Quantitative assessment of liver fibrosis and its stage in a rabbit model by using intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted imaging at a 3T magnetic resonance system
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Background: To explore whether and how intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) at a 3T magnetic resonance scanner could monitor and stage liver fibrosis in a rabbit model.

Methods: Fifty-six New Zealand white rabbits were given carbon tetrachloride to model liver fibrosis, and eight treated with normal saline served as control subjects. IVIM-DWI with eight b values of 0, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, 800, 1,000 s/mm² was performed on the 0, 6th, 8th, 10th and 12th weekends after modeling this disease. The stages of liver fibrosis (stages F0 to F4) were identified based on METAVIR classification system. The IVIM derived parameters (D*, pseudo-diffusion; D, pure molecular diffusion; and f, perfusion fraction) were quantitatively measured, and statistical analysis were performed for detecting and staging liver fibrosis.

Results: Significant difference was found in D between normal and fibrotic liver (P<0.05). D could distinguish stages between F0 and F3 or F4 (P<0.05); D*, f could not discriminate between normal and fibrotic liver, nor could discriminate any stages of liver fibrosis (all P>0.05). A negative correlation was found between fibrosis stage and D (r=−0.605, P<0.05). According to receiver operating characteristic curve, D could differentiate between stage F0 and F3–4 with an area under the corresponding curve of 0.937.

Conclusions: The IVIM derived parameter D can quantitatively monitor the progression of liver fibrosis.
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Introduction

Chronic liver fibrosis is the common clinically progressive liver disease. Under the long-term pathogenic factors to the liver, the imbalance between the generation and degradation of collagen fiber will come about. As a result, collagen fiber diffusely deposits in the liver and appears as a series of pathological and physiological changes (1,2). It is of great importance to detect and stage liver fibrosis for selecting appropriate clinical therapy and monitoring patient's response to the intervention, because liver fibrosis is a progressive disease and patients with stages 2–4 have the potential to keep a well liver function by therapeutic approaches whereas patients with early stage (stage ≤1) should not necessarily receive clinical interventions but get rid of the causes and be monitored (3). To date, liver biopsy is still the gold standard for detecting and staging liver fibrosis. Based the METAVIR classification system as shown on pathology, the liver fibrosis has been divided into...
four stages from F1 to F4 (4). However, biopsy has several disadvantages, such as invasiveness, patient discomfort, complications, sampling errors, and so forth. Because of the invasive nature of biopsy, a noninvasive and repeatable quantitative examination technology is demanded to estimate liver fibrosis progression (5,6).

There are several noninvasive methods for diagnosing or staging liver fibrosis, including ultrasonography, computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and serological tests (7-10). Among these methods, MRI has the greatest potential to diagnose liver fibrosis since it has a variety of advantages such as good spatial or temporal resolution without radiation and injury, good repeatability of measurement, functional imaging and so forth. Until now, a number of MR techniques, such as T1 rho imaging, dynamic contrast enhanced MR imaging, MR elastography and diffusion weighted imaging (DWI), have been used to assess liver fibrosis (11-23). As a functional imaging, DWI could be considered as a significant and widely-used technology to accurately detect the water molecular motion in liver using the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC). According to a research from Li et al. (23), the ADC value in fibrotic liver is lower than in healthy liver and decreases as the fibrosis progressing from stage F1 to F4. Some other studies suggested that the reason for decreasing ADC value may be due to the destruction of liver micro-circulation, and consequently, the hepatic perfusion decrease (24). In the early 1980s, Le Bihan et al. (25) firstly put forward to intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM), they reported IVIM could simultaneously receive the changes of diffusion and perfusion by measuring D value (the true diffusion coefficient), D* value (the pseudo-diffusion coefficient) and f value (the perfusion fraction). Unlike ADC which is characteristic to reflect the combined effects of perfusion and diffusion within liver fibrosis, the major advantage of IVIM-DWI is that it allows the acquisition of diffusion and perfusion parameters at the same time, and can separately provide both measurements within corresponding liver disorder without the requirement for a further coregistration process (24,25). Among these previous literatures, D, D* and f varied widely in different liver fibrosis models, which led to the discrepancies of IVIM results in the published studies (26-30). As reported (31), IVIM technique has not yet been able to detect early stage of liver fibrosis. Therefore, this study aimed at utilizing IVIM-DWI to dynamically monitor the liver fibrosis in a rabbit model, and to investigate which IVIM derived parameter was the optimal index for the detection and stage of this disease.

Methods

Animal preparation and histopathologic analysis

The Institutional Committee for Animal Care at our institution approved all the experimental protocols in this study. The animals were housed and provided by our animal laboratory.

