Correlation between the overexpression of epidermal growth factor receptor and pathological features of gastric cancer: a meta-analysis
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Background: To evaluate the overexpression of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and its correlation with pathological features of gastric cancer, so as to provide a theoretical basis for anti-EGFR targeted therapy.

Methods: PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, CNKI, Wanfang were used to retrieve literature on EGFR expression and gastric cancer tissues between January 2009 and March 2020 and Stata 15.0 software was used to analyze. Age, gender, degree of differentiation, depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis and TNM staging were analyzed with odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results: A total of 17 articles were included, with 4,424 patients with gastric cancer, of which 1,268 patients with EGFR overexpression. EGFR was related to the infiltration depth (OR =3.26, 95% CI: 1.95–5.44); related to lymph node metastasis (OR =2.10, 95% CI: 1.45–3.04); related to distant metastasis (OR =1.96, 95% CI: 1.41–2.72); related to TNM staging (OR =3.98, 95% CI: 2.09–7.59). However, EGFR overexpression is not concerned with age, gender and differentiation.

Conclusions: EGFR overexpression has the predictive value for depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis, TNM staging and distant metastasis of gastric cancer, and may be a predictor of adverse prognosis of gastric cancer. Targeted therapy for EGFR may be considered as one of the means of comprehensive treatment for gastric cancer.
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Introduction

Gastric cancer is one of the most common malignant tumors in the world, with poor prognosis. In recent years, despite its incidence rate has declined, its mortality rate remains high and still poses a serious threat to human health. According to the latest statistics of GLOBOCAN, in 2018, there were about 1.033 million new cases of gastric cancer and 783,000 deaths in the world, ranking fifth in the incidence rate of malignant tumors and third in the mortality rate; the mortality rate was second only to lung cancer and colorectal cancer. Gastric cancer ranks fourth in the incidence rate of male malignant tumors and third in mortality rate while ranks seventh in the incidence rate of female malignant tumors and fifth in the mortality rate (1). According to the latest data from the National Cancer Registry (2), there were 679,000 new cases of...
gastric cancer in China in 2015, including 478,000 males and 201,000 females; about 498,000 cases of gastric cancer died, including 339,000 males and 159,000 females; both the number of cases and deaths ranked second among all malignant tumors. In the United States, there were about 26,000 new cases and 18,800 deaths from gastric cancer in 2018; the number of cases and deaths in the United States were all ranked beyond top 10 in all tumors (3). Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), a member of HER family, participates in regulating cell proliferation, migration, and adhesion, which has become a research hotspot. Many studies have confirmed its positive expression in gastric cancer tissues (4,5). Some studies have also showed EGFR overexpression in gastric cancer patients, which is closely related to pathological staging, lymph node metastasis and prognosis (6,7). However, different studies have different conclusions on the effects of EGFR overexpression on gastric cancer differentiation degree, infiltration depth, TNM staging, lymph node metastasis, and the number of cases in each group is relatively small. Cheng et al. (8) suggested that high expression of EGFR increased lymph node metastasis in gastric cancer patients, while Park et al. (9) asserted that high expression and low expression of EGFR had no effect on lymph node metastasis in gastric cancer patients. Gao et al. (10) believed that high expression of EGFR was not conducive to differentiation of gastric cancer, while Park et al. (9) concluded the opposite. Therefore, this study collected the literature related to EGFR overexpression and clinicopathological characteristics of gastric cancer, and a meta-analysis was performed to further explore their correlation, so as to provide evidence-based medicine basis for the application of anti-EGFR targeted therapy in gastric cancer.

We present the following article in accordance with the PRISMA reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-2035).

**Methods**

**Sources of literature**

PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, Wanfang were searched the relevant literature between January 2009 to March 2020 with “gastric cancer”, “erbB1”, “Her1”, “EGFR”, “epidermal growth factor receptor” as keywords. Subsequently, the searched literature was manually screened by reading the abstract and the full text. The language was limited to Chinese and English.

