Lymph node evaluation in endometrial cancer: how did it change over the last two decades?
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Abstract: Endometrial cancer (EC) is the most common gynecological malignancy in developed countries, and surgery represents the pivotal part of treatment. Hysterectomy and salpingo-oophorectomy allow removing the primary tumor and defining patients at higher risk, who might benefit from adjuvant therapies. Minimally invasive surgery is associated with superior postoperative outcomes and represents a safe and effective approach for surgical staging of EC. The lymph node status evaluation in EC is still a matter of debate. Over the last twenty years much has changed, moving from a full systematic pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy for staging purpose to the removal of the pelvic (with or without paraaortic) lymph nodes only in selected EC classes of risk. Two randomized trials failed to demonstrate survival benefits of lymphadenectomy in case of apparent early stage EC; however, its prognostic role has never been questioned. At present, with the aim of reducing the surgical-related morbidity, sentinel node mapping is emerging as a safe and valid alternative to lymphadenectomy for EC staging, demonstrating high accuracy and an increased detection of lymph nodes metastasis. Here, we performed a review of the most significant studies, which supported the changes in the lymph node status evaluation for EC over the last two decades.
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Introduction

Endometrial cancer (EC) is the most common gynecological malignancy in developed countries, with more than 65,000 new cases estimated for the year 2020 in the United States (1). The incidence has been rising in the last decades worldwide, due to changing lifestyle and socioeconomic factors, including obesity, one of the most important risk factor (2).

EC is generally diagnosed in post-menopausal age, nearly half of the patients are over 65 years, which often makes it a challenging treatment, requiring a multi-disciplinary approach (3).

However 10–15% of EC occur in women younger than 50 years old, often with family or personal history of cancer, indicative of Lynch syndrome (4).

In more than three-quarters of patients with EC the disease is confined to the uterine corpus at the time of primary diagnosis, and the pivotal part of the treatment is represented by the surgical removal of the uterus and the adnexa (5). Traditionally, open abdominal surgery was considered the standard approach for women with apparent early stage disease. More recently, the implementation of the minimally invasive surgery, first laparoscopy (6), and subsequently, robotic-assisted surgery (7) brought several advantages for the treatment of apparent early stage EC, such as shorter hospitalization, less complications, and reduced costs.
Regarding the oncological outcomes, two randomized controlled trials, LACE and LAP2 trials, comparing laparoscopy and laparotomy in early-stage EC, showed no significant differences in the risk of disease recurrence and death (8,9). For these reasons minimally invasive surgery, to date, should be considered the preferable approach in the treatment of EC patients. Vaginal approach might be considered in selected patients, usually medically unfit for either laparoscopic or open surgery (10).

Preoperative workup of patients with EC should include pathological information, to define histotype and grading of tumor, and imaging assessment to exclude extraterine disease and to describe myometrial or cervical stroma infiltration. Although there has been a constant improvement of the imaging techniques and dedicated pathologists, the preoperative accuracy of EC staging still remain an unsolved issue. Previous published studies have underlined a discrepancy rate higher than 30% between preoperative risk assessment, based on biopsy (pipelle device or operative hysteroscopy) and MRI, and the actual risk of final pathological finding (11). These discrepancies might open two scenarios: in one case an overestimation could occur with unnecessary lymphadenectomy, on the other hand patients could result potentially under staged, needing for further therapies.

Recently, genetic serum biomarkers have been investigated for early detection of EC, but these are still experimental (12). Preoperative CT scan has limited benefit in low-risk EC; conversely it is useful in G3 endometrioid histotype and type 2 EC to detect gross intradominal disease and enlarged nodes, specifically in the paraaortic area (13). MRI is currently recommended for pre-operative evaluation in some guidelines and studies (14), however expert ultrasound assessment is supported as a routine procedure in the preoperative assessment of EC in order to infer the myometrial invasion and cervical involvement or synchronous ovarian cancer (15). PET-CT scan has been described as the best imaging method to evaluate lymph node and distant metastases in high-risk or advanced stage EC, however, its sensitivity is not sufficient to justify its routine use for preoperative staging in case of apparent early stage disease (16,17).