Sixty-four mature New Zealand white rabbits (39 females, 25 males), weighing 2.0–3.0 kg, were enrolled into this study and randomly divided into 2 groups. Eight and fifty-six rabbits served as normal control group and experimental group, respectively. The 56 animals in the experimental group were further randomly equally divided into 4 subgroups corresponding to the follow-up weekend after modeling liver fibrosis, and each subgroup contained 14 rabbits. As is known to all, carbon tetrachloride (CCl4) is the most widely used toxic drug for modeling liver fibrosis, and therefore, we choose CCl4 for this modeling process. The experimental rabbits were induced with pure CCl4 by intra-peritoneal injection at 0.1 mL/kg twice a week for 12 weeks (32). The rabbits from normal control group were dealt with saline by same dose and path. To prevent the chemical peritoneal adhesion resulting from the intra-peritoneal injection, the rabbits would be treated with antibiotic when necessary. In the process of modeling liver fibrosis, daily evaluation of rabbit spiritual status was performed to ensure the health of animals.

On the 6th, 8th, 10th, and 12th weekends after modeling liver fibrosis, we randomly chose a subgroup of experimental group and two rabbits from control group to undergo upper abdomen MR examination with respiratory anesthesia during the entire study. Each chosen subject was required fasting for 8 hours before the examination. The respiratory anesthesia was induced by isoflurane with oxygen flow rate at 3 L/min and drug concentration of 4%, and then maintained with oxygen flow rate at 1.5 L/min and drug concentration of 2%. Before each MR examination, we suspended this modeling process for 3 days. To avoid the impact of respiration, abdominal belt was used to minimize MR image artifacts resulting from abdominal breathing mobility (33).

After the MR scanning was performed, this selected subgroup of experimental rabbits and two rabbits from control group were euthanized by air injection into the auricular vein, and rabbit livers were subsequently harvested for histological evaluation. We randomly cut three slices from each liver with size of 2×15×15 mm3. Liver specimens were fixed in 10% buffered formalin for 24–48 hours and paraffin embedded. Masson trichrome staining was used to identify liver fibrosis (34). Two experienced pathologists with 10- and 25-year
experience in hepatic pathology, who were blinded to the MR data, worked in consensus to score the pathological specimens by referring to the METAVIR classification system as follows: F0, no fibrosis; F1, portal fibrosis without septa; F2, portal fibrosis with a few septa; F3, septal fibrosis; and F4, cirrhosis.

**MRI examination**

The MR examination was performed on a 3T scanner (Discovery MR 750; GE Medical Systems) with an eight-channel coil. All rabbits underwent MR examination under the respiratory anesthesia. The MRI sequences included T2-weighted axial fat-suppressed sequence (Figure 1A), T1-weighted axial LAVA-Flex mask, and axial IVIM sequence with eight b values of 0, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, 800 and 1,000 s/mm² for DWI. The IVIM acquisitions were based on a single-shot echo-planar imaging fat-suppressed sequence. The IVIM scanning parameters were listed as follows: repetition time (TR), 1,500 ms; echo time (TE), 63 ms; field of view (FOV), 16 cm x 16 cm; matrix, 128x128; section thickness, 3 mm; slice gap, 1 mm; number of signals excitations, 1; and flip angle, 90°. The parameters for the IVIM scanning were referred to the previous published article (34).

**Image analysis**

The original IVIM images were loaded to a post-processing workstation (GE Advanced Workstation v.4.4-09) and were analyzed with a standard software package (MADC) independently by two radiologists (the first author who had 2-year experience in radiology and the corresponding author who had 19-year experience in abdominal radiology) blinded to the animals’ pathological outcomes. Automatic pixel-wise analysis on the GE workstation was used to obtain color-coded IVIM derived parameter (D, D* and f) maps. These maps were generated according to the following equation:

\[ S_b/S_0 = (1-f) \times \exp(-bD) + f \times \exp(-bD^*) \]  

Where \( S_b \) was the signal intensity for b value of 0 s/mm², \( S_0 \) was the signal intensity at the given b value, f was the perfusion fraction linked to microcirculation, D was the diffusion coefficient reflecting pure molecular diffusion, and \( D^* \) was the pseudo diffusion coefficient reflecting the perfusion-related diffusion. In this GE workstation, an asymptotic fitting method was used to quantify IVIM derived parameters. Since the capillary blood flow rate is much faster than that of water diffusion, the effect of \( D^* \) on the signal decay can be neglected when b value was more than 200 s/mm². Hence, the Eq. [1] could be simplified as a linear fitting equation:

\[ S_b/S_0 = \exp(-bD) \]  

In the Eq. [2], D can be obtained by using only b values greater than 200 s/mm² when the D value was determined, and a nonlinear regression algorithm based on equation (1) was used to calculate f and \( D^* \) values.

