) in the tumor microenvironment by promoting the proimmunogenic state rather than the immunosuppressive effects (51).

**RT and cell death pathways**

RT can induce cell death, but it can also enhance the permeability of solid tumors directly or by means of cytokine production that recruit both DCs and effector T cells into the local milieu. Radiation-induced DNA damage can occur through multiple mechanisms (11). Cellular communication elicits a wide variety of responses that have dual biological effects. It can be deleterious (e.g., gene mutation and chromatid exchanges events) or it can be protective through the induction of apoptotic cell death (22). Many factors can influence the fate of cell death pathways that occur after radiation, including type of radiation, radiation dose, tumor type, tumor microenvironment and the host’s immunological characteristics (5). High doses of RT may induce necrotic cell death. RT at local level damages cancer cells, releasing large amounts of tumor antigens in necrotic and apoptotic cancer cells, either alone or in combination with cellular debris, providing signals to effectively activate DCs (Figure 2) (17). The release of tumor antigens upon cancer cell death may help to reestablish tumor-antigen presentation. The radiation-induced tumor cell death enables the presentation of tumor-derived antigens by DCs that may help to elicit a T-cell immune response against the tumor (6,48).

Radiation induce necrotic cell death, especially after delivering high doses (21). Necrotic cell death is considered an immunogenic pathway if accompanied by the release of several stress signals (26). Necrosis comes together with the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-8, TNF-α) and damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), such as the high-mobility group box 1 protein (HMGB1). The release of HMGB1 from necrotic and apoptotic cells stimulates the TLR4 receptor on DCs, and hence, promotes tumor cell killing by the induction of anti-tumor T cell response. Apetoh et al. (53). demonstrated that HMGB1 released by dying tumor cells, triggered a protective anti-tumor immunity throughout the TLR4-myeloid differentiation primary response protein-88 (MyD88) signaling pathways that are required for the efficacy of CT and RT in mice. The authors found that Asp299Gly TLR4 mutation has a dominant-negative effect on the TLR4/HMGB1 interaction in human patients with breast cancer and compromises the efficacy of antitumor CT. These findings suggest that TLR4 signaling may affect clinical outcome in patients (53,54). After irradiation, tumor cells can also release other “danger” signals such as heat shock proteins (HSPs) (6). When Schildkopf et al. (55) combined RT and additional stress stimuli such as hyperthermia (41.5 °C for 1 h) in colorectal cells, they detected a cell cycle arrest of the necrotic tumor cells in the G2-phase. They also observed a release of cellular components including certain DAMPs (HMGB1, HSP70) that lead to DC activation (55,56).

Radiation also induces apoptosis, which usually occurs at lower doses of irradiation (3,9,10,21). Although apoptosis is considered a non-inflammatory process, radiation-damaged cells may increase the release of inflammatory cytokines and DNA-damaging free radicals (43). It has also been demonstrated that antigens released by apoptotic cell death induced by IR may be immunogenic (2). Apoptotic cells, in the presence of inflammatory signals, induce the production of IL-6 and TGF-β, and promote the development of Th17 cells. By contrast, TGF-β, in absence of inflammation, and particularly of IL-6, induces differentiation of Treg cells. After irradiation, in an anti-inflammatory milieu, with low or absence of IL-6 levels, TGF-β would promote the maintenance of Treg cell differentiation, which inhibits the anti-tumor response (21).

Cancer cells also die by autophagy, another cell death mechanism of tumor cells. It is a catabolic lysosomal mechanism involved in self-digestion of dysfunctional cellular components (57,58). In tumor cells, autophagy induced by IR can release adenosine triphosphate (ATP), critical for the NOD-like receptor family pyrin domain containing 3 (NLRP3) inflammasome activation in macrophages, that cause IL-1β production (59) and CD8+ T cell polarization. As is widely known, IL-1β regulates many cellular processes, and its secretion from stimulated DCs is largely dependent on the NLRP3 inflammasome (21,43,59).

Although necrosis was regarded as an unregulated and
uncontrolled form of cell death, recent evidence shows that it can also occur as a programmed cell death which has been termed as necrosis (60,61). Necroptosis leads to rapid plasma membrane rupture, swelling of organelles, the release of intracellular contents and exposure of DAMPs (62). Among the molecules involved in the initiation of necroptosis is the receptor interacting protein 1 (RIP1). RIP1 is required necroptosis formation and, therefore, is critical for the activation of necroptosis (60). As mentioned above, a combination of radiation-induced DNA-damage and hyperthermia provokes an immunogenic cell death mechanism such as necrosis, but also by means of necroptosis (55).