Moreover, glandular cells detected at preoperative cervical-smear could be able to predict risk of local recurrence in EC but further studies are needed to confirm these results (18).

A crucial step of the surgical staging of EC is the evaluation of the lymph node status. Approximately 10% of women presenting with apparent early stage EC, as established by preoperative workup, will be found to have lymph node metastases, the most common site of extraterine spread (19). Different studies have investigated the factors associated with lymph node metastasis: the non-endometrioid histology, worsening tumor grade, increasing depth of myometrial invasion and lymphovascular space invasion have been shown the main predictors of positive nodes (20,21).

Below we reported the changes in the lymph node status evaluation which happened over the last two decades and the strategies developed to decrease the morbidity for this specific step of the surgical staging.

**From full to selective lymph node evaluation**

Over the last decades, one of the main concerns in the management of EC has been lymph node evaluation: particularly referring to the need and the extent of lymphadenectomy and its therapeutic role.

Traditionally, on the basis of FIGO recommendations, systematic pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy was routinely performed for EC staging (5). Later in time, Mariani et al. proposed to omit lymphadenectomy in patients with endometrioid EC with myometrial invasion less than 50%, grade 1–2 tumors, tumor diameter less than 2 cm, and no evidence of extraterine disease, observing a 5-year cancer-specific survival of 97% (22). On the other hand, all the other patients were considered at risk of lymph node metastasis and were candidate for systematic pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy up to the renal vessels, because of the high frequency (about 50%) of simultaneous involvement in the para-aortic area of the patients who had pelvic lymphatic metastases and to identify isolated paraaortic positive nodes (generally ≤5%) (23).

Evidence in favor of the therapeutic role of lymphadenectomy were based on retrospective studies such as the SEPAL study, which included more than 600 patients, showing survival benefit of systematic pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy over pelvic lymphadenectomy alone in intermediate- and high-risk EC, while no difference was proven in low-risk EC (24). However, evidence coming from two randomized trials (ASTEC trial and an Italian collaborative trial by Benedetti Panici et al.) and a metanalysis failed to demonstrate survival benefits of lymphadenectomy in patients with apparent early-stage EC.
although several criticisms in the study design of both trials have been made (25-27).

According to these trials, the retroperitoneal staging with the removal of pelvic and paraaortic lymph nodes maintained only a prognostic relevance to tailor the postoperative adjuvant therapy in patients with positive nodes, in particular chemotherapy.

At present, the European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO)/European Society of Gynaecological Oncology (ESGO)/European Society for Radiotherapy & Oncology (ESTRO) guidelines support the performance of lymphadenectomy as an integral part of comprehensive staging in patients with apparent early-stage EC (except for low-risk EC, grade 1 or 2 and myometrial invasion <50%) (10).

Mirroring the shift from laparotomy to laparoscopy, in order to reduce the morbidity of surgical staging, in the last decades sentinel lymph node (SLN) mapping has emerged as a valid alternative to lymphadenectomy in EC among gynecologic oncologists (28). Complete pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy may be correlated with severe surgical morbidities such as lymph cyst, lymphedema, massive bleeding, and urologic, nerves or vascular injury. SLN detection is improving the management of women with early EC avoiding them unnecessary and potentially injurious systematic lymphadenectomies, all the while obtaining information about lymph node status.