**Screening criteria**

**Inclusion criteria**

(I) Randomized case-control study published at home and abroad, with original data and using immunohistochemistry (IHC) as detection method. (II) Study on clinicopathological characteristics or prognosis of gastric cancer and EGFR overexpression (note: EGFR positive expression 26–50% was defined as overexpression, >50% was defined as high expression). (III) Study that patients in the study group had clear pathological diagnostic criteria, and all had complete clinicopathological data, and had not received radiotherapy or chemotherapy before surgery; the control group was normal tissues adjacent to cancer or benign diseases. (IV) Study with appropriate statistical method, reliable data, clear results; at least one of the pathological features such as differentiation degree, lymph node metastasis and TNM staging, could calculate the odds ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence interval (CI). Clinical stage was determined according to the TNM staging criteria formulated by the International Union against Cancer and American Joint Committee on Cancer.

**Exclusion criteria**

(I) Other detection methods were applied except IHC, such as qPCR or Western blot. (II) Study subjects were patients with gastric adenoma or carcinoma of esophagogastric junction. (III) Reviews, abstracts, letters, etc. (IV) Repeated reports with the same group of people; studies with poor quality and little information, and the OR and its 95% CI could not be obtained. (V) Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) score <6.

**Literature quality evaluation**

The full text of literature was read carefully, and the quality of the literature was evaluated according to the NOS (11). The literature below 6 stars was of low quality and above 6 stars was of high quality. Only the literature above 6 stars were included in this study. Two evaluators independently evaluated in accordance with the unified quality standard to extract literature and then cross-check. The disagreement between the two evaluators was resolved by discussion or with the assistance of a third researcher.
Data extraction

Relevant information extracted using a unified data extraction table includes: (I) general information: title, first author, year of publication; (II) study characteristics: gender, age, expression of EGFR, differentiation degree, depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis and TNM staging; (III) methodological information: detection method of EGFR in tissues.

Statistical analysis

Meta-analysis was carried out using Stata 15.0 software. The OR and 95% CI were calculated as effect sizes to represent the results. Q test was applied to examine the heterogeneity of each research result. \( I^2 \geq 50\% \), or \( P \leq 0.05 \) indicated heterogeneity, so the random effect model (REM) was adopted; otherwise, fixed effect model (FEM) was used. Z test was used for the significance test of the pooled OR. In this meta-analysis, funnel plot was used to assess the publication bias of included literature. The funnel plot uses the standard error of each research log (OR) to make a graph of its OR. If the funnel plot was asymmetric, there may be publication bias, and then Egger’s test was applied to examine publication bias. Eventually, sensitivity analysis was conducted to assess the robustness of the results.

Results

Literature retrieval results

The databases were searched comprehensively, and the results were cross-checked. After reading, the searched studies were screened strictly in line with the inclusion and exclusion criteria and quality requirements. Ultimately, 17 studies (8-10,12-25) were included in this meta-analysis. The specific screening process was shown in Figure 1. The basic characteristics of the included literature were shown in Table 1. A total of 4,424 gastric cancer patients were included, including 1,268 positive cases (28.66%).

Relationship between EGFR overexpression and clinicopathological characteristics of gastric cancer

Relationship between EGFR overexpression and the depth of invasion

A total of 17 literature on EGFR overexpression and depth of invasion was included, including 1,975 cases with extraserosal invasion and 1,271 cases with subserosal invasion. The heterogeneity among the studies was significant \( (I^2=73.3\%) \), so REM was adopted (Table 2). The difference between the two groups was statistically significant, with subserosal invasion cases as the control group (OR =3.26, 95% CI: 1.95–5.44, P<0.001) (Figure 2A).
The result indicated that with the enhancement of EGFR expression, the deeper the depth of invasion of gastric cancer.