Mitotic catastrophe is considered the major mode of death in response to DNA-damage induced by radiation in cells that have impaired the machinery to repair DNA (e.g., cells with defective in p53). It occurs during or as a result of aberrant mitosis due to improper entry into mitosis and leads to the formation of giant cells with aberrant nuclear morphology, centrosome hyperamplification, multiple nuclei, and micronuclei (61,63). These cells may survive through several cycles of cell division, transit into senescence, or die by delayed apoptosis or delayed necroptosis/necrosis (63).

**High dose radiotherapy**

As previously mentioned, the effects of radiation will depend on several factors. Radiation doses and tissue type influence the local response and, together with other factors such as the genetic background of the host and the inborn characteristics of tumor cells, it could modulate the systemic response into a pro- or anti-tumor effect (5,29).

Data based on preclinical studies have suggested that RT, especially with higher single doses, can stimulate anti-tumor T cell immunity by promoting the cross-priming of antigen-specific DCs increasing the number of activated CD8+ T cells (1,4,10). Particularly, some systemic effects have been related to the high-dose stereotactic ablative body radiotherapy (SABR), which could be used to enhance the production of tumor antigen-specific cellular immunity (10). Thus, a single high dose of 20-25 Gy, can substantially increase the T-cell response and help to control tumor growth (6). In humans, Postow et al. (64) observed a case of abscopal effect in a patient with metastatic melanoma. The patient was treated with ipilimumab [anti-CTLA-4 antibody] and the tumor regression was seen only after the combination with RT using a dose-fractionation schedule. CTLA-4 is expressed on activated T cells, which provide inhibitory signals to T cells. Blocking of CTLA-4 promotes T cell activation. The authors found a temporal association between tumor shrinkage and antibody response to cancer-testis antigen NY-ESO-1. Also, they analyzed the immune cells of peripheral blood. Before RT administration, they observed an increase of activated CD4+ T cell population during the treatment with ipilimumab, and a second increase was also observed after RT. In addition, an enhancement of HLA-DR expression was observed on CD14+ monocytes after RT. On the contrary, the levels of suppressor MDSCs (CD14+ HLA-DRlow) decreased. It has been shown that MDSCs are expanded in patients with metastatic melanoma, whilst not effectively detected in healthy controls (65). These results lead the authors to conclude that RT has an immunomodulatory role which would act by promoting the expansion of activated T-cells, increasing the presentation of antigens by myeloid cells within the tumor stroma, thus promoting T-cell function to kill tumor cells. Consequently, it can be argued that the abscopal effect is mediated by adaptive immunity (64). At high doses, the involvement of the microenvironment in radiation effects could be due in part to changes generated in the local irradiated tissue, preventing tumor recurrence or metastasis. But, at low doses, under conditions of chronic exposure to radiation, there is a complex interplay of diverse modulating factors and the microenvironment could provide compensation to direct damages on DNA induced by radiation (43). In a recent study, Hei et al. (66) debated the possible association between the non-targeted response and secondary cancer induced by radiation. Cells stressed by low-dose irradiation create a chronic inflammatory milieu with specific cytokines and reactive radical species which can generate secondary genotoxic effects that may affect both the surrounding non-irradiated cells and distant normal tissues (5,34).

**TARGIT and immune system**

It has been shown that circulating tumor cells are able to reinstate on the primary tumor site and promote its growth. This may present a risk for local recurrence within the primary site (and distant metastasis) (43,67). Advances in RT techniques allow the use of high-dose RT (10-20 Gy) which applied on tumor bed, may reduce the risk of a local relapse (67,68). Some reports suggest that high-dose RT can induce unexpected indirect effects both at local level
(bystander effect) and outside the irradiated field (abscopal effect) (19,23).

In breast cancer, when radiotherapy is administered in combination with surgery, the risk of local recurrence is dramatically reduced within the primary site (43). Targeted intraoperative radiotherapy (TARGIT) may reduce tumor recurrence modifying the wound microenvironment when is applied immediately following excision (19,43,69). This technique delivers therapeutic radiation to the tissues around the primary tumor during breast-conserving surgery (BCS), adding 20–40 min to the operation time (70–72). TARGIT using a mobile device called INTRABEAM® (a miniature X-ray source with 50 kV) delivers 20 Gy as one high dose of radiation on tumor bed and decreases faster to 5–7 Gy at 1 cm into the surrounding tissue. Thus, the volume of breast tissue that receives a high dose of radiation is much lower and allows normal tissues are repaired during intraoperative radiotherapy (IORT) (68,72).