**Sentinel node mapping**

Most of the early retrospective series of SLN in EC were published during first decade of this millennium, while in 2011 Ballester et al. published the results of SENTI-ENDO, the first prospective multicenter study (29). EC patients underwent SLN biopsy followed by complete pelvic lymphadenectomy, to estimate the accuracy of this technique. The results were very encouraging: overall detection rate was 82% and sensitivity was 100% for hemipelvis, without any false negative. A year later this experience, Abu-Rustum and his group introduced a SLN mapping algorithm (MSKCC algorithm) which consisted in a lymph nodes evaluation including excision of all mapped SLNs and removal of all suspicious nodes regardless of mapping. If there was no mapping on a hemipelvis, a side-specific pelvic lymphadenectomy was performed. This algorithm, retrospectively applied, has shown sensitivity and negative predictive value near to 100% and a low false-negative rate of 1.9% (30).

Several techniques and tracers were analyzed for the SLN mapping in EC. Technetium sulphur colloid, alone or in combination with blue dye, was historically adopted and radioactive lymph nodes were identified using a gamma-probe (31). Indocyanine Green (ICG), a contrast agent which turns fluorescent when exposed to near-infrared light, is a more recent option for lymphatic mapping (32).

ICG is related with the highest SLN detection rate: results from the recent FILM trial showed superior capabilities compared to blue dye (33). The better performance and the simplicity of ICG detection make it preferable to other modalities.

Two main sites of tracer injection for SLN mapping in EC have been described: intracervical and endometrial injection via hysteroscopy. Cervical injection is the most used method: it is easily accessible and can be injected accurately with few additional equipment and it is associated with high pelvic detection rate and accuracy (34). However, mapping using cervical dye injection was mainly criticized because it does not adequately map the paraaortic area and may potentially miss cases of isolated paraaortic disease (35).

In 2017, the accuracy of SLN in EC was confirmed by the results of FIRES trials, an American multicenter prospective cohort study, which investigated sensitivity and negative predictive value of SLN mapping, using a standardized technique through cervical injection of ICG tracer, compared with systematic lymphadenectomy in detecting nodes metastasis (36). Nodal metastases were identified in the SLNs of 35 of 36 patients, giving a sensitivity of 97.2% and a negative predictive value of 99.6%, confirming the high diagnostic accuracy in detecting EC nodal metastases, possibly replacing lymphadenectomy in the staging of EC.

The main concern about SLN was its safety in high grade EC, associated with higher risk of nodes metastasis. The biggest prospective study about high risk patients (grade 3 endometrioid and type 2 EC) treated with SLN mapping followed by full pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy supported the use of SLN even in this subgroup of patients, showing high sensitivity and negative predictive value (37).

The latest NCCN Guidelines (version 1.2020) approved SLN algorithm for surgical staging of EC, regardless of risk class; the sentence “is under evaluation”, previously included regarding the role of SLN mapping, was omitted in this version.
Ultrastaging

SLNs removed are subjected to pathological ultrastaging, which consists in a more sensitive and precise identification of nodes disease, by immunohistochemistry (IHC), than conventional routine hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) technique. This technique succeeded in identifying low volume metastasis: micrometastasis and isolated tumor cells (microscopic clusters and single neoplastic cells measuring >0.2 mm to ≤2 mm and ≤0.2 mm, respectively).

Low volume metastasis are far from rare: FIRES and the FILM trials reported a percentage in SLN of 54% and 62%, respectively, and generally account for one third of positive nodes in EC patients (38). Micrometastasis and isolated tumor cells are more often diagnosed in the low-risk than in the high-risk group, in which adjuvant therapy is generally omitted.

The Mayo Clinic (Rochester, MN) and the MSKCC (NY), compared outcomes of patients with low risk EC treated with two different strategies according to their institution protocol: SLN mapping algorithm at MSKCC and full pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy in cases considered at risk for nodal metastasis (grading 3 and/or tumor diameter >2 cm) at Mayo Clinic. Pelvic nodes metastases (including low volume metastases) were detected in 5.1% and 2.6% of patients respectively (P=0.03). Therefore, SLN mapping was found to improve the detection of nodal disease in comparison to conventional lymphadenectomy, probably due to ultrastaging, which allows the identification of low volume disease not evident with conventional histological examination. Disease free survival and overall survival at 3 years were similar between the two groups (39).