In each liver, three freehand regions of interests (ROI, 30–50 mm² for each) were drawn on one sectional maximal original IVIM image to perform the IVIM measurement. The previous measurement was performed on three representative sections of each liver. Therefore, there were 9 ROIs of each liver for the IVIM calculation. During the original IVIM data analysis, the same ROIs were automatically copied to the color coded maps of D, \( D^* \) and f (Figure 1B-D) at the same level, avoiding areas of artifact, vessels and bile ducts by comparing with T2-weighted images. To reduce measurements’ bias, the two observers were taught to follow same rules in data analysis.

In this research, we randomly choose the IVIM data on the 10th weekend measured independently by the previous two radiologists to statistically assess the intra- and inter-observer variability. Each parameter was measured repeatedly 2 weeks later. The two measurements of the first author were used to assess the intra-observer variability, and the first measurements of the first author and the corresponding author were used to evaluate the inter-observer variability. The coefficient of variation (CV) was used to determine the precision of the measurements. An averaged CV was expressed as the resultant precision. When the averaged CV was less than 10%, intra and inter-observer variability of the IVIM parameters were considered small, and the results were considered to be reliable. The mean value of first measurements across the two observers was regarded as the final value (23). If the percentage of the averaged CV was more than 10%, the mean value of the four measurements was used as the final estimate.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analysis was performed on SPSS package version 13.0. A P value less than 0.05 was considered to be significantly different. Descriptive statistics for IVIM derived parameters were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Kruskal-Wallis test and One-way ANOVA analysis were performed to compare the three parameters among the METAVIR classifications of liver fibrosis. The
Figure 1 In a rabbit with F2-staged liver fibrosis, image (A) shows a fat-suppressed T2-weighted image. Images (B, C and D) represent D (pure molecular diffusion), D* (pseudo-diffusion coefficient) and f (perfusion fraction) maps of the intravoxel incoherent motion derived parameters, respectively. Image (E) demonstrates the Masson trichrome staining (×10) of fibrotic liver in this rabbit.

correlation between the METAVIR stage of liver fibrosis and each IVIM derived parameter was performed by using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and the area under the curve (AUC) were subsequently generated to assess the diagnostic value of IVIM derived parameter for differentiating normal liver from cirrhotic liver, or between METAVIR stages.

Results

The animal models and histology findings

In the study, totally ten rabbits in the experimental group died in the process of modeling. No rabbits died in the control group. Among the dead animals in the experimental group, three rabbits died from causes irrelevant to
In our research, we found that the D value trended to decrease along with liver fibrosis stages. Our finding can be similar to the previous research (35), which demonstrated that D value decreased significantly in patients with severe liver fibrosis (stage F3 or F4). However, D value had low correlation with fibrosis stages. We speculate the reason for decreased D value in liver fibrosis process is associated with the pathological mechanism of liver fibrosis. In the process from normal to cirrhotic liver, a markedly increased extra-cellular constituents and collagen deposit in the Disse space and the extra-cellular space become constricted, which will result in the limitation of Brownian motion of water within liver fibers. When Brownian motion of water becomes limited, which restricts the diffusion of water molecules, the ADC value decreases. ADC value is inversely correlated with the variation of water diffusion coefficient, and the higher the ADC value is, the more limited the diffusion of water molecules. Therefore, ADC value reflects the degree of liver fibrosis. In our study, the ADC value decreased significantly in patients with severe liver fibrosis stages F3 and F4. The ADC value had a high correlation with the stage of liver fibrosis, which indicates that ADC value can be used as a noninvasive and accurate method to stage liver fibrosis. The clinical therapy of liver fibrosis is performed according to the stages. The early stage of fibrosis can be completely reversed under the clinical intervention, which implies that the management of early liver fibrosis should concentrate on preventing the progression of this disease and avoiding clinical complications. To perform an appropriate treatment, it is very essential to stage liver fibrosis. Till now there were no noninvasive and accurate methods to stage early liver fibrosis. In our study, we aim to determine the associations of liver IVIM derived parameters and stages of liver fibrosis to explore how any previous IVIM derived parameter could help quantitatively stage this disease. As shown in our study, only D value had the potential to quantitatively monitor and stage liver fibrosis among all IVIM derived parameters.


table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Dead</th>
<th>META VIR Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F0</td>
<td>F1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G1, G2, G3 and G4, the subgroups undergoing the magnetic resonance imaging and pathological examination on the 6th, 8th, 10th and 12th weekends after modeling liver fibrosis in the experimental group, respectively; F0, F1, F2, F3 and F4, no fibrosis, portal fibrosis without septa, portal fibrosis with a few septa, septal fibrosis and cirrhosis, respectively.