**Relationship between EGFR overexpression and lymph node metastasis**

A total of 16 literature on EGFR overexpression and lymph node metastasis was included, including 2,615 cases with lymph node metastasis and 1,396 cases without lymph node metastasis. The heterogeneity among the studies was significant ($I^2=69.9\%$), so REM was adopted (Table 2). The difference between the two groups was statistically significant, taking the cases without lymph node metastasis as the control group (OR $=2.10$, 95% CI: $1.45–3.04$, $P<0.001$) (Figure 2B). This result indicated that with the enhancement of EGFR expression, the higher the risk of
lymph node metastasis of gastric cancer.

**Relationship between EGFR overexpression and distant metastasis**

A total of five literature on EGFR overexpression and distant metastasis was included, including 185 cases with distant metastasis and 852 cases without distant metastasis. There was no statistical heterogeneity between the two groups ($I^2=37.7\%$), so FEM was adopted (Table 2). The difference between the two groups was statistically significant, with the patients in stage I + II as the control group (OR =3.98, 95% CI: 2.09–7.59, $P<0.001$) (Figure 2D). This result indicated that with the enhancement of EGFR expression, the deeper the depth of invasion of gastric cancer.

**Relationship between EGFR overexpression and gender, age and degree of differentiation**

The corresponding statistical model was selected according to the presence or absence of heterogeneity. The heterogeneity test of differentiation degree showed that $P<0.05$, so REM was adopted (Table 2). As shown in Table 2, there was no correlation between EGFR overexpression and gender, age and differentiation degree ($P>0.05$).

**Publication bias analysis**

Funnel plot analysis was carried out on the included literature, and the symmetry of funnel plot was analyzed by Egger’s test (Table 2, Figure 3). The results showed that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinicopathological features</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>$I^2$ (%)</th>
<th>P for heterogeneity</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>P for publication bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2,981</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.90–1.22</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>FEM</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1,443</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>≥60 years old</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.76–1.23</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>FEM</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;60 years old</td>
<td>563</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of differentiation</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>MH differentiation</td>
<td>1,015</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.82–2.38</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>REM</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low differentiation</td>
<td>1,277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of invasion</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Extraserous membrane</td>
<td>1,975</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>1.95–5.44</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>REM</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Subserous membrane</td>
<td>1,271</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>2,615</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.45–3.04</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>REM</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>1,396</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.41–2.72</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>FEM</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>852</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>I–II</td>
<td>1,389</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>2.09–7.59</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>REM</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>III–IV</td>
<td>1,056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; FEM, fixed effect model; REM, random effect model; MH, middle and high.
there was no publication bias in age, distant metastasis, TNM staging and differentiation degree, while there was partial publication bias in gender and lymph node metastasis.

**Sensitivity analysis**

As shown in Figure 4, after removing a single study in turn, there was no statistically significant change in the meta-analysis outcome of the research indicators such as differentiation degree, depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis and TNM staging. This showed that the results of this study were robust.

**Discussion**

At present, surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy are the main treatment methods for gastric cancer. Although great progress has been made in the diagnosis and treatment of gastric cancer, its morbidity and mortality are still relatively high. EGFR belongs to tyrosine kinase type I receptor family. Members of this family not only act as receptors, but also can convert extracellular signals into intracellular effects (26), which play an important role in tumor progression. EGFR regulates cell proliferation, induces and promotes tumor growth by activating MAPK/ERK, EGFR-STAT3, PI3K-Akt and other pathways, which is closely related to the occurrence of more than 33%
epidermal tumors (27). In the 21st century, the research on clinical transformation of targeted drugs is developing rapidly and targeted therapy is critical in the comprehensive treatment of multiple advanced solid tumors. EGFR is also the most important target molecule discovered so far (28,29). A large number of studies have confirmed that EGFR is overexpressed in head and neck tumors, breast cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, colorectal cancer, ovarian cancer and other tumors, which are closely related to tumor cell proliferation, invasion, metastasis, vascular growth and apoptosis (30–34). Therefore, EGFR has gradually become one of the main targets for tumor therapy today. However, through searching the relevant domestic and foreign literature in the past 10 years, the studies on the EGFR expression and the clinical characteristics are still lack of large samples of clinical and pathological data, and the conclusions are inconsistent. According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 17 high-quality literature (NOS score ≥6) is included in this meta-analysis. It provided more comprehensive data to explore the relationship between EGFR overexpression and clinicopathological characteristics of gastric cancer.