Moreover, a recent study demonstrates that, in patients with isolated paraaortic dissemination, ultrastaging of pelvic lymph nodes allowed the identification of low-volume disease, reducing the prevalence of true isolated paraaortic disease (40).

Actually, prognostic value of low volume nodes metastasis is not clear, a recent metanalysis showed higher risk of recurrence in patients with low volume metastasis, regardless of adjuvant therapy administration (41).

The use of adjuvant treatments is a topic of debate and should consider uterine factors for patients with isolated tumor cells, while could be administered for patients with micrometastases (38).

In fact, data coming from retrospective studies showed better survival outcomes in patients with isolated tumor cells (most of whom were treated with adjuvant therapy) compared to those with macrometastases, recurrences were similar to patients without metastatic disease (42).

Frozen section

Intraoperative pathological examination could improve EC patient risk classification and, thus, plays an important role in the evaluation of surgical decision, mainly when preoperative assessment is not conclusive. The frozen endometrial tissue, in fact, was used for a long time to identify patients with low risk EC according to “Mayo criteria”, avoiding them systematic lymphadenectomy (43).

However, the introduction of SLN for EC staging regardless tumor risk factors reduced the need for intraoperative evaluation, which requires higher operative time and costs.

NCCN guidelines suggest evaluation of the SLNs removed with ultrastaging, therefore frozen section analysis of the removed lymph nodes is not routinely performed.

However, the use of frozen section could be adopted in order to make sure to identify the presence of lymph nodes in the SLN to properly assess lymph node status, since a recent study reported a percentage of 8% of SLN removed as an ‘empty node’ at final pathology, consisting of fibroadipose tissue in the absence of lymphatic tissue (44).

Oncological outcomes of SLN

Some studies suggested that SLN mapping provides similar oncologic outcomes in comparison to systematic lymphadenectomy, however, the evidence is still limited to retrospective investigations.

A meta-analysis of about 3,500 patients showed higher positive pelvic nodal detection rates, similar paraaortic nodal detection rates, and no difference in overall recurrence or nodal recurrence rates in SLN compared with systematic lymphadenectomy (45).

These data suggested that SLN biopsy may actually increase the detection of metastatic disease (particularly in low-risk group), resulting in optimal adjuvant therapy prescription, without compromising survival outcomes.

Moreover, in a recent multi-institutional Italian retrospective study which evaluated long-term outcomes of EC patients who underwent lymphadenectomy, SLN mapping followed by lymphadenectomy and SLN mapping alone, the survival comparison of the three techniques did not show differences of disease-free and overall survival,
also after stratification by low, intermediate and high risk patients (46).

**Future perspectives**

At present, the risk stratification systems used to guide the need for adjuvant treatment of EC are mainly based on the post-surgical pathological information. Recently, with the use of microarray and sequencing technologies, the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) showed four molecular subgroups in EC, which were validated and simplified as follows: **POLE** ultramutated, mismatch repair-deficient, p53 mutant and those EC lacking any of these alterations (47).

The ongoing PORTEC4a trial is the first clinical setting which investigates the incorporation of molecular parameters into the current clinicopathological classification in adjuvant treatment decisions (48). This shift towards a molecular driven EC classification is an important step to the future precision medicine.

**Conclusions**

EC surgical staging has been changing over the last twenty years. Minimally invasive techniques became the principal surgical approach in case of apparent early stage disease, since associated with less morbidity and non-inferior survivals in comparison with open surgery. On the other hand, the role of the retroperitoneal staging still represents a grey area, and remains matter of debate among gynecologic oncologists, worldwide. However, the results of the recent studies fully supported the adoption of the SLN technique, since reducing the surgical-related morbidity, without impacting on survival, even in case of high-risk cancers. Clinicians should follow the indication of the most recent national and international guidelines, with the aim of offering adequate and homogeneous treatment to all EC patients.
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