The modeling results confirmed by Masson dyeing

Based on the liver IVIM derived parameters obtained from randomly chosen rabbits on the 10th weekend after modeling liver fibrosis, the mean CVs in the inter-observer measurement were 6.2% for D (range, 3.3–9.8%), 10.6% for D* (range, 4.5–18.6%) and 11% for f (range, 5.4–19.3%) for the two authors’ first measurements. And the mean CVs in the intra-observer were 6.6% for D (range, 3.3–10.6%), 10.9% for D* (range, 4.5–19.4%), and 11.6% for f (range, 5.4–19.6%) for the first author’s repeated measurements. In this study, only the CVs for inter- and intra-observer variability from D value were less than 10%. We chose the mean value of the two authors’ first measurements as the final estimate. The CVs for the intra- and inter-observer variability from D* and f values were more than 10%, the mean values of the two authors’ four measurements were regarded as the final estimate.

Inter and intra-observer variability of the liver IVIM derived parameters

IVIM derived parameters corresponding to liver fibrosis stage

The IVIM derived parameters of normal liver and liver fibrosis stage were described in Table 2 and Figure 3. In this cohort, we found a decreasing trend in D, D* and f over the progression from normal liver to liver fibrosis. But according to Kruskal-Wallis test, only D was significantly different from normal liver to fibrotic liver (P=0.001), while D* or f could not discriminate normal and fibrotic liver (P=0.99 or 0.592, respectively). Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient showed a significant inverse correlation between D value and the stage of fibrosis (r=−0.605, P<0.001). According to One-Way ANOVA analysis, D could distinguish between stages F0 and F3 or F4 (both P<0.05). The ROC showed the AUC of D value to differentiate between stage F0 and F3–4 was 0.937, and the sensitivity, specificity or cut-off value were 0.667, 1 or 0.999×10⁻³ mm²/s, respectively.

Discussion

The clinical therapy of liver fibrosis is performed according to the stages. The early stage of fibrosis could be completely reversed under the clinical intervention, which implies that the management of early liver fibrosis should concentrate on preventing the progression of this disease and avoiding clinical complications. To perform an appropriate treatment, it is very essential to stage liver fibrosis. Till now there were no noninvasive and accurate methods to stage early liver fibrosis. In our study, we aim to determine the associations of liver IVIM derived parameters and stages of liver fibrosis to explore how any previous IVIM derived parameter could help quantitatively stage this disease. As shown in our study, only D value had the potential to quantitatively monitor and stage liver fibrosis among all IVIM derived parameters.

In our research, we found that the D value trended to decrease along with liver fibrosis stages. Our finding can be similar to the previous research (35), which demonstrated that D value decreased significantly in patients with severe liver fibrosis (stage F3 or F4). However, D value had low correlation with fibrosis stages. We speculate the reason for decreased D value in liver fibrosis process is associated with the pathological mechanism of liver fibrosis. In the process from normal to cirrhotic liver, a markedly increased extra-cellular constituents and collagen deposit in the Disse space and the extra-cellular space become constricted, which will result in the limitation of Brownian motion of water within liver fibers. When Brownian motion of water becomes limited, which restricts the diffusion
Figure 2 Fibrosis is scored as no fibrosis (A, ×10), portal fibrosis without septa (B, ×100), portal fibrosis with a few septa (C, ×100), septal fibrosis (D, ×100) and cirrhosis (E, ×100) by using Masson trichrome staining based on METAVIR classification system.

Table 2 Intravoxel incoherent motion derived parameters corresponding to liver fibrosis stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>F0 (n=9)</th>
<th>F1 (n=13)</th>
<th>F2 (n=11)</th>
<th>F3 (n=13)</th>
<th>F4 (n=8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D (×10^{-3} mm²/s)</td>
<td>1.045±0.079</td>
<td>0.967±0.049</td>
<td>0.965±0.052</td>
<td>0.909±0.047*</td>
<td>0.901±0.048*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>0.319±0.035</td>
<td>0.312±0.026</td>
<td>0.311±0.021</td>
<td>0.304±0.022</td>
<td>0.300±0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F0, F1, F2, F3 and F4, no fibrosis, portal fibrosis without septa, portal fibrosis with a few septa, septal fibrosis and cirrhosis, respectively; *, significant difference with F0 (all P<0.05).
of water protons, thus a marked decrease of D value could be found in the progress of liver fibrosis. On the other hand, the total content of water protons within the liver fibers would decrease in this fibrotic process. Theoretically, less abundant of water and tightly bound of collagen fibers would cause the increase of liver’s stiffness, and then water diffusion restriction might contribute to the decrease of D value with the progress of liver fibrosis (36-39).