Previous studies have found that EGFR gene expression rate is closely related to the depth of invasion, cell differentiation, lymph node metastasis, TNM staging of gastric cancer, but not to the adjacent tissues (35). Kopp et al. showed that compared with adjacent normal gastric mucosa, EGFR level was increased in gastric cancer tissues, especially in T4 stage cancers, tumors with positive lymph node metastasis, advanced cancers and undifferentiated cancers (36). Invasion depth, lymph node metastasis, tissue differentiation and clinical stage are the factors of invasion and metastasis of gastric cancer, reflecting the progress of gastric cancer and survival and prognosis of patients. Previous studies have shown that overexpression of EGFR is associated with poor prognosis of gastric cancer, and EGFR has the effects on the progression of tumor and ultimately affects the prognosis of patients (4,10).

A total of 17 articles were included in this meta-analysis, including 4,424 gastric cancer patients, and the overall
The positive rate of EGFR was 28.66%. The results showed that EGFR overexpression was correlated with depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis and TNM staging of gastric cancer, that is, it had predictive value for the above indicators, while EGFR overexpression had no relation with differentiation degree, age and gender. In term of heterogeneity, there is no significant heterogeneity in age, gender and distant metastasis, while there is some heterogeneity in differentiation degree, infiltration depth, lymph node metastasis and TNM staging. In term of publication bias, gender and lymph node metastasis have certain publication bias, and other indicators have no obvious publication bias. Sensitivity analysis results showed that after removing a single study in turn, there was no statistically significant change in the results of meta-analysis on the research indicators such as infiltration depth, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis and TNM staging of gastric cancer, which indicated that the results were stable. This indicated that the conclusions of this meta-analysis were relatively reliable. Meta-analysis by Zhang et al. (37) showed that EGFR overexpression was correlated with differentiation degree, but not with depth of invasion. The result of Zhang was not very consistent with our conclusions. In this meta-analysis, EGFR overexpression

Figure 4 Sensitivity analysis. (A) EGFR and depth of invasion; (B) EGFR and lymph node metastasis; (C) EGFR and distant metastasis; (D) EGFR and TNM staging. EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; CI, confidence interval.
was not related to the degree of differentiation, but to depth of invasion, which was also confirmed by sensitivity analysis. This result may be related to the inclusion of more high-quality literature in our study. In addition, EGFR overexpression was also confirmed to be associated with distant metastasis.

This study also has some limitations. (I) The scoring criteria of IHC for EGFR expression detection are different among the studies; and there are certain subjectivity and inconsistency in judging the positive expression among different researchers. The above factors may lead to potential bias and thus affect the accuracy of the results. (II) There is a certain publication bias in gender and lymph node metastasis. (III) The degree of differentiation, infiltration depth, lymph node metastasis and TNM staging have certain heterogeneity, which may be related to race or gastric cancer staging. (IV) Although IHC is applied to detect the EGFR expression in all included studies, the antibody manufacturers, dilution concentrations and judgement criteria are not completely consistent, thus affecting the results of meta-analysis. (V) The number of included studies is limited and may not cover all relevant studies comprehensively.

In conclusion, the results of this study reveal that the high expression of EGFR in gastric cancer tissues is correlated with the depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis and TNM staging of gastric cancer. Therefore, we hypothesize that anti-EGFR targeted therapy combined with radiotherapy and chemotherapy is likely to achieve certain results in the comprehensive treatment of gastric cancer. Of course, because this study still has certain limitations and is not consistent with meta-analysis published in the past, more clinical researches are required and the prognosis should be observed, so as to make a more in-depth and comprehensive study on the effect of EGFR overexpression on gastric cancer and to provide more sufficient theoretical basis for the application of anti-EGFR targeted therapy in gastric cancer.
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