In a recent study, Belletti et al. (69) demonstrated that TARGIT modified significantly the protein expression of the wound fluid (WF). In that sense, it is known that WF stimulates proliferation, chemotaxis and invasion of breast cancer cell lines. However, WF from TARGIT-treated patients dramatically reduced the stimulatory effect on cancer cells in vitro. In a proteomic analysis, the authors examined several factors that may be responsible for these effects, particularly whether these factors modified by TARGIT may be involved in the control of cancer cell progression. The WF from TARGIT-treated patients showed a modified expression of certain cytokines, and lost the ability to induce the activation of some intracellular signal transduction pathways. They observed that several factors (e.g., IL-6, RANTES or leptin) and pathways (e.g., STAT3- and p70S6 kinase-mediated pathways), involved in controlling tumor cell growth and motility, decreased after TARGIT treatment (69). IL-6 activates STAT-3, a member of the STAT family of transcription factors, which control a wide variety of cellular processes and are involved in signaling by many cytokine receptors. In in vitro experiments, it has been shown that STAT-3 activation promotes cell migration and metastasis of breast cancer cells (73). Lower levels of several growth factors and chemokines can inhibit angiogenesis. In the WF, TARGIT induced a decreased expression of several chemokines (e.g., MCP-1 and IL-8), as well as in vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), but caused an increase of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) (69). It was hypothesized that tumor-derived G-CSF promotes the development of MDSCs, a heterogeneous population of immature myeloid cells that accumulates in the tumor-bearing host and has the ability to suppress T cell responses (74). In mouse models, it has been shown that inappropriate production of G-CSF contributes to MDSCs accumulation (75). In the tumor microenvironment, MDSCs can be immunosuppressive, e.g., suppressing effector T cells and NK cell functions. Some data suggest that these suppressor cells may promote the expansion of Treg cells and hence, suppressing the responses of other immune cells (76).

Moreover, the authors observed an increase of Th cell-derived cytokines, which is reminiscent of a Th2 profile (IL-13, IL-4, IL-5). Th2-derived cytokines have been shown to promote the differentiation of “tumor-promoting M2 macrophages” also called “alternative macrophages” that express an anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as TGF-β and IL-10 (77). These macrophages are associated with tumor progression (21,46), as a result of pro-angiogenic factors and their immune-suppressive function (27,49). These findings indicate that WF generated after surgery procedures may act through immunological mechanisms, increasing the levels of growth factors while decreasing the activation of the immune system. The enhanced production of these mediators could suppress the immune response, altering cells and the local microenvironment and promoting the recruitment of residual tumor cells. However, WF from TARGIT-treated patients dramatically reduced the stimulatory effect on cancer cells reducing tumor recurrence. These preliminary results pave the way for future studies aimed to know the role of the local microenvironment in tumor development.

### Concluding remarks and perspectives

Although cancer progression is mainly driven by the expansion of tumor cells, tumor microenvironment and anti-tumor immunity are recognized as important factors for control of tumor growth. Exciting and promising results implying RT as an inductor of tumor immunogenicity, even at distant metastatic sites, have been reported over the last decade. As a result, RT could render cancer cells visible to the immune system.

RT not only leads to DNA damage in tumor cells, but it also might alter tumor microenvironment. Consequently, the low recurrences observed with TARGIT could be, at least in part, related to the effects of RT on the molecular composition and biological activity of WF and its effects on tumor cells and in the immune system. However, the nature
of the mediators presented in the WF remains largely unknown and no studies have investigated the effects of TARGIT on cellular immunity.

Soluble mediators, particularly cytokines and chemokines released by tumor and non-tumor cells in response to RT, may affect tumor growth. However the pro- or anti-tumor effects of many cytokines or chemokines is largely unknown. Inflammation triggers and amplifies innate immunity and also collaborates to the development of adaptive, specific, immunity. On the other hand, inflammation may also exert a pro-tumor effect. In fact, even the same mediator may exert pro or anti-tumor effects and hence, in a clinical setting, it could be a double-edged sword. Certainly, more information is needed before drawing firm conclusions about the role of several cytokines in tumor development.

It would be interesting to understand how the tumor milieu originated by RT contributes to cancer progression. This knowledge would pave the way for the development of innovative strategies aimed at achieving a more effective anti-tumor microenvironment; particularly how mediators induced by RT modify tumor growth and anti-tumor responses.

In a clinical context, the goal of RT is to cause the maximum permanent damage on patient’s tumors while minimizing the risk of harm to a patient’s normal tissues. There is evidence of cases of abscopal effects reported in normal tissues. Since these effects induced by radiation may enhance or suppress the growth of primary tumors and secondary malignancies, epidemiological approaches are necessary to evaluate the benefit and damage associated with these biological effects.
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