As shown in this study, the $D^*$ an f value trended to decrease with the progress of liver fibrosis, but they were not significantly associated with liver fibrosis severity, nor they could differentiate between normal and fibrotic liver. However, there is a widely recognized hypothesis that liver cirrhosis is related to the decreased liver perfusion, especially the less portal flow (24). In the advancing progression of fibrosis, the deposition of accumulated collagen leads to an increase of hepatic resistance to portal blood flow, then portal hypertension is formed, finally the portal flow decreases, whereas the increased arterial flow can not sufficiently compensate for the reduce of portal flow. And this hypothesis could have been proved in previous researches (24,34,40-42). Luciani et al. (24) showed that $D^*$ reduced significantly in liver fibrosis compared to that in healthy liver, while f was of no significant difference. In an animal experiment performed by Chow et al. (42), they also receive a similar finding with Luciani’s finding. However, Zhang et al. (34) reported that f value decreased significantly with the progression of fibrosis level in a rat model. Lu et al. (26)

Figure 3 Box plots show distributions of D value (A), $D^*$ value (B) and f value (C) corresponding to liver fibrosis stage.
demonstrated that both D* and f values decreased in fibrotic liver compared with healthy liver. To date, it is hard to propose a reliable explanation for these discrepancies of the association of D* or f values with liver fibrosis. A recent research from Li et al. (31) showed the current IVIM technique is still not capable of providing reliable measurement to liver fibrosis. The factors influencing IVIM derived parameter measurement accuracy could be due to magnetic field strength, number of b values, free-breathing or respiratory triggering for data acquisition, or the image post-processing methods (31,43-45). We speculated the discrepancies from the published researches and our study could be owing to the different b values used in the IVIM-DWI data acquisition (46). As known to all, IVIM parameters were strongly dependent on the distribution of b value and threshold computed in post-process. The D* is closely related to the low b values. An optimal choice of b value should include more b values at the range from 0 to 50 s/mm². According to ter Voert’s study (47), at least 11 b values (generally 16 b values) are required. However, we only used 8 b values in this study, and this study might not have achieved the ideal result. Previous studies have shown that among the three IVIM parameters, D has the best measurement reproducibility, followed by f, while D* tend to have poor measurement reproducibility (31).

Clinically, the therapy of liver fibrosis might focus on the reverse of early stage of fibrosis and the delaying of its progression to cirrhosis, so accurately detecting and staging the early liver fibrosis is of great importance. A study from Wang et al. (27) suggested that a combination of the three IVIM derived parameters had the potential to detect early stage liver fibrosis and with an AUC of 1 for the differentiation between F0 and F2–4. In Lu’s study (26), although D, f and D* values decreased as the fibrosis severity progressed, however, a large overlap of the three IVIM parameters between different stages implied that IVIM could not be used to reliably differentiate fibrosis stage. In addition, the two researches could not discriminate F0 from F1 by using any IVIM parameter. In our study, we just found D value could differentiate stages F0 from F3 or F4. The three IVIM derived parameters could not differentiate F1 or F2 from any other stages, and there was a large overlap in D, f and D* between different stages. Therefore, more technical innovations are warranted for IVIM technique to be reliably applied in detecting early liver fibrosis.

Limitations of the current research include the following contents. First, the measurement on IVIM quantification could be easily influenced by the choice of b values, particularly low b value. In our study, the number of b values was only 8, which may affect the measurement accuracy. Second, the size of the enrolled samples was relatively small. It is necessary to enlarge the sample size for further studies to assess the association of IVIM parameters with the stages of liver fibrosis. Third, an animal model of liver fibrosis was involved in the current study. Compared to the process of human liver fibrosis, there might be some different pathological changes in this animal model. However, our findings could offer some useful information that the IVIM derived parameters especially D might differentiate the stage of fibrosis, which is similar to some clinical studies. The last, lack of quantitative analysis of hepatic collagen content and iron deposition were also the limitation of this study.

Conclusions

Our IVIM-DWI research shows a significant decrease of D with the progress of liver fibrosis, and D might be noninvasive and valuable for monitoring the progression of liver fibrosis in vivo sample. Due to the limited number of b values used in this study, the possibility of any IVIM derived parameter used to detect early stage of liver fibrosis is still uncertain. We will carry out an optimal IVIM-DWI study with sufficient number of b values to further make clear the uncertainty in clinical settings